and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: State environmental agency and community

Estimated Number of Respondents:

Frequency of Response: As required. Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 113,490 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden: 0.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1463.04 and OMB Control No. 2050–0096 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, OPPE Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 25 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: March 2, 1998.

Joseph Retzer, Director,

Regulatory Information Division.
[FR Doc. 98–5853 Filed 3–5–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5489-6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared February 16, 1998 Through February 20, 1998 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 11, 1998 (62 FR 16154).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–AFS–L61216–WA Rating LO, White Pass Ski Area Expansion,

Special-Use-Permit, Pigtail Basin and Hogback Basin, Wenatchee and Gifford, Pinchot National Forests, Yakima and Lewis Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA Region 10 used a screening tool to conduct a limited review of the White Pass Ski Area. Based upon the screen, EPA does not foresee having any environmental objections to the proposed project. Therefore, EPA will not be conducting a detailed review.

ERP No. D-FAA-B51025-NH Rating EC2, Manchester (New Hampshire) Airport Master Plan Update, Improvements to Airside and Landside Facilities, Airport Layout Plan, Permits and Approvals, Manchester, NH.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding wetland and air quality impact. EPA requested additional wetland mitigation and air conformity analysis.

and air conformity analysis.
ERP No. D-FHW-L40203-AK Rating
EO2, Juneau Access Transportation
Project, Improvements in the Lynn
Canal/Taiya Inlet Corridor between
Juneau and Haines/Skagway, SpecialUse-Permit and COE Section 10 and 404
Permits, Tongass National Forest,
Klondike Gold Rush National Historic
Park, Haines State Forest, City and
Borough of Juneau, Haines Borough,
Cities Haines and Skagway, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections to the East Lynn Canal Highway alternative due to potentially significant impacts to Berners Bay, an area containing high resource and recreational values. EPA also identified the need for additional cumulative/indirect induced impact analyses, further discussion and analyses of project economics, and numerous technical analyses be conducted and presented in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-FTA-J40143-UT Rating EC2, University-Downtown-Airport Transportation Corridor, Major Investment Study, Construction and Operation of the East-West Corridor Light Rail Transit (LRT), Transportation System Management (TSM) and Central Business District (CBD), Funding, Salt Lake County, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding air quality and construction impact and requested that these issues be clarified in the Final EIS.

ERP No. D–USA–J11014–CO Rating LO, United States Army Garrison, Fitzsimons (Formerly Fitzsimons Army Medical Center) Disposal and Reuse for BRAC–95, Implementation, City of Aurora, Denver County, CO.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the action as proposed.

ERP No. D-USN-E11041-00 Rating EC2, Cecil Field Naval Air Station, Realignment of F/A-18 Aircraft and Operational Functions, to Other East Coast Installations; NAS Oceana, VA; MCAS Beaufort, SC and MCAS Cherry Point, NC, Implementation, COE Section 404 Permit, FL, SC, NC and VA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern regarding the noise, transportation, air and water quality, environmental contamination, and terrestrial environmental impacts associated with proposed realignment.

ERP No. D–USN–K11084–CĂ Rating EC2, Miramar Naval Air Station Realignment of E–2 Aircraft Squadrons, Three Installations are consider: Point Muga Naval Air Weapons Station, Lemoore Naval Air Station and El Centro, Ventura, Fresno, King and Imperial Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA requested additional information on project description, biological resources, and land use noise compatibility. In particular, EPA is concerned by the analysis of the no action alternative.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-FRC-L05207-WA Nooksack River Basin Hydroelectric Projects, Seven Projects—(FERC No. 4628) (FERC No. 4738) (FERC No. 4270) (FERC No. 4282) (FERC No. 9231) (FERC No. 4312) and (FERC No. 3721) Construction and Operation, Licensing, Whatcom County, WA.

Summary: EPÅ Region 10 used a screening tool to conduct a limited review of this action. Based upon the screen, EPA does not foresee having any environmental objections to the proposed project. Therefore, EPA will not be conducting a detailed review.

ERP No. F-GSĂ-J81009-CO Denver Federal Center Master Site Plan, implementation, City of Lakewood, Jefferson County, CO.

Summary: EPA continues to have concern regarding this project and its relationship to the RCRA Consent Decree. In addition EPA believes additional information on groundwater sites and impacts to those sites should have been provided.

ERP No. F-IBR-K64016-CA Hamilton City Pumping Plant, Fish Screen Improvement Project, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Central Valley, Butte, Colusa, Glenn and Tehama Counties,

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-AF-J11012-00 Colorado Airspace Initiative, Modifications to the National Airspace System, such as the F–16 Aircraft and Aircrews of the 140th Wing of the Colorado Air National Guard, also existing Military Operations Areas (MOAs) and Military Training Routes (MTRs), CO, NM, KS, NB and WY.

Summary: Based on the disclosures of impacts and further mitigation proposed in the FEIS, the EPA believes the preferred alternative can be implemented without significant impacts to the environment.

ÉRP No. F–UMC–K36048–CA Santa Margarita River Flood Control Project (MILCON P–010) and Basilone Road Bridge Replacement Project (MILCON P–030), Construction and Operation, COE Section 404 Permit, Camp Pendleton, CA.

Summary: EPA continues to expressed environmental concerns related to Clean Water Act 404 (b)(1) Guidelines; particularly. EPA believes that additional analysis is required to address less-damaging off-site alternatives sufficient mitigation measures is recommended.

Dated: March 3, 1998.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 98–5842 Filed 3–5–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5489-5]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed February 23, 1998 Through February 27, 1998

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9

EIS No. 980058, DRAFT EIS, BIA, NM, High Mesa Environmental Facility, Construction and Operation, Approval of Lease for Disposal of Municipal Solid Waste, Nambi Indian Reservation, Santa Fe County, NM, Due: April 20, 1998, Contact: Al Sedick (505) 766–1039.

EIS No. 980059, FINAL EIS, FHW, PA, Tunkhannock Transportation Improvement Project, Improvement along US-6 (S.R. 0006 Section E12) through the Borough of Tunkhannock and Tunkhannock Township, Possible COE Section 404 Permit, Wyoming County, PA, Due: April 06, 1998, Contact: Ronald W. Carmichael (717) 221–3461. EIS No. 980060, FINAL SUPPLEMENT, USA, MS Camp Shelby Continued Military Training Activities, Use of National Forest Lands, Updated Information, Final Site Selected Authorization for Implementation of the Proposed G.V. (Sonny) Montgomery Ranges, Special Use Permit, Desoto National Forest, Forrest, George and Perry Counties, MS, Due: April 06, 1998, Contact: Col. Tim Powell (601) 973–6349.

EIS No. 980061, DRAFT EIS, FHW, NC, Mid-Currituck Sound Bridge, between U.S 158 on the Currituck County Mainland and end at NC 12 on the Currituck Outer Banks, US Coast Guard Bridge Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Currituck County, NC, Due: April 30, 1998, Contact: Nicholas L. Graf, P.E. (919) 856–4346.

EIS No. 980062, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, COE, MS, Mississippi River and Tributaries Flood Control Plan, To Construct the Remaining portion of Mississippi River Mainline Levees Enlargement and Seepage Control, Flood Protection and Damage Reduction, Lower Mississippi River Valley, Cape Girardeau, MO, IL, KY, TN, AR and MS, Due: April 20, 1998, Contact: Gary Young (601) 631–5960.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 980006, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, EPA, CA, International Wastewater Treatment Plant and Outfall Facilities, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Construction Grants, CA and Mexico Due: March 23, 1998, Contact: Elizabeth Borowiec (415) 744–1165.

Published FR—1–23–98—Review Period extended.

EIS No. 980050, REVISED DRAFT EIS, DOI, TT, Palau Compact Road Construction, Revision to Major Transportation and Communication Link on the Island of Babeldaob, Implementation, Funding, Republic of Palau, Babeldaob Island, Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, Due: April 13, 1998, Contact: Allen Chin (808) 438–6974.

Published FR—02–27–98—Due Date correction.

Dated: March 3, 1998.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.
[FR Doc. 98–5843 Filed 3–5–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-00524; FRL-5769-5]

Notice of Availability of Regional Pesticide Environmental Stewardship Program Grants

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability of regional Pesticide Environmental Stewardship Program (PESP) Grants.

SUMMARY: EPA is announcing the availability of approximately \$498 thousand in fiscal year 1998 grant/ cooperative agreement funds under section 20 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) as amended, (the Act), for grants to States and all Federally recognized Native American Tribes. The grant dollars are targeted at State and Tribal programs that address reduction of the risks associated with pesticide use in agricultural and non-agricultural settings in the United States. EPA's Office of Pesticide Programs is offering the following grant opportunities to interested and qualified parties.

DATES: In order to be considered for funding during the FY'98 award cycle, all applications must be received by the appropriate EPA regional office on or before May 20, 1998. EPA will make its award decisions by June 19, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Your EPA Regional PESP Coordinator. Contact names for the coordinators are listed under Unit IV. of this document.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Availability of FY'98 Funds

With this publication, EPA is announcing the availability of approximately \$498 thousand in grant/ cooperative agreement funds for FY'98. The Agency has delegated grant making authority to the EPA Regional Offices. Regional offices are responsible for the solicitation of interest, the screening of proposals, and the selection of projects. Grant guidance will be provided to all applicants along with any supplementary information the Regions may wish to provide. All applicants must address the criteria listed under Unit III.B. of this document. In addition, applicants may be required to meet any supplemental Regional criteria. Interested applicants should contact their Regional PESP coordinator listed under Unit IV. of this document for more information.