filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for HIOS to appear or be represented at the hearing.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-9493 Filed 4-9-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-312-000]

# **Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Application**

April 6, 1998.

Take notice that on March 31, 1998, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway), Post Office Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251–1478, filed in Docket No. CP98–312–000, an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for permission and approval to abandon an obsolete transportation service for Cytec Industries (Cytec) all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Federal Energy Commission (Commission) and open to public inspection.

Koch Gateway proposes to abandon an obsolete transportation service formally provided to Cytec pursuant to Koch Gateway's Rate Schedule X–162. Koch Gateway states that Cytec concurs with the proposed abandonment and that no facilities are proposed to be abandoned.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before April 22, 1998, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 357.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the Protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to

participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the NGA and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designees on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity, If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or it the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Koch Gateway to appear or be represented at the hearing.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–9492 Filed 4–9–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-313-000]

# **Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Application**

April 6, 1998.

Take notice that on March 31, 1998, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway), Post Office Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251–1478, filed in Docket No. CP98–313–000, an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for permission and approval to abandon various obsolete transportation services for Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Federal Energy Commission (Commission) and open to public inspection.

Koch Gateway proposes to abandon obsolete transportation services formally provided to Transco pursuant to Koch Gateway's Rate Schedule X–158. Koch Gateway states that Transco concurs with the proposed abandonment and that no facilities are proposed to be abandoned.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said

application should on or before April 22, 1998, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 357.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the Protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the NGA and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Koch Gateway to appear or be represented at the hearing.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–9494 Filed 4–9–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4717-01-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-314-000]

# **Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Application**

April 6, 1998.

Take notice that on March 31, 1998, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway), Post Office Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251–1478, filed in Docket No. CP98–314–000, an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for permission and approval to abandon an obsolete transportation service for Mississippi River Transmission

Corporation (MRT) all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Federal Energy Commission (Commission) and open to public inspection.

Koch Gateway proposes to abandon a transportation service formally provided to MRT pursuant to Koch Gateway's Rate Schedule X–91. Koch Gateway states that MRT concurs with the proposed abandonment and that no facilities are proposed to be abandoned.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before April 22, 1998, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 357.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the Protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the NGA and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Koch Gateway to appear or be represented at the hearing.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.
[FR Doc. 98–9495 Filed 4–9–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. GP98–26–000, GP98–27–000, GP98–28–000, and GP98–29–000 (Not consolidated)]

# ONEOK Resources Company; Notice of Petitions for Dispute Resolution

April 6, 1998.

Take notice that, on March 12, 1998, ONEOK Resources Company (ONEOK Resources), successor to ONEOK Exploration Company (ONEOK Exploration) and Imperial Oil & Gas, Inc., filed:

(1) A petition, in Docket No. GP98–26–000, requesting the Commission to resolve ONEOK Resources' dispute with Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), over ONEOK Resources' Kansas ad valorem tax refund liability to Northern;

(2) A petition, in Docket No. GP98–27–000, requesting the Commission to resolve ONEOK Resources' dispute with Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle), over ONEOK Resources' Kansas ad valorem tax refund liability to Panhandle;

(3) A petition to Docket No. GP98–28–000, requesting the Commission to resolve ONEOK Resources' dispute with Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc., formerly: Williams Natural Gas Company (Williams), over ONEOK Resources' Kansas ad valorem tax refund liability to Williams; and

(4) A petition in Docket No. GP98–29–000, requesting the Commission to resolve ONEOK Resources' dispute with KN Interstate Gas Transmission Company (KNI), over ONEOK Resources' Kansas ad valorem tax refund liability to KNI.

The Commission, by order issued September 10, 1997, in Docket No. RP97–369–000 *et al.*,¹ on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals,² required first sellers to refund the Kansas ad valorem tax reimbursements to the pipelines, with interest, for the period from 1983 to 1988. In its January 28, 1998, Order Clarifying Procedures, the Commission stated that producers (i.e., first sellers), could file dispute resolution requests with the Commission, asking the Commission to resolve the dispute with the pipeline over the amount of Kansas ad valorem

tax refunds owed, see 82 FERC ¶ 61,059 (1998). ONEOK Resources' petitions are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

In each petition, ONEOK Resources states that: (1) it has no records prior to its purchase of certain producing interests in the State of Kansas; (2) it assumed the obligation for those producing interests on September 1, 1985; (3) through the close of business on March 9, 1998, it attempted to resolve its differences with each pipeline; (4) its attempts failed with respect to each pipeline; and (5) it now requests the Commission to establish procedures to resolve the issue of the correct amount of the refunds due each pipeline.

In its petition in Docket No. GP98–26–000, ONEOK Resources states that it disputes owing \$21,386.07, plus interest, to Northern, and has placed that money into escrow. ONEOK Resources states that it has paid Northern the remaining balance of \$4,952.60 in principal and \$10,717.32 in interest.

In its petition in Docket No. GP98-27-000, ONEOK Resources concludes that it does not owe Panhandle any refunds for the 1985 Kansas ad valorem tax reimbursements, because it did not receive the maximum lawful price for those gas sales. Therefore, ONEOK Resources concludes that it does not owe Panhandle the \$12,326.09 and \$18,555.79 in related interest to Panhandle. ONEOK Resources states that it has placed these amounts into escrow. ONEOK Resources further concludes that it does not owe Panhandle the \$76,366.95 in principal and \$166,902.91 in related interest pertaining to Kansas ad valorem tax reimbursements that were paid to an individual prior to ONEOK Resources' acquisition of that individual's working interest in the wells. ONEOK Resources states that the remaining \$16,467.51 in principal and \$30,379.94 in related interest has been paid to Panhandle.

In its petition in Docket No. GP98-28-000, ONEOK Resources states that it received a copy of a Statement of Gas Settlement, dated September 25, 1986, identifying \$6,642.24 of the original \$15,526.45 of principal requested by Williams. ONEOK Resources states that it is trying to confirm this information, and that it will dispute the remaining \$8,884.22 of principal, and the related interest, until it confirms this information. ONEOK Resources also states that it disagrees with Williams' interest calculation methodology. **ONEOK Resources contends that** interest should be computed from the date the check was issued (September

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC, 91 F.3d 1478 (D.C. 1996), cert. denied, Nos. 96–954 and 96–1230 (65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754, May 12, 1997).