Tribes of Washington, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration of the United States Department of Commerce, and the United States Department of Interior. Under the consent decrees, R.W. Rhine will pay \$26,734 and Seattle Goodwill Industries will pay \$19,102 for natural resources damages.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decrees. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to United States v. The Boeing Company, et al., DOJ Ref. #90-11-3-1412D.

The proposed consent decrees may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, 1010 Fifth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624–0892. A copy of the proposed consent decrees may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G. Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting copies please refer to the referenced case, specify which decree or decrees you would like to receive, and enclose a check payable to the Consent Decree Library in the amount of \$7.00 for the decree with R.W. Rhine and/or \$7.50 for the decree with Seattle Goodwill Industries (25 cents per page reproduction costs).

#### Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 97-30585 Filed 11-20-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree **Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response**, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on October 31, 1997, a proposed Consent Decree in United States v. Caribe General Electric Products. Inc., and General Electric Company, No. 96-1366 (D.P.R.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Puerto Rico.

In this action the United States sought, pursuant to Sections 107(a) and 113(b) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607(a) and 9613(b), recovery of past costs and a

declaratory judgment for future costs concerning the General Electric Wiring Devices Superfund Site, located in Juana Diaz, Puerto Rico. In the proposed consent decree, the settling parties, Caribe General Electric Products, Inc., and General Electric Company, agree to pay to the United States \$612,500.00 for past response costs and future oversight costs, to provide the Environmental Protection Agency with access to their property pursuant to a 1984 Administrative Order on Consent, and to covenant not to sue the United States.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to United States v. Caribe General Electric Products, Inc., and General Electric Company, No. 96-1366 (D.P.R.), D.J. Ref. 90-11-2-1157.

The consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, District of Puerto Rico, Federal Building, Room 452, Chardon Avenue, Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00918, at U.S. EPA Region II, 290 Broadway, New York, NY 10007–1866, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$13.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

#### Bruce S. Gelber,

Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources

[FR Doc. 97-30586 Filed 11-20-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Notice of Lodging of Consent Order** Pursuant to the Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States* versus Ford Motor Company, Civil Action No. 97 C 7716, has been lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on November 3, 1997.

The Consent Decree resolves claims asserted against defendant, Ford Motor Company ("Ford"), under the Clean Air Act ("Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq., for

violations of 40 CFR 52.741(x), which was part of a Federal Implementation Plan for the Chicago metropolitan area ozone non-attainment area. Under the proposed Consent Decree, Ford will implement and maintain specific measures that will substantially reduce emissions from cleanup solvents at Ford's Chicago Assembly Plant, and Ford will pay a civil penalty of \$135,000.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044, and should refer to United States versus Ford Motor Company, D.J. Ref. 90-5-2-1 - 1932

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, 219 S. Dearborn St., Chicago, Illinois 60604, at the Office of Regional Counsel, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region V, 200 West Adams Street, Chicago, Illinois 60606, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624–0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may also be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$7.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs) payable to the "Consent Decree Library.

#### Bruce S. Gelber,

Principal Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 97–30587 Filed 11–20–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Notice of Lodging of First Amendment** to May 24, 1994 Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on October 28, 1997, a proposed First Amendment to the May 24, 1994 Consent Decree

("Amendment") in United States and State of Michigan v. Wayne County et al., Civil Action No. 87-70992, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan.

The United States and the State of Michigan asserted claims in this case under the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq., against Wayne County,

Michigan, and 13 addition municipalities that send wastewater to the Wayne's Treatment Plant (the "Plant"). The case was resolved in 1994 by a Consent Decree pursuant to which defendants agreed to attain and maintain compliance with the Plant's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit limits and to comply with Decree-mandated interim limits during construction of Plant and collection-system improvements. Defendants further agreed to complete capital improvements needed at the Plant and in its collection system. The capital-improvements project, detailed in a 1993 Project Plan incorporated by reference in the 1994 Decree, included steps to achieve: the removal of improper infiltration-and-inflow; the improvement of transport and storage capacity in the Plant's wastewater collection system by constructing retention-equalization basins and an underground tunnel for storage and transport of untreated wastewater; and the upgrade the Plant's facilities to ensure that all flows meet Permitmandated limits.

Since entry of the Consent Decree in 1994, defendants have submitted studies, plans, and design documents required by the 1994 Consent Decree to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality. These documents contain recommendations for changes in the design of certain components of the work required by the 1994 Consent Decree, including: the modification of the wastewater storage and transport tunnel required by the original decree; further improvements in Plant treatment capacity; further study and design work prior to commencement of construction of a detention basin required by the 1994 Decree, referred to as the Eureka Basin, intended to eliminate sewer overflows and backups in the Plant's collection system above the proposed basin; and construction of a new connecting conduit, rather than a new Plant outfall, that would convey excess flows from the Plant to another treatment plant for treatment and discharge. The Amendment, if approved by the Court, would modify the injunctive relief provisions of the 1994 Decree to reflect these changes to the 1993 Project Plan. In all other respects, the 1994 Decree would remain the same.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Amendment. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States* and State of Michigan v. Wayne County et al., D.J. Ref. 90–5–1–1–2766.

The Amendment may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney. Eastern District of Michigan, 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2300, Detroit, MI 48226, at U.S. EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois, 60604, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the Amendment may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$8.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

#### Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 97–30588 Filed 11–20–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## Drug Enforcement Administration [DEA #167F]

# Controlled Substances: Established Initial Aggregate Production Quotas for 1998

**AGENCY:** Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of aggregate production quotas for 1998.

SUMMARY: This notice establishes initial 1998 aggregate production quotas for controlled substances in Schedules I and II of the Controlled Substances Act. EFFECTIVE DATE: November 21, 1997.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Frank L. Sapienza, Chief, Drug & Chemical Evaluation Section, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC 20537, Telephone: (202) 307–7183.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 306 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 826) requires that the Attorney General establish aggregate production quotas for each basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedules I and II. This responsibility has been delegated to the Administrator of the DEA by Section 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The Administrator, in turn, has redelegated this function to the Deputy Administrator pursuant to Section 0.104 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

On September 2, 1997, a notice of the proposed initial 1998 aggregate production quotas for certain controlled substances in Schedules I and II was published in the **Federal Register** (62 FR 46373). All interested persons were invited to comment on or object to these proposed aggregate production quotas on or before October 2, 1997.

One company commented that the initial 1998 aggregate production quota for amphetamine is insufficient to provide for the estimated medical, scientific, research and industrial needs of the United States, for export requirements and for the establishment and maintenance of reserve stocks.

Another company commented that the initial 1998 aggregate production quotas for codeine (for sale), diphenoxylate, morphine (for sale), opium, and oxycodone (for sale) are insufficient to provide for the estimated medical, scientific, research and industrial needs of the United States, for export requirements and for the establishment and maintenance of reserve stocks.

After a review of 1997 manufacturing quotas, current 1997 sales and inventories, 1998 export requirements and research and product development requirements, the DEA agrees that increases are necessary for amphetamine, codeine (for sale), morphine (for sale) and oxycodone (for sale). Regarding diphenoxylate and opium, the DEA has determined that the proposed initial 1998 aggregate production quotas are sufficient to meet the 1998 estimated medical, scientific, research and industrial needs of the United States.

The Office of Management and Budget has determined that notices of aggregate production quotas are not subject to centralized review under Executive Order 12866. This action has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612, and it has been determined that this matter does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The Acting Deputy Administrator hereby certifies that this action will have no significant impact upon small entities whose interests must be considered under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq. The establishment of aggregate production quotas for Schedules I and II controlled substances is mandated by law and by international treaty obligations. Aggregate production quotas apply to approximately 200 DEA registered bulk and dosage from manufacturers of Schedules I and II controlled