#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-NM-97-AD: Amendment 39-9917; AD 97-03-12]

RIN 2120-AA64

### Airworthiness Directives; Jetstream Model 4101 Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects information in an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Jetstream Model 4101 airplanes, that requires a one-time inspection for damage caused by arcing and overheating of the electrical ground posts ("earth posts") and ground cables for the direct current (DC) power generation and propeller de-icing systems of the left and right engines; and repair and replacement, if necessary. This action corrects the AD number assigned to that AD. DATES: Effective March 14, 1997.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations was previously approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 14, 1997 (62 FR 5743, February 7, 1997).

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William Schroeder, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (206) 227-2148; fax (206) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 29, 1997, the FAA issued AD 97-03-12, amendment 39-9917 (62 FR 5743, February 7, 1997), which is applicable to certain Jetstream Model 4101 airplanes. That AD requires a onetime inspection for damage caused by arcing and overheating of the electrical ground posts ("earth posts") and ground cables for the direct current (DC) power generation and propeller de-icing systems of the left and right engines; and repair and replacement, if necessary. That action was prompted by reports indicating that earth posts on some airplanes had failed due to overheating. The actions specified by that AD are intended to prevent potential consequences of overheating, such as failure of the DC power generation and propeller de-icing systems.

Since the issuance of that AD, the FAA has become aware of the fact that the document that was published in the Federal Register contained the incorrect AD number that had been assigned to that action. The published version

indicated an AD number of 96-03-12. However, the correct AD number is 97-03-12.

Action is taken herein to correct the AD number to 97-03-12 and to correctly add the AD as an amendment to section 39.13 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 39.13).

The effective date of the rule remains March 14, 1997.

Since no other part of the regulatory information has been changed, the final rule is not being republished.

### §39.13 [Corrected]

On page 5743, in the issue of February 7, 1997, in the second column, the heading that identifies the pertinent agency numbers is corrected to read as follows:

[Docket No. 96-NM-97-AD; Amendment 39-9917, AD 97-03-12]

On page 5744, in the issue of February 7, 1997, in the first column, the introductory text that specifies pertinent agency numbers and the airplane manufacturer is corrected to read as follows:

97-03-12 Jetstream Aircraft Limited: Amendment 39-9917. Docket 96-NM-97-AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 11, 1997.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 97-3964 Filed 2-18-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-CE-45-AD; Amendment 39-9938; AD 97-04-13]

RIN 2120-AA64

### Airworthiness Directives; Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., MU-2B Series **Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., (Mitsubishi) MU-2B series airplanes. This AD requires removing the vent check valve assembly from the bulkhead between the fuel tanks. This AD results from an incident where both engines on an affected airplane failed near the end of a flight because of lack of fuel to the engines. The incident is

attributed to the fuel filler caps on the top of the wings not sealing correctly. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent the inability of both engines to utilize the entire fuel supply because of the outboard fuel not transferring to the center tank, which could result in an uncommanded engine shutdown.

DATES: Effective April 16, 1997. The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of April 16, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Service information that applies to this AD may be obtained from Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., Nagoya Aerospace Systems, 10, Oyecho, Minato-Ku, Nagoya, Japan. This information may also be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket 96-CE-45-AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Eric M. Smith, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712; telephone (310) 627-5260; facsimile (310) 627-5210.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Events Leading to the Issuance of This

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply to Mitsubishi MU–2B series airplanes was published in the Federal Register as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) on October 30, 1996 (61 FR 53939). The NPRM proposed to require removing the vent check valve assembly from the bulkhead between the fuel tanks. Accomplishment of the proposed action as specified in the NPRM would be in accordance with Mitsubishi MU-2 Service Bulletin No. 130A, dated July 19, 1971.

The NPRM is the result of an incident where both engines on an affected airplane failed near the end of a flight because of lack of fuel to the engines. The incident is attributed to the fuel filler caps on the top of the wings not sealing correctly.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were received on the proposed rule or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

### The FAA's Determination

After careful review of all available information related to the subject presented above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. The FAA has determined that these minor corrections will not change the meaning of the AD and will not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed.

#### Compliance Time of This AD

The compliance time for this AD is presented in calendar time instead of hours time-in-service. The fuel filler cap may not seal properly regardless of whether the airplane is in operation. For this reason, the FAA has determined that a calendar time for compliance is the most desirable.

### Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 14 airplanes in the U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 3 workhours (average: 4 workhours for seven airplanes and 2 workhours for seven airplanes) per airplane to accomplish the required action, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$2,520.

The above figure is based on the presumption that no owner/operator of the affected airplanes has accomplished the required vent check valve assembly removal. The FAA is aware that seven of the affected airplanes are already in compliance with this AD. With this information in mind, the cost impact upon U.S. operators/owners is reduced by \$1,260 from \$2,520 to\$1,260.

### Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a 'significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a''significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a

substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the final evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

#### **PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

97-04-13 Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd.: Amendment 39-9938; Docket No. 96-CE-45-AD.

Applicability: Models MU-2B, MU-2B-10, MU-2B-15, MU-2B-20, and MU-2B-30 airplanes (serial numbers 004 through 035, 037, 038, 101 through 230, 502 through 525, and 527 through 547), certificated in any

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required within the next 60 calendar days after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent the inability of both engines to utilize the entire fuel supply because of the outboard fuel not transferring to the center tank, which could result in an uncommanded engine shutdown, accomplish the following:

- (a) Remove the vent check valve assembly in accordance with the instructions in Mitsubishi MU-2 Service Bulletin No. 130A, dated July 19, 1971.
- (b) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199

of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

- (d) The removal required by this AD shall be done in accordance with Mitsubishi MU-2 Service Bulletin No. 130A, dated July 19, 1971. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., Nagoya Aerospace Systems, 10, Oyecho, Minato-Ku, Nagoya, Japan . Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC
- (e) This amendment (39-9938) becomes effective on April 16, 1997. Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on February 11, 1997.

Henry A. Armstrong,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 97-3960 Filed 2-18-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-NM-234-AD; Amendment 39-9929; AD 97-04-051

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Jetstream **Model 4101 Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; request for

comments.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Jetstream Model 4101 airplanes. This action requires a one-time inspection of the airplane records to determine the modification status of the elevator trim servo, and, if necessary, inspections to determine the serial number of the servo, and repetitive inspections for looseness or movement of the motor housing of the servo. This action also requires replacement of certain elevator trim servos with a serviceable assembly. This