accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin 53–253, dated March 31, 1994.

- (1) Accomplish the actions specified in paragraphs (c)(1)(i), (c)(1)(ii), (c)(1)(ii), and (c)(1)(iv) of this AD at the times specified.
- (i) Prior to further flight, install the temporary repair in accordance with the service bulletin.
- (ii) Within 3,000 landings after installation of the temporary repair, and thereafter, at intervals not to exceed 3,000 landings, perform visual inspections to detect cracking of the repaired area, in accordance with the service bulletin.
- (iii) Within 4,500 landings after installation of the temporary repair, and thereafter, at intervals not to exceed 4,500 landings, perform HFEC inspections to detect cracking of any area not covered by the temporary doubler repair, in accordance with the service bulletin.
- (iv) Within 8,000 landings after installation of the temporary repair, accomplish the permanent repair in accordance with the service bulletin. Accomplishment of the permanent repair constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of this AD.
- (2) Prior to further flight, accomplish the permanent repair in accordance with the service bulletin. Accomplishment of the permanent repair constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of this AD.
- (d) If any cracking is detected that extends forward of station Y=160.000 or aft of station Y=200.000, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.
- (e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 13, 1998.

Darrell M. Pederson.

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–7229 Filed 3–19–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-CE-09-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Glaser-Dirks Flugzeugbau GmbH Model DG-500M Gliders

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to Glaser-Dirks Flugzeugbau GmbH (Glaser-Dirks) Model DG-500M gliders. The proposed AD would require installing a rudder gap seal and modifying the cooling liquid reservoir mount. The proposed AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Germany. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent rudder vibrations caused by flow separation at the rudder gap, which could result in flutter with consequent loss of rudder control.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 17, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–CE–09–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted.

Service information that applies to the proposed AD may be obtained from DG Flugzeugbau GmbH, Postfach 4120, D-76625 Bruchsal 4, Germany; telephone: +49 7257–89-0; facsimile: +49 7257–8922. This information also may be examined at the Rules Docket at the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Mike Kiesov, Aerospace Engineer, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, FAA, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 426–6934; facsimile: (816) 426–2169.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 98–CE–09–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–CE–09–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Discussion

The Luftfahrt-Bundesamt (LBA), which is the airworthiness authority for Germany, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all Glaser-Dirks Model DG–500M gliders. The LBA reports that rudder vibrations could occur at high speeds. These vibrations are caused by flow separation at the rudder gap. This condition was detected during high speed flight tests.

These conditions, if not corrected, could result in flutter with consequent loss of rudder control.

Relevant Service Information

Glaser-Dirks has issued Technical Note (TN) No. 843/5, dated November 30, 1992, which specifies installing a rudder gap seal and modifying the cooling liquid reservoir mount. Procedures for installing the rudder gap seal are included in the applicable maintenance manual, and procedures for modifying the cooling liquid reservoir mount are included in Glaser-

Dirks Working Instruction No. 1 for TN 843/5, dated November 5, 1992.

The LBA classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued German AD 93–010 Glaser-Dirks, dated January 5, 1993, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Germany.

The FAA's Determination

This airplane model is manufactured in Germany and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the LBA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above.

The FAA has examined the findings of the LBA; reviewed all available information, including the service information referenced above; and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Explanation of the Provisions of the Proposed AD

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop in other Glaser-Dirks Model DG-500M gliders of the same type design registered in the United States, the FAA is proposing AD action. The proposed AD would require installing a rudder gap seal and modifying the cooling liquid reservoir mount. Accomplishment of the proposed installation would be required in accordance with the maintenance manual. Accomplishment of the proposed modification would be required in accordance with Glaser-Dirks Working Instruction No. 1 for TN 843/5, dated November 5, 1992, as referenced in Glaser-Dirks TN No. 843/ 5, dated November 30, 1992.

Compliance Time of the Proposed AD

Although the rudder vibrations identified in this proposed AD occur during flight, this unsafe condition is not a result of the number of times the glider is operated. The chance of this situation occurring is the same for a glider with 10 hours time-in-service (TIS) as it is for a glider with 500 hours TIS. For this reason, the FAA has determined that a compliance based on calendar time should be utilized in the proposed AD in order to assure that the unsafe condition is addressed on all gliders in a reasonable time period.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 5 gliders in the U.S. registry would be affected by the proposed AD, that it would take approximately 4 workhours per airplane to accomplish the proposed action, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Parts cost approximately \$40 per glider. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1,400, or \$280 per glider.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a ''significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

Glaser-Dirks Flugzeugbau GMBH: Docket No. 98–CE–09–AD.

Applicability: Model DG–500M gliders, all serial numbers, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each glider identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For gliders that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required within the next 3 calendar months after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent rudder vibrations caused by flow separation at the rudder gap, which could result in flutter with consequent loss of rudder control, accomplish the following:

- (a) Install a rudder gap seal in accordance with the instructions in the maintenance manual, as referenced in Glaser-Dirks Technical Note (TN) No. 843/5, dated November 30, 1992.
- (b) Modify the cooling liquid reservoir mount in accordance with Glaser-Dirks Working Instruction No. 1 for TN 843/5, dated November 5, 1992, as referenced in Glaser-Dirks TN No. 843/5, dated November 30. 1992.
- (c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the glider to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.
- (d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Small Airplane Directorate.

(e) Questions or technical information related to Glaser-Dirks Technical Note No. 843/5, dated November 30, 1992, should be directed to DG Flugzeugbau GmbH, Postfach 4120, D–76625 Bruchsal 4, Germany; telephone: +49 7257–89–0; facsimile: +49 7257–8922. This service information may be examined at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in German AD 93–010, dated January 5, 1993. Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on March

Michael Gallagher,

11, 1998

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–7250 Filed 3–19–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

27 CFR Part 9

[Notice No. 858]

RIN 1512-AA07

Chiles Valley Viticultural Area (96F–111)

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), Treasury. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) has received a petition for the establishment of a viticultural area in Napa County, California, to be known as "Chiles Valley." This proposal is the result of a petition submitted by Mr. Volker Eisele, owner of the Volker Eisele Vineyard and Winery.

DATES: Written comments must be received by May 19, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to: Chief, Regulations Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, P.O. Box 50221, Washington, D.C. 20091–0221 (Attn: Notice No. 858). Copies of the petition, the proposed regulation, the appropriate maps, and written comments will be available for public inspection during normal business hours at: ATF Public Reading Room, Office of Public Affairs and Disclosure, Room 6480, 650 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas B. Busey, Specialist, Regulations Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, 650 Massachusetts Avenue, NW,

Washington, D.C. 20226, (202) 927–8230

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 23, 1978, ATF published Treasury decision ATF–53 (43 FR 37672, 54624) revising regulations in 27 CFR part 4. These regulations allow the establishment of definitive viticultural areas. The regulations allow the name of an approved viticultural area to be used

as an appellation of origin on wine labels and in wine advertisements. On October 2, 1979, ATF published Treasury decision ATF-60 (44 FR 56692) which added a new part 9 to 27 CFR, providing for the listing of approved American viticultural areas, the names of which may be used as appellations of origin.

Section 4.25a(e)(1), Title 27, CFR, defines an American viticultural area as a delimited grape-growing region distinguishable by geographic features, the boundaries of which have been delineated in Subpart C of part 9.

Section 4.25(e)(2), Title 27, CFR, outlines the procedure for proposing an American viticultural area. Any interested person may petition ATF to establish a grape-growing region as a viticultural area. The petition should include:

(a) Evidence that the name of the proposed viticultural area is locally and/or nationally known as referring to the area specified in the petition;

(b) Historical or current evidence that the boundaries of the viticultural area are as specified in the petition;

(c) Evidence relating to the geographical characteristics (climate, soil, elevation, physical features, etc.) which distinguish the viticultural features of the proposed area from surrounding areas;

(d) A description of the specific boundaries of the viticultural area, based on features which can be found on United States Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) maps of the largest applicable scale, and;

(e) A copy (or copies) of the appropriate U.S.G.S. map(s) with the proposed boundaries prominently marked.

Petition

ATF has received a petition from Mr. Volker Eisele, representing the Chiles Valley District Committee proposing to establish a new viticultural area in Napa County, California to be known as "Chiles Valley District." The proposed Chiles Valley District viticultural area is located entirely within the Napa Valley. The proposed viticultural area is located in the eastern portion of Napa Valley between and on the same latitude as St. Helena and Rutherford. It contains approximately 6,000 acres, of which 1.000 are planted to vineyards. Four wineries are currently active within the proposed viticultural area.

Evidence That the Name of the Area Is Locally or Nationally Known

An historical survey written by Charles Sullivan spells out the historical use of the name Chiles Valley and vineyard plantings dating back to the late 1800's. Numerous references exist indicating the general use of the name "Chiles Valley" to refer to the petitioned area. The petitioner included copies of title pages of various publications, guide and tour book references, public and private phone book listings and Federal and State agency maps, to illustrate the use of the name.

In the petitioner's original proposal, the term "district" was included as part of the viticultural area name (i.e., Chiles Valley District). Although the petitioner stated that there was no historical evidence for the use of the term "district" in conjunction with Chiles Valley, the committee felt that the use of this term was important to emphasize that the Chiles Valley was part of a larger valley, in this case the Napa Valley, which totally surrounds the proposed viticultural area. Under California state law an appellation that is totally surrounded by the Napa Valley appellation can only use the name conjunctively with the name Napa Valley on any wine label. ATF has permitted the addition of the term "District" to the proposed names of viticultural areas before. See Stag's Leap District, 27 CFR 9.117; San Ysidro District, 27 CFR 9.130; and, Spring Mountain District, 27 CFR 9.143. However, in each of these there was evidence submitted to justify the use of the term "district" as part of the viticultural area name.

ATF does not believe the petitioner has submitted sufficient evidence to support the use of the term "District" with Chiles Valley. Consequently, the name of the proposed viticultural area is being proposed as "Chiles Valley." However, ATF encourages the submission of any specific comments on the issue of whether the term "district" in the proposed name is appropriate.

Historical or Current Evidence That the Boundaries of the Viticultural Area Are as Specified in the Petition

According to the petitioner, the boundaries establish a grape producing area with an identifiable character and quality, based on climate, topography, and historical tradition. The historical evidence can be dated to the mid 1800's with a land grant from the Mexican government to Joseph Ballinger Chiles, whose name the valley would later bear. The land grant was called Rancho Catacula and these lands all lie within the proposed appellation boundaries. The boundaries of the land grant are still recognized on U.S.G.S. maps of the area. A vineyard planting was one of the earliest agricultural operations conducted. For the most part the