

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dr. Frank M. Sulzman, Code UL,
National Aeronautics and Space
Administration, Washington, DC 20546,
202/358-0220.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room. The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- Action Status
- Update: Office of Life & Microgravity Sciences and Applications, Life Sciences Division
- Human Subjects
- EVA Research Plans
- Changes in Shuttle/Station Manifest
- Biology Pillars
- Peer Review Update
- Performance Goals
- Discussion of Committee Findings and Recommendations
- Subcommittee Report Review

It is imperative that the meeting be held on this date to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants. Visitors will be requested to sign a visitor's register.

Dated: April 14, 1998.

Matthew M. Crouch,

*Advisory Committee Management Officer,
National Aeronautics and Space
Administration.*

[FR Doc. 98-10495 Filed 4-20-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 98-056]

NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Technology and Commercialization Advisory Committee (TCAC); Meeting

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a meeting of the NASA Advisory Council, Technology and Commercialization Advisory Committee.

DATES: Wednesday, May 20, 1998, 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. and Thursday, May 21, 1998, 8 a.m. to 1 p.m.

ADDRESSES: National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Room MIC-7, 300 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20546.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Gregory M. Reck, Code AF, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546 (202/358-4700).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room. The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- Report from liaison members with other advisory committees on activities related to technology
- Review of NASA space commercialization activities
- Discussion of charter for review of the Human Exploration and Development Enterprise technology program

It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants. Visitors will be requested to sign a visitor's register.

Dated: April 14, 1998.

Matthew M. Crouch,

*Advisory Committee Management Officer,
National Aeronautics and Space
Administration.*

[FR Doc. 98-10496 Filed 4-20-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Prince Georges County, Md; Mixed-Use Waterfront Destination Resort; Meeting

AGENCY: National Capital Planning Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Construction of Mixed-Use Waterfront Destination Resort In Prince Georges County, Maryland; Public Meeting and Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement: Correction FR: 9529 appearing at page 17899 in the **Federal Register** on April 10, 1998: In the 3rd column, **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** on Page 17899 "Monday May 12, 1998 is corrected to read Tuesday, May 12, 1998."

Sandra H. Shapiro,

General Counsel, National Capital Planning Commission.

[FR Doc. 98-10554 Filed 4-20-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7502-02-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, and 50-287]

Duke Energy Corporation, Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of the Duke Energy

Corporation (the licensee) to withdraw its February 10, 1997, application for proposed amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47, and DPR-55 for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3, respectively, located in Seneca County, South Carolina.

The proposed amendments would have revised the Technical Specifications to reduce the allowable reactor building volume leakage rate per-day limit to permit relaxation of certain requirements for operability of the power-operated relief valves. The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments published in the **Federal Register** on March 12, 1997 (62 FR 11493). However, by letter dated April 6, 1998, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated February 10, 1997, as supplemented February 4, 1998, and the licensee's letter dated April 6, 1998, which withdrew the application for license amendments. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Oconee County Library, 501 West South Broad Street, Walhalla, South Carolina.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of April 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David E. LaBarge,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate II-2, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 98-10543 Filed 4-20-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-397]

Washington Public Power Supply System; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-21, issued to Washington Public Power Supply System, (the licensee), for operation of the Nuclear Project No. 2 (WNP-2) located in Benton County, Washington.

The proposed amendment would revise the maximum yield strength for

emergency core cooling system suction strainer materials listed in the WNP-2 Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR). The licensee identified this change as an unreviewed safety question and accordingly, the NRC staff is reviewing this FSAR change.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

By May 21, 1998, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Richland Public Library, 955 Northgate Street, Richland, Washington 99352. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for

leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Perry D. Robinson, Esq., Winston & Strawn, 1400 L Street NW, Washington, DC 20005-3502, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1) (i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

If a request for a hearing is received, the Commission's staff may issue the amendment after it completes its technical review and prior to the completion of any required hearing if it publishes a further notice for public comment of its proposed finding of no significant hazards consideration in accordance with 10 CFR 50.91 and 50.92.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated April 16, 1998, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Richland Public Library, 955 Northgate Street, Richland, Washington 99352.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of April 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Chester Poslusny,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-2, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 98-10665 Filed 4-20-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-255]

Consumers Power Company, Palisades Plant; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-20, issued to Consumers Energy Company, (the licensee), for operation of the Palisades Plant located in Van Buren County, Michigan.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed amendment would revise the limitations on concentrations of radioactive material released in liquid effluents and reflects the relocation of the prior 10 CFR 20.106 requirements to the revised 10 CFR 20.1302.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for