Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436.

Commercial or financial information that a party desires the Commission to treat as confidential must be submitted on separate sheets of paper, each clearly marked "Confidential Business Information" at the top. All submissions requesting confidential treatment must conform with the requirements of section 201.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.6). All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available for inspection by interested persons.

Public Hearing: At an appropriate time during the investigation, the Commission will hold public hearings to obtain the views of interested parties. Any such hearings will be announced in a future public notice.

Issued: November 6, 1997. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–29782 Filed 11–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

summary: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.
- 2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 54, "Requirements for Renewal of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants".
- 3. *The form number if applicable:* Not applicable.
- 4. How often the collection is required: One-time submission of operating license renewals and occasional submissions for holders of renewed licenses.

- 5. Who will be required or asked to report: Commercial nuclear power plant licensees who renew operating licenses.
- 6. An estimate of the number of responses: 1.33 responses (4 renewal applications during the 3-year clearance).
- 7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 1.33 respondents.
- 8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: Approximately 89,333 hours (85,333 hours one-time reporting burden and 4,000 recordkeeping burden).
- 9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: Not applicable.
- 10. Abstract: 10 CFR Part 54 of the NRC regulations, "Requirements for Renewal of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants," specifies the procedures, criteria, and standards governing nuclear power plant license renewal, including information submittal and recordkeeping requirements, so that the NRC may make determinations necessary to promote the health and safety of the public.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (lower level), Washington, DC. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (http://www.nrc.gov) under the FedWorld collection link on the home page tool bar. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by December 15, 1997. Norma Gonzales, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0155), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3084. The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 6th day of November 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 97–29887 Filed 11–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

summary: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: New
- 2. The title of the information collection: Request for Taxpayer Identification Number.
- 3. The form number if applicable: NRC Form 531
- 4. How often the collection is required: One time from each applicant or individual to enable the Department of Treasury to process electronic financial payment or collect debts owed to the government.
- 5. Who will be required or asked to report: All individuals doing business with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, including contractors and recipients of credit, licenses, permits, and benefits.
- 6. An estimate of the number of responses: 2,600 (1,600 the first year and 500 annually thereafter or 867 annually over a three year period).
- 7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 867.
- 8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 72 (5 minutes per response).
- 9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: N/A.
- 10. Abstract: The Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 requires that agencies collect taxpayer identification numbers (TINs) from individuals who do business with the Government, including contractors and recipients of credit, licenses, permits, and benefits. The TIN will be used to process all electronic payments (refunds) made to licensees by electronic funds transfer by the Department of the Treasury. The Department of the Treasury will use the TIN to determine whether the refund

can be used to administratively offset any delinquent debts reported to the Treasury by other government agencies. In addition, the TIN will be used to collect and report to the Department of the Treasury any delinquent indebtedness arising out of the licensee's or applicant's relationship with the NRC.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (lower level), Washington, DC. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (http://www.nrc.gov) under the FedWorld collection link on the home page tool bar. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by December 15, 1997. Norma Gonzales, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3084. The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301-415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 6th day of November 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Brenda Jo. Shelton**,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 97–29888 Filed 11–12–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-302]

Florida Power Corporation; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR 72, issued to the Florida Power Corporation, (FPC or the licensee), for operation of the Crystal River Nuclear Generating Unit 3 (CR3) located in Citrus County, Florida.

The proposed amendment involves modifications to the electrical controls of the Reactor Building (RB)
Recirculation System Fan/Cooler, AHF–1C. FPC has determined that the modification involves an Unreviewed Safety Question, in that modification

will install additional components that could increase the probability of occurrence of a malfunction of equipment important to safety previously evaluated in the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR). Therefore, this action requires NRC approval. The proposed modification to the fan logic will enable utilization of AHF-1C, in place of either AHF-1A or AHF-1B (the normally running RB Recirculation System fans), by providing engineered safeguards (ES) auto-start permissive interlocks from its MCC-3AB transfer switch. This modification will automatically prevent inadvertent loading of two RB Recirculation System fans on a single emergency diesel generator when the ES-MCC-3AB source is transferred. The AHF-1C Engineered Safeguards "A" train auto start signal will be enabled only when AHF-1C is ES-selected as the "A" train fan with ES-MCC-3AB supplied from the "A" train source. Conversely, the AHF-1C ES "B" train auto-start signal will be enabled only when AHF–1C is ES-selected as the "B" train fan with ES-MCC-3AB supplied from the "B" train source.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

This license amendment involves the addition of electrical circuits to preclude the loading of two Reactor Building Recirculation System fans onto the same Emergency Diesel Generator when AHF–1C is in service. This equipment will enable use of Reactor Building Recirculation System fan/cooler unit AHF–1C in place of either AHF–1A or AHF–1B by providing ES autostart permissive interlocks from the source transfer switch for Motor Control Center ES–MCC–3AB. The AHF–1C ES-select control

circuitry and ES-MCC-3AB do not initiate any accidents previously evaluated. Accident mitigation strategies assume that one train of the Reactor Building Recirculation System is available. This license amendment does not restrict the availability of one train. One Reactor Building Recirculation System fan/cooler unit will always be in operation even if AHF-1C is not available because of a malfunction in this control circuitry.

A failure to open or close a manual Nuclear Services Closed Cycle Cooling (SW) System valve at a Reactor Building Recirculation System cooling coil is a remote possibility. Adequate procedural controls are in place to ensure that proper steps are taken when a fan/cooler unit is being placed in service or removed from service. These procedures are not required during an accident, but are part of the normal operation of CR-3. However, should a failure occur, the other aligned Reactor Building Recirculation fan/cooler unit is available. In addition, both trains of the Reactor Building Spray System will be available to perform the post accident containment heat removal function.

Failure to properly ES-select a fan/cooler unit could result in a failure of the ES start function. However, an alignment error in the ES-selection of AHF-1C is annunciated and printed out by the events recorder to minimize the effect of this type of failure. Also procedural controls are in place to align the ES-selection for the fan/cooler unit being placed in service. The other train of the Reactor Building Recirculation System would be available, as well as, both trains of the Reactor Building Spray System. Therefore, this license amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability of an accident previously evaluated.

Because of CR-3 single failure design bases considerations, a failure of one-half of the Reactor Building Recirculation System during accident mitigation would mean that both trains of the Reactor Building Spray System are available for mitigating any accident previously evaluated. Accident analyses for CR-3 assume only one train of the Reactor Building Recirculation System. Either train of the Reactor Building Spray System has the capability to maintain the accident dose consequences well below the requirements of 10 CFR 100 (25 rem whole body, 300 rem thyroid) and General Design Criteria 19 (5 rem whole body, or its equivalent to any part of the body). Installation of the ES auto-start permissive interlocks from the transfer switch for Motor Control Center ES-MCC-3AB will not alter any assumptions made in evaluating the radiological consequences of any accident described in the FSAR nor will it affect any fission product barriers since the postaccident containment heat removal functions will still meet design requirements. Therefore installation of ES auto-start permissive interlocks from Motor Control Center ES-MCC-3AB transfer switch does not involve a significant increase in the consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The accident mitigation strategies for CR-3 assume two different types of post-accident