Omnidirectional Citizens Band Base Station (16 CFR Part 1204). By publication of this notice, the Commission announces that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for reinstatement of approval of that collection of information without change through April 30, 2000.

The Safety Standard for Omnidirectional Citizens Band Base Station Antennas establishes performance requirements for omnidirectional citizens band base station antennas to reduce unreasonable risks of death and injury which may result if an antenna contracts overhead power lines while being erected or removed from its site. Certification regulations implementing the standard require manufacturers, importers and private labelers of antennas subject to the standard to test antennas for compliance with the standard, and to maintain records of that testing.

The records of testing and other information required by the certification regulations allow the Commission to determine that antennas subject to the standard comply with its requirements. This information would also enable the Commission to obtain corrective actions if omnidirectional citizens band base station antennas failed to comply with the standard in a manner which creates a substantial risk of injury to the public.

Additional Information About the Request for Extension Of Approval of a Collection of Information

Agency address: Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC

Title of information collection: Safety Standard for Omnidirectional Citizens Band Base Station Antennas, 16 CFR

Type of request: Reinstatement of approval without change.

General description of respondents: Manufacturers, importers, and private labelers of omnidirectional citizens band base station antennas.

Estimated number of respondents: 7. Estimated average number of hours per respondent: 220 per year.

Estimated number of hours for all

respondents: 1,540 per year.

*Comments:* Comments on this request for reinstatement of approval of a collection of information should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Victoria Wassmer, Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503; telephone: (202) 395-7340. Copies of the request for reinstatement of approval of a collection of

information and supporting documentation are available from Robert E. Frye, Director, Office of Planning and Evaluation, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone: (301) 504-0416, extension 2264.

Dated: February 25, 1997.

Sayde E. Dunn,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 97-5168 Filed 2-28-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6355-01-M

### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

## **Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests**

**AGENCY:** Department of Education. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed information collection requests.

**SUMMARY:** The Director, Information Resources Management Group, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

DATES: An emergency review has been requested in accordance with the Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 3507 (j)), since public harm is reasonably likely to result if normal clearance procedures are followed. Approval by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has been requested by March 10, 1997. A regular clearance process is also beginning. Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before May 2, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments regarding the emergency review should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Wendy Taylor, Desk Officer: Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503. Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request should be addressed to Patrick J. Sherrill, Department of Education, 7th & D Streets, S.W., Room 5624, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, D.C. 20202-4651. Written comments regarding the regular clearance and requests for copies of the proposed information collection requests should be addressed to Patrick J. Sherrill, Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 5624, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202-4651, or should be electronic mailed to the internet address #FIRB@ed.gov, or should be faxed to 202-708-9346.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick J. Sherrill (202)708-8196. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 3506 (c)(2)(A) requires that the Director of OMB provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Director of the Information Resources Management Group, publishes this notice containing proposed information collection requests at the beginning of the Departmental review of the information collection. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g., new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. ED invites public comment at the address specified above. Copies of the requests are available from Patrick J. Sherrill at the address specified above.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department, (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner, (3) is the estimate of burden accurate, (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: February 25, 1997. Gloria Parker,

Director, Information Resources Management Group.

Office of Elementary and Secondary Education

Type of Review: New.

Title: Applications for Competitive Review to Provide Financial Assistance to Increase Educational Opportunities for Alaska Natives.

Abstract: The information is needed to determine the quality of proposed services to increase educational opportunities and address needs of Alaska natives.

Additional Information: This form will be used by States and local educational agencies who will apply under the Alaska Native Education Program. The information is needed to determine the quality of proposed services to increase educational opportunities and address the academic needs of Alaska Natives. The Department will use the information to make grant awards.

An emergency review is requested by March 10 due to delayed funding authorization for this program and to allow sufficient time for potential applicants to respond prior to schools closing in early May as well as making awards on a timely schedule. If applications are not accepted prior to that time, valuable startup time will be lost and educational equipment and supplies will not be available for students at the beginning of the school year in August.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Not-for-profit institutions; State, local or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 81.

Burden Hours: 1,620.

[FR Doc. 97–5096 Filed 2–28–97; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

Floodplain Statement of Findings for Site Investigation Activities at the Oak Ridge K-25 Site Area of Responsibility

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy (DOE). **ACTION:** Floodplain statement of findings.

SUMMARY: This is a Floodplain Statement of Findings for Site Investigation Activities at the Oak Ridge K–25 Site, Roane County, Tennessee, in accordance with 10 CFR part 1022, Compliance with Floodplain/Wetlands Environmental Review Requirements. DOE proposes to conduct site investigations and preliminary engineering activities within the boundaries of the Oak Ridge K–25 Site as required under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

(CERCLA), the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), underground storage tank (UST) regulations or other regulations and directives. Some site investigation activities may occur within 100-year or 500-year floodplain of streams at the plant. DOE has prepared a floodplain assessment describing the possible effects, alternatives, and measures designed to avoid or minimize potential harm to floodplains or their flood storage potential. DOE will allow 15 days of public review after publication of the Statement of Findings before implementation of the proposed action. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert C. Sleeman, Director, **Environmental Restoration Division** (EW-91), DOE Oak Ridge Operations Office, Post Office Box 2001, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, Telephone: (423) 576–3534, Facsimile: (423) 576-6074.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ON GENERAL DOE FLOODPLAIN ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW REQUIREMENTS, CONTACT: Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Assistance, EH-42, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW. Washington, D.C. 20585, Telephone: (202) 586-4600 or (800) 472-2756. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A Notice of Floodplain Involvement was published in the Federal Register on October 4, 1993, (58 FR 51624) and subsequently a floodplain assessment was prepared. The floodplain assessment covers a variety of intrusive and nonintrusive preliminary engineering and site investigation methods and techniques that may be used at one or more sites at the Oak Ridge K-25 Site. These activities include (as detailed in the October 4, 1993, notice), but are not limited to: "(a) sampling of air, surface water, groundwater, sediments, surface and deeper soils; sampling of terrestrial and aquatic biota; and measurement of meteorological characteristics; (b) drilling of boreholes to obtain soil/ geological samples (some of the boreholes would be completed as groundwater monitoring wells); digging soil test pits by hand or backhoe; (d) taking a variety of nonintrusive surveys (such as radiological surveys); (e) taking intrusive surveys (such as with soil penetrometers and similar devices); and (f) conducting underground tests (such as aquifer pump, tracer geophysical log, vertical seismic profile, and seismic tests).'

Alternatives considered in the assessment were (1) no action, (2) prohibition of site investigation activities in floodplains, and (3)

restricting site investigation activities to outside the floodplain when practicable alternatives exist, i.e., data quality would not be compromised. Only a few sampling locations, such as those needed for surface and sediment samples, and a minimal number of boreholes or wells and soil test pits are expected to be in floodplains. Most of the activities addressed by the floodplain assessment will result in no measurable impact on floodplain crosssections or flood stage, and thus do not increase the risk of flooding. Those activities that are identified from sitespecific data as possibly impacting negatively upon the floodplain (e.g., installation of flumes and construction of access roads) may require separate floodplain assessments and the implementation of mitigative measures, e.g., construction during low precipitation periods, prompt stabilization and restoration of affected areas, minimizing vegetation removal, and the use of mats and wide-tracked vehicles. Alternatively, DOE may opt to omit the activity or relocate the activity to an alternate site. Site investigation activities addressed in the floodplain assessment conform to applicable floodplain protection standards.

Issued in Oak Ridge, TN on February 11, 1997.

James L. Elmore,

Alternate National Environmental Policy Act Compliance Officer.

[FR Doc. 97–5123 Filed 2–28–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

# Floodplain Statement of Findings for Site Investigation Activities at the Oak Ridge Y–12 Plant Area of Responsibility

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy (DOE). **ACTION:** Floodplain statement of findings.

**SUMMARY:** This is a Floodplain Statement of Findings for Site Investigation Activities at the Oak Ridge Y-12 Plant, Anderson County, Tennessee, in accordance with 10 CFR part 1022, Compliance with Floodplain/ Wetlands Environmental Review Requirements. DOE proposes to conduct site investigations and preliminary engineering activities within the boundaries of the Oak Ridge Y-12 Plant as required under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), underground storage tank (UST) regulations or other regulations and directives. Some site investigation activities may occur