Issued in Renton, Washington, on October 29, 1997.

David A. Field,

Manager, Planning, Programming and Capacity Branch, Northwest Mountain Region.

[FR Doc. 97–29576 Filed 11–7–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at La Crosse Municipal Airport, La Crosse, WI

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on

application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at La Crosse Municipal Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 10, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Minneapolis Airports District Office, 6020 28th Avenue South, Room 102, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55450.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Michael A. Daigle, Airport Manager of the La Crosse Municipal Airport at the following address: La Crosse Municipal Airport, 2850 Airport Road, La Crosse, WI 54603.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the City of La Crosse under section 158.23 of part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sandra E. DePottey, Program Manager, Minneapolis Airports District Office, 6020 28th Avenue South, room 102, Minneapolis, MN 55450, 612–713–4363. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at La Crosse Municipal Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title

IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On October 28, 1997, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by City of La Crosse was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than January 20, 1998.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

PFC application number: 97–04–C–00–LSE.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$3.00. Proposed charge effective date: August 1, 1998.

Proposed charge expiration date: December 1, 2000.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$615,000.

Brief description of proposed projects: Relocate threshold Runway 13/31, Airfield sealcoating, Reconstruct Runway 18/36 (phase 1), Construct airport entrance sign, PFC administration.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: no request to exclude carriers.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the City of La Crosse.

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on October 31, 1997

Robert A. Benko,

Acting Manager, Planning/Programming Branch, Airports Division, Great Lakes Region.

[FR Doc. 97-29573 Filed 11-7-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Tupelo Municipal Airport, Tupelo, MS

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the

application to use the revenue from a PFC at Tupelo Municipal Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 11, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: FAA/Airports District Office, 120 North Hangar Drive, Suite B, Jackson, Mississippi 39208–2306.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Roger Blickensderfer, Executive Director of the Tupelo Airport Authority at the following address: 2763 West Jackson, Suite A, Tupelo, Mississippi 38801.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Tupelo Airport Authority under section 158.23 of part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Shumate, Manager, FAA Airports District Office, 120 North Hangar Drive, Suite B, Jackson, Mississippi 39208–2306, telephone number 601–965–4628. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to use the revenue from a PFC at Tupelo Municipal Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On October, 28, 1997, the FAA determined that the application to use the revenue from a PFC submitted by Tupelo Airport Authority was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than February 26, 1998.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

PFC Application Number: 98–02–U–00–TUP.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$3.00. Proposed charge effective date: 8–1– 1994.

1*Proposed charge expiration date:* 9–3–1999.

Total estimate net PFC revenue: \$225,400.

Estimated PFC revenues to be used on projects in this application: \$225,400.

Brief description of proposed projects: Overlay and groove runway 18/36; Expand airport terminal building.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: None.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the office of the Tupelo Airport Authority.

Issued in Jackson, Mississippi, on October 29, 1997.

Wayne Atkinson,

Manager, Airports District Office, Southern Region, Jackson, Mississippi.

[FR Doc. 97–29578 Filed 11–7–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Petition for Waiver of Compliance

In accordance with Part 211 of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), notice is hereby given that the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) received a request for a waiver of compliance with certain requirements of its safety standards. The individual petition is described below, including the party seeking relief, the regulatory provisions involved, the nature of the relief being requested, and the petitioner's arguments in favor of relief.

Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway

[Waiver Petition Docket Number PB-97-13]

The Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway (BNSF) seeks a waiver of compliance from certain provisions of the Railroad Power Brake and Drawbars regulations, 49 CFR Section 232.25(d), concerning the calibration of the front unit of a two-way end-of-train device. Specifically, BNSF would like relief from the annual calibration requirements of head-end units to the manufacturer's radio alignment specifications and be permitted to use what BNSF calls a "realistic service test" that will prove the ability to place the rear of the train in emergency from the head-end-device as designed.

Section 232.25(d) states: The telemetry equipment shall be calibrated for accuracy according to the manufacturer's specifications at least every 365 days. The date of the last calibration, the location where the calibration was made, and the name of the person doing the calibration shall be

legibly displayed on a weather-resistant sticker or other marking device affixed to the outside of both the front unit and rear unit. The Two-Way End-of-Train Device Final Rule was published on January 2, 1997, and became effective July 1, 1997. FRA provided a grace period until September 1, 1997, for railroads to accomplish the calibration and labeling requirements of front units.

BNSF indicates that they have their communications teams perform the entire calibration and alignment procedures per manufacturer's instructions and specifications when new devices are received. BNSF believes this more than ensures a safe and effective operation and does not see any benefit of removing the devices from locomotives, transporting them to a radio shop for a four hour procedure. then transporting the devices back to the locomotives for installation every 365 days. BNSF feels the procedure is overly long and burdensome and does not enhance the performance of the device. BNSF also states that devices are damaged due to the excessive handling required to perform the test. Therefore, they believe the best use of the device is to permanently mount them on the locomotive and leave them there for the safety of the train crew.

Rather than annually test the device to manufacturer's radio alignment specifications, BNSF would like to test the device every 365 days for functionality in railroad service using a test code that includes testing for sufficient output wattage at the correct frequency, together with proof of arming ability and emergency activation.

Interested parties are invited to participate in these proceedings by submitting written views, data, or comments. FRA does not anticipate scheduling a public hearing in connection with these proceedings since the facts do not appear to warrant a hearing. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment, they should notify FRA, in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request.

All communications concerning these proceedings should identify the appropriate docket number (e.g., Waiver Petition Docket Number PB–97–13) and must be submitted in triplicate to the Docket Clerk, Office of Chief Counsel, FRA, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Mail Stop 10, Washington, D.C. 20590. Communications received within 30 days of the date of this notice will be considered by FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are

available for examination during regular business hours (9:00 a.m.–5:00 p.m.) at FRA's temporary docket room located at 1120 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Room 7051, Washington, D.C. 20005.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on November 5, 1997.

Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development. [FR Doc. 97–29614 Filed 11–7–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Petitions for Waivers of Compliance

In accordance with part 211 of Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), notice is hereby given that the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) received requests for waivers of compliance with certain requirements of its safety standards. The individual petitions are described below, including the parties seeking relief, the regulatory provisions involved, the nature of the relief being requested, and the petitioners' arguments in favor of relief.

Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority

[Waiver Petition Docket Number LI-97-5] The Southeastern Pennsylvania

Transportation Authority (SEPTA) seeks a temporary waiver of compliance with certain provisions of the Locomotive Safety Standards, 49 CFR part 229. SEPTA is seeking relief for a period of one year, until December 31, 1998, from the requirements of Section 229.125(d) which requires each lead locomotive operating at speeds over 20 mph over one or more public highway-rail crossings be equipped with operative auxiliary lights effective December 31, 1997.

SEPTA operates a fleet of 304 electric MU passenger locomotives, 8 electric locomotives, and 5 diesel-electric locomotives. The railroad states they have experienced numerous problems with contractors in providing needed material to equip their MU locomotive fleet thus resulting in significant delays in installation of the auxiliary lights.

Union Pacific Railroad Company

[Waiver Petition Docket Number LI-97-6]

The Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) seeks a temporary waiver of compliance with certain provisions of the Locomotive Safety Standards, 49 CFR part 229. UP is seeking relief for a period of one year, until December 31, 1998, from the requirements of Section