

*Total Annualized capital/startup costs: 0.*

*Total initial annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$10,568,950.*

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be submitted and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection. The comments will become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 11th day of March, 1998.

**Charles N. Jeffress,**

*Assistant Secretary of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 98-6870 Filed 3-16-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-M

## NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**TIME AND DATE:** 10:00 a.m., Thursday, March 19, 1998.

**PLACE:** Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428.

**STATUS:** Open.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Request from Three (3) Federal Credit Unions to Convert to Community Charters.
2. Request from a Credit Union to Convert Insurance.
3. Request from a Corporate Federal Credit Union for a Field of Membership Amendment.
4. Request from a Corporate Federal Credit Union for a Waiver from Part 704 and a Field of Membership Amendment.
5. Final Amendments to Interpretive Ruling and Policy Statement (IRPS) 94-1, (Chartering Manual).
6. Final Rule: Amendments to Part 792, Subpart A, NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Procedures for Processing Freedom of Information Act Requests for NCUA Records.
7. Final Rule: Amendments to Part 723, NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Member Business Loans.

**RECESS:** 11:15 a.m.

**TIME AND DATE:** 11:30 a.m., Thursday, March 19, 1998.

**PLACE:** Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428.

**STATUS:** Closed.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Three (3) Administrative Actions under Part 704 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations. Closed pursuant to exemption (8).
2. One Administrative Action under Part 741, NCUA's Rules and

Regulations. Closed pursuant to exemption (8).

3. Three (3) Personnel Actions. Closed pursuant to exemptions (2) and (6).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Becky Baker, Secretary of the Board, Telephone (703) 518-6304.

**Becky Baker,**

*Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 98-6964 Filed 3-12-98; 4:54 pm]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-298]

### Nebraska Public Power District, Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-46, issued to the Nebraska Public Power District (NPPD or the licensee), for operation of the Cooper Nuclear Station (CNS), located in Nemaha County, Nebraska.

The proposed amendment, requested by the licensee in a letter dated March 27, 1997, would represent a full conversion from the current Technical Specifications (TS) to a set of TS based on NUREG-1433, "Standard Technical Specifications, General Electric Plants, BWR/4," Revision 1, dated April 1995. NUREG-1433 has been developed through working groups composed of both NRC staff members and industry representatives and has been endorsed by the staff as part of an industry-wide initiative to standardize and improve the TS. As part of this submittal, the licensee has applied the criteria contained in the Commission's "Final Policy Statement on Technical Specification Improvements for Nuclear Power Reactors (Final Policy Statement)," published in the **Federal Register** on July 22, 1993 (58 FR 39132), to the current CNS TS, and, using NUREG-1433 as a basis, developed a proposed set of improved TS for CNS. The criteria in the Final Policy Statement were subsequently added to 10 CFR 50.36, "Technical Specifications," in a rule change that was published in the **Federal Register** on July 19, 1995 (60 FR 36953) and became effective on August 18, 1995.

The licensee has categorized the proposed changes to the existing TS into four general groupings. These groupings are characterized as administrative changes, relocated changes, more

restrictive changes and less restrictive changes.

Administrative changes are those that involve restructuring, renumbering, rewording, interpretation and complex rearranging of requirements and other changes not affecting technical content or substantially revising an operating requirement. The reformatting, renumbering and rewording process reflects the attributes of NUREG-1433 and does not involve technical changes to the existing TS. The proposed changes include: (a) Providing the appropriate numbers, etc., for NUREG-1433 bracketed information (information that must be supplied on a plant specific basis, and which may change from plant to plant), (b) identifying plant-specific wording for system names, etc., and (c) changing NUREG-1433 section wording to conform to existing licensee practices. Such changes are administrative in nature and do not impact initiators of analyzed events or assumed mitigation of accident or transient events.

Relocated changes are those involving relocation of requirements and surveillances for structures, systems, components, or variables that do not meet the criteria for inclusion in TS. Relocated changes are those current TS requirements that do not satisfy or fall within any of the four criteria specified in the Commission's policy statement and may be relocated to appropriate licensee-controlled documents.

The licensee's application of the screening criteria is described in Volume 1 of its March 27, 1997, submittal, which is entitled, "Application of Selection Criteria to the Cooper Nuclear Station Technical Specifications." The affected structures, systems, components or variables are not assumed to be initiators of analyzed events and are not assumed to mitigate accident or transient events. The requirements and surveillances for these affected structures, systems, components, or variables will be relocated from the TS to administratively controlled documents such as the Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR), the TS BASES, The Technical Requirements Manual (TRM), the Core Operating Limits Report (COLR), the Offsite Dose Assessment Manual (ODAM), the Inservice Testing (IST) Program, or other licensee-controlled documents. Changes made to these documents will be made pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59 or other appropriate control mechanisms. In addition the affected structures, systems, components, or variables are addressed in existing surveillance procedures that are also subject to 10 CFR 50.59. These

proposed changes will not impose or eliminate any requirements.

More restrictive changes are those involving more stringent requirements for operation of the facility. These more stringent requirements do not result in operation that will alter assumptions relative to the mitigation of an accident or transient event. The more restrictive requirements will not alter the operation of process variables, structures, systems, and components described in the safety analyses. For each requirement in the current CNS TS that is more restrictive than the corresponding requirement in NUREG-1433 that the licensee proposes to retain in the improved Technical Specifications (ITS), they have provided an explanation of why they have concluded that retaining the more restrictive requirement is desirable to ensure safe operation of the facility because of specific design features of the plant.

Less restrictive changes are those where current requirements are relaxed or eliminated, or new flexibility is provided. The more significant "less restrictive" requirements are justified on a case-by-case basis. When requirements have been shown to provide little or no safety benefit, their removal from the TS may be appropriate. In most cases, relaxations previously granted to individual plants on a plant-specific basis were the result of (a) generic NRC actions, (b) new NRC staff positions that have evolved from technological advancements and operating experience, or (c) resolution of the Owners Groups' comments on the Improved Standard Technical Specifications. Generic relaxations contained in NUREG-1433 were reviewed by the staff and found to be acceptable because they are consistent with current licensing practices and NRC regulations. The licensee's design will be reviewed to determine if the specific design basis and licensing basis are consistent with the technical basis for the model requirements in NUREG-1433, thus providing a basis for these revised TS, or if relaxation of the requirements in the current TS is warranted based on the justification provided by the licensee.

These administrative, relocated, more restrictive and less restrictive changes to the requirements of the current TS do not result in operations that will alter assumptions relative to mitigation of an analyzed accident or transient event.

In addition to the changes solely involving the conversion, changes are proposed to the current technical specifications or as deviations from the improved GE Technical Specifications (NUREG-1433) as follows:

1. ITS 3.1.8 revises the Scram Discharge Volume Vent and Drain Valve Actions from the corresponding actions of NUREG-1433, to eliminate the restoration requirement if the associated line is isolated.

2. ITS 3.5.1 revises the ECCS Allowed Outage Times from those in the CTS to allow continued operation for up to 72 hours with certain equipment or systems inoperable.

3. ITS 3.7.1, 3.7.2, and 3.7.3, would allow plant operation to continue indefinitely with only one pump per loop operable for the Residual Heat Removal Service Water Booster, the Service Water and the Reactor Equipment Cooling (REC) systems, based on current analyses. The CTS allow continued operation for only 30 days with one pump inoperable; the ITS also deviate from the required actions of NUREG-1433.

4. ITS 3.7.2 and 3.7.3 revise the Service Water and REC system pump and valve testing frequencies from monthly to quarterly to be consistent with ASME Section XI requirements.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

By April 16, 1998, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Auburn Memorial Library, 1810 Courthouse Avenue, Auburn, NE 68305. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest.

The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to

intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Mr. John R. McPhail, Nebraska Public Power District, Post Office Box 499, Columbus, NE 68602-0499, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

If a request for a hearing is received, the Commission's staff may issue the amendment after it completes its technical review and prior to the completion of any required hearing if it publishes a further notice for public comment of its proposed finding of no significant hazards consideration in accordance with 10 CFR 50.91 and 50.92.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated March 27, 1997, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Auburn Memorial Library, 1810 Courthouse Avenue, Auburn, NE 68305.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of March 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**James R. Hall,**

*Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-1, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 98-6825 Filed 3-16-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No.: 040-07924]

### Schott Glass Technologies, Inc., License Termination Request, Opportunity for Hearing and Notification of Public Meeting on Licensee's Termination Request

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to terminate the NRC license for the Schott Glass Technologies, Inc. (Schott) facility located in Duryea, Pennsylvania, notice of a public meeting, and notice of opportunity for a hearing for release of the site for unrestricted use.

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering granting the license termination for Source Material License No. STB-988, requested by Schott Glass Technologies, Inc. (the licensee), Duryea, Pennsylvania. The NRC has determined that remediation of residual radioactive contamination, as a result of past operations with NRC licensed material in buildings and in exterior areas on the site, has successfully been completed and the facility meets the NRC Criteria for release for unrestricted use, which were identified in the "Action Plan to Ensure Timely Cleanup of Site Decommissioning Management Plan Sites" (57 FR 13389-13392, April 16, 1992).

The NRC hereby provides notice of an opportunity to meet with the NRC staff to discuss the following: (1) The licensee's site remediation actions and final status survey; (2) the NRC confirmatory inspections including independent sampling; and (3) the proposed action to terminate the license and remove the site from the Site Decommissioning Management Plan (SDMP). The meeting will be held on March 23, 1998, from 7 to 9 p.m., at Victoria Inns located on Route 315, in Pittston Township, Pennsylvania.

Waste glass materials from manufacturing glass, including thoriated glass, which contained thorium concentrations that met the NRC definition of source material, were placed in a parabolic-shaped landfill area on the licensee's property from 1969 until 1980. Based on the licensee's site characterization data, the thoriated glass volume is approximately 0.01% of the fill area. Fixed residual contamination was also identified in localized areas where the thorium had been stored and processed in the manufacturing building. Schott's current NRC license authorized

possession of this glass and residual contamination incident to decommissioning only. By letter dated January 22, 1997, Schott informed NRC that it had completed the final decommissioning survey of the buildings and loading dock, and multi-layered cap of the fill area at their facility located in Duryea. Additional information in response to the NRC review of this termination request was submitted on March 31, 1997, November 25, 1997, and January 21, 1998. Based upon the licensee completing the required remediation actions as represented in the above documents and the NRC confirmatory inspection report dated March 26, 1997, NRC concluded that the facility meets the NRC guidance for releasing the site for unrestricted use. Notwithstanding, the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) required some land-use restrictions as a condition of approval of the waste management plan to address the non-radiological hazardous materials that are regulated by PADEP.

The NRC hereby provides notice that this is a proceeding on an application for a license amendment falling within the scope of Subpart L, "Informal Hearing Procedures for Adjudications in Materials and Operator Licensing Proceedings," of NRC's rules and practice for domestic licensing proceedings in 10 CFR part 2. Pursuant to § 2.1205(a), any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing in accordance with § 2.1205(c). A request for a hearing must be filed within thirty (30) days of the date of publication of this **Federal Register** Notice.

The request for a hearing must be filed with the Office of the Secretary either:

1. By delivery to the Docketing and Service Branch of the Secretary at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-2738; or
2. By mail or telegram addressed to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Attention: Docketing and Service Branch.

In addition to meeting other applicable requirements of 10 CFR Part 2 of the NRC's regulations, a request for a hearing filed by a person other than an applicant must describe in detail:

1. The interest of the requestor in the proceeding;
2. How that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, including the reasons why the requestor should be permitted a hearing, with particular reference to the factors set out in § 2.1205(g);