## Section 11

## Severability

In the event any provision of this Ordinance is declared invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, all other provisions shall not be affected and shall remain in full force and effect.

## Section 12

## Sovereign Immunity

The sovereign immunity of the Pueblo of Isleta shall not be waived by this Ordinance.

Dated: November 19, 1997.

## Kevin Gover,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.
[FR Doc. 97–31746 Filed 12–3–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–02–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## Bureau of Land Management

[NV-020-1990-01]

Florida Canyon Mine Expansion and Comprehensive Reclamation Plan, Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision and Plan of Operations Approval

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability, Record of Decision and Plan of Operations Approval for Florida Canyon Mining Company's Mine Expansion and Comprehensive Reclamation Plan Project.

**DATES:** The Record of Decision and Plan of Operations Approval will be distributed and made available to the public on December 2, 1997. Anyone wishing to appeal the Record of Decisions has 30 days following the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register.** The appeal must be postmarked no later than January 5, 1998.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the Record of Decision can be obtained from: Bureau of Land Management, Winnemucca Field Office, 5100 East Winnemucca Boulevard, Winnemucca, Nevada 89445.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ken Loda, Project NEPA Coordinator, at the above Winnemucca Field Office address, phone (702) 623–1500, or email <kloda@nv.blm.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Record of Decision consists of the action proposed in the Plan of Operation and analyzed in the Draft and Final Environmental Impact Statements. The agency Preferred Alternative includes

all components of the Proposed Action. The Agency Preferred Alternative is also the environmentally preferred alternative incorporating mitigation and monitoring measures. The Proposed Action consists of expanding mining and ore processing activities at the Florida Canyon Mine, and a reclamation plan encompassing the entire operation.

Dated: November 26, 1997.

#### Ron Wenker,

Winnemucca District Manager. [FR Doc. 97–31750 Filed 12–3–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-HC-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

## **Bureau of Land Management**

[CO-030-08-1010-00-1784]

## Southwest Resource Advisory Council Meeting

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice; Resource Advisory Council Meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 USC), notice is hereby given that the Southwest Resource Advisory Council (Southwest RAC) will meet on Thursday, January 8, 1998, at Ridgway State Park south of Montrose, Colorado.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Thursday, January 8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: For additional information, contact Roger Alexander, Bureau of Land Management, Montrose District Office, 2465 South Townsend Avenue, Montrose, Colorado 81401; telephone 970–240–5335; TDD 970–240–5366; e-mail r2alexan@co.blm.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The January 8, 1998, meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. at Ridgway State Park Headquarters, (Dutch Charlie entrance) on US Highway 550 approximately 21 miles south of Montrose, Colorado. The agenda will include updates on the Gunnison Basin travel management planning effort, Lake Fork Project and Squirrel Exchange, and discussions on recreation guidelines and ethics, and road closures/proliferation. Time will be provided for public comments.

All Resource Advisory Council meetings are open to the public. Interested persons may make oral statements to the Council, or written statements may be submitted for the Council's consideration. If necessary, a per-person time limit may be established by the Montrose District Manager.

Summary minutes for Council meetings are maintained in the Montrose District Office and on the World Wide Web at http://www.co.blm.gov/mdo/mdo\_sw\_rac.htm and are available for public inspection and reproduction within thirty (30) days following each meeting.

Dated: November 24, 1997.

## Jamie E. Connell,

Associate District Manager.
[FR Doc. 97–31751 Filed 12–3–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–JB–P

## NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

# National Endowment for the Arts; Fellowships Panel

Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), as amended, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Fellowships Panel, National Heritage Fellowships Section, to the National Council on the Arts will be held on December 15–17, 1997. The panel will meet from 9:00 a.m. to 10:30 p.m. on December 15, from 9:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. on December 16, and from 9:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. on December 17 in Room 716 at the Nancy Hanks Center, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20506.

This meeting is for the purpose of Panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendation on nominations for National Heritage Fellowship awards under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including information given in confidence to the agency by nominees. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman of March 31, 1997, these sessions will be closed to the public pursuant to subsection (c)(4), (6) and (9)(B) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

Any person may observe meetings, or portions thereof, of advisory panels which are open to the public, and may be permitted to participate in the panel's discussions at the discretion of the panel chairman and with the approval of the full-time Federal employee in attendance.

If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact the Office of AccessAbility, National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20506, 202/682–5532, TYY/TDD 202/682–5496, at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from Ms.

Kathy Plowitz-Worden, Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D.C. 20506, or call 202/682–5691.

Dated: December 1, 1997.

### Kathy Plowitz-Worden,

Panel Coordinator, Office of Guidelines and Panel Operations, National Endowment for the Arts.

[FR Doc. 97–31868 Filed 12–3–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7537–01–M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## Final Standard Review Plan for Antitrust Reviews: Issuance, Availability

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission (NRC) is issuing this final
Standard Review Plan (SRP) for
Antitrust Reviews to describe the
procedures (prescribed in Sections 105
and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of
1954, as amended) for performing
antitrust reviews and enforcing antitrust
license conditions. This SRP reflects
current regulations and policy and will
be updated as necessary to reflect
changes in NRC regulations.

The revised text for the SRP for Antitrust Reviews includes the resolution of public comments received in response to the draft version issued on December 27, 1996 (61 FR 68309). The purpose of the draft SRP was to solicit comments on the current NRC staff practice in carrying out the NRC's antitrust mandate in accordance with the Atomic Energy Act, to review construction permit and operating license applications and transfer requests, and to enforce antitrust license conditions.

The NRC has published its Standard Review Plan for Antitrust Reviews (NUREG–1574), under Section 109, Nuclear Regulatory Commission Appropriation Authorization, Public Law 96–295. The SRP describes the procedures used to implement the antitrust review and enforcement provisions in Sections 105 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

The final SRP for Antitrust Reviews is a "rule" for the purposes of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (5 U.S.C., Chapter 8). The staff, in consultation with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), has confirmed that this SRP is a not a major rule.

The final SRP for Antitrust Reviews does not, by itself, establish any new or revised requirements. It incorporates previously established NRC staff positions, public comments on the draft SRP for Antitrust Reviews, and lessons learned from completed reviews of various restructuring and reorganization applications. The review guidance in the SRP will be used by the NRC staff in evaluating future submittals in connection with applications for construction permits, operating licenses, combined operating licenses, and operating license transfer requests.

The final SRP for Antitrust Reviews is being made available to the public as part of the NRC's policy to inform the nuclear industry and the general public of regulatory procedures and policies. The SRP will be revised periodically to reflect changes to statutes and NRC rules and regulations.

Copies of NUREG-1574 may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 37082, Washington, DC 20013-7082. Copies are also available from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. A copy is also available for inspection and/or copying for a fee in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of November, 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

## Thomas H. Essig,

Acting Chief, Generic Issues and Environmental Projects Branch, Division of Reactor Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 97–31799 Filed 12–3–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket 70-7002]

Amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP–2 for the U.S. Enrichment Corporation, Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Portsmouth, OH

The Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, has made a determination that the following amendment request is not significant in accordance with 10 CFR 76.45. In making that determination, the staff concluded that: (1) There is no change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (2) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure; (3) there is no significant construction impact; (4) there is no significant increase in the potential for,

or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents; (5) the proposed changes do not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident; (6) there is no significant reduction in any margin of safety; and (7) the proposed changes will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards, or security programs. The basis for this determination for the amendment request is described below.

The NRC staff has reviewed the certificate amendment application and concluded that it provides reasonable assurance of adequate safety, safeguards, and security and compliance with NRC requirements. Therefore, the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, is prepared to issue an amendment to the Certificate of Compliance for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PORTS). The staff has prepared a Compliance Evaluation Report which provides details of the staff's evaluation.

The NRC staff has determined that this amendment satisfies the criteria for a categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for this amendment.

USEC or any person whose interest may be affected may file a petition, not exceeding 30 pages, requesting review of the Director's Decision. The petition must be filed with the Commission not later than 15 days after publication of this Federal Register Notice. A petition for review of the Director's Decision shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner and how that interest may be affected by the results of the decision. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why review of the Decision should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The interest of the petitioner; (2) how that interest may be affected by the Decision, including the reasons why the petitioner should be permitted a review of the Decision; and (3) the petitioner's areas of concern about the activity that is the subject matter of the Decision. Any person described in this paragraph (USEC or any person who filed a petition) may file a response to any petition for review, not to exceed 30 pages, within 10 days after filing of the petition. If no petition is received within the designated 15-day period, the Director will issue the final amendment to the Certificate of Compliance without further delay. If a petition for review is received, the decision on the amendment application will become