

upstream supplier; and the HHI statistic for the upstream market.

(d) Any remedies proposed by the applicant (including, for example, divestiture or participation in an independent system operator) which are intended to mitigate the adverse effect of the proposed transaction must, to the extent possible, be factored into the vertical Competitive Screen Analysis as an additional post-transaction analysis. Any mitigation commitments that involve facilities must specify which facilities are affected by the commitment.

(e) Additional factors. If the applicant does not propose mitigation measures and does not otherwise demonstrate that the proposed transaction will not adversely affect competition, the applicant must address: the potential for entry in the market and the role that entry could play in mitigating adverse competitive effects of the transaction; the efficiency gains that reasonably could not be achieved by other means; and whether, but for the transaction, one or more of the parties to the transaction would be likely to fail, causing its assets to exit the market. The applicant must address each of the additional factors in the context of whether the proposed transaction is likely to present concerns about raising rivals' costs or anticompetitive coordination.

§ 33.5 Proposed accounting entries.

If the applicant is required to maintain its books of account in accordance with the Commission's Uniform System of Accounts (part 101 of this chapter), the applicant must present proposed accounting entries showing the effect of the transaction with sufficient detail to indicate the effects on all account balances (including amounts transferred on an interim basis), the effect on the income statement, and the effects on other relevant financial statements. The applicant must also explain how the amount of each entry was determined.

§ 33.6 Form of notice.

The applicant must file a form of notice of the application suitable for issuance in the **Federal Register**, as well as a copy of the same notice in electronic format in WordPerfect 6.1 (or other electronic format the Commission may designate) on a 3½" diskette marked with the name of the applicant and the words "Notice of Application." The Commission may require the applicant to give such local notice by publication as the Commission in its discretion may deem proper.

§ 33.7 Verification.

The original application shall be signed by a person or persons having authority with respect thereto and having knowledge of the matters therein set forth, and shall be verified under oath.

§ 33.8 Number of copies.

An original and five copies of application under this part shall be submitted. If the applicant must submit information specified in paragraphs (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) of § 33.3 or paragraphs (b), (c), (d) and (e) of § 33.4, the applicant must submit all such information in electronic format along with a printed description and summary. The electronic version of all text documents shall be submitted in WordPerfect Version 6.1, and the electronic version of all spreadsheet documents shall be submitted in either Lotus, QuattroPro Version 6.0 or Microsoft Excel Version 4.0 (or other electronic format the Commission may designate). The printed portion of the applicant's submission must include documentation for the electronic submission, including all file names and a summary of the data contained in each file. Each column (or data item) in each separate data table or chart must be clearly labeled in accordance with the requirements of § 33.3 and § 33.4. Any units of measurement associated with numeric entries must also be included.

§ 33.9 Protective order.

If the applicant seeks to protect any portion of the application, or any attachment thereto, from public disclosure pursuant to § 388.112 of this chapter of the Commission's regulations, the applicant must include with its request for privileged treatment a proposed protective order under which the parties to the proceeding will be able to review any of the data, information, analysis or other documentation relied upon by the applicant for which privileged treatment is sought.

§ 33.10 Additional information requests by the Commission.

The Director of the Office of Electric Power Regulation, or his designee, may, by letter, require the applicant to submit additional information as is needed for Commission analysis of an application filed under this part.

[FR Doc. 98-10686 Filed 4-23-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CT18-1-7204b; A-1-FRL-5999-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Connecticut; Alternative Reasonably Available Control Technology for Volatile Organic Compounds at Risdon Corporation in Danbury

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Connecticut. This revision allows an alternative reasonably available control technology (RACT) determination for volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions at Risdon Corporation's Danbury facility which are subject to Connecticut's miscellaneous metal parts and products VOC RACT regulations. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this proposal. Any parties interested in commenting on this proposal should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 26, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Susan Studlien, Deputy Director, Office of Ecosystem Protection (mail code CAA), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, JFK Federal Bldg., Boston, MA 02203. Copies of the State submittal and EPA's technical support document are available for public inspection during normal business hours, by appointment at the Office of Ecosystem Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, 11th floor, Boston, MA and, the Bureau of Air Management, Department of Environmental Protection, State Office

Building, 79 Elm Street, Hartford, CT 06106-1630.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven A. Rapp, Environmental Engineer, Air Quality Planning Unit (CAQ), U.S. EPA, Region I, JFK Federal Building, Boston, MA 02203-2211; (617) 565-2773; or by E-mail at: Rapp.Steve@EPAMAIL.EPA.GOV.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: April 2, 1998.

John P. DeVillars,

Regional Administrator, Region I.

[FR Doc. 98-10973 Filed 4-23-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[MO 053-1053b; FRL-6003-1]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; Missouri; Control of Landfill Gas Emissions From Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the Missouri state 111(d) plan for controlling landfill gas emissions from existing municipal solid waste (MSW) landfills. The plan was submitted to fulfill the requirements of the Clean Air Act. The state plan establishes emission limits for existing MSW landfills, and provides for the implementation and enforcement of those limits.

In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no relevant adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated and the direct final rule will become effective. If the EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties

interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by May 26, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551-7603.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: April 9, 1998.

Dennis Grams,

Regional Administrator, Region VII.

[FR Doc. 98-10976 Filed 4-23-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180, 185, and 186

[OPP-300551A; FRL-5783-8]

RIN 2070-AC18

Proposed Tolerance Revocations for Canceled Pesticide Active Ingredients; Reopening of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA is reopening the comment period for the proposed rule on revocation of tolerances and exemptions from the requirements of a tolerance for canceled pesticide active ingredients. The proposed revocation was published in the **Federal Register** of January 21, 1998. The comment period expired on March 23, 1998. One commenter, the European Union, requested additional time to make an analysis. In response, the Agency is reopening the comment period until May 5, 1998.

DATES: Written comments, identified by the docket control number [OPP-300551A], must be received on or before May 5, 1998.

ADDRESSES: By mail, submit written comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch, Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, deliver comments to: Rm. 119, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Comments and data may also be submitted electronically to: opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Follow the instructions under Unit II of this document. No Confidential Business Information (CBI) should be submitted through e-mail.

Information submitted as a comment concerning this document may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as CBI. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the comment that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential will be included in the public docket by EPA without prior notice. The public docket is available for public inspection in Rm. 119 at the Virginia address given above, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Joseph Nevola, Special Review and Reregistration Division (7508W), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW, Washington, DC 20460. Office location and telephone number and e-mail address: Special Review Branch, Crystal Station #1, 3rd floor, 2800 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202, (703) 308-8037, e-mail: nevola.joseph@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In the **Federal Register** of January 21, 1998 (63 FR 3057)(5743-8), EPA issued a proposed rule to revoke tolerances and exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance for canceled pesticide active ingredients. The original due date for comments on the Proposed rule was March 23, 1998. EPA is reopening the comment period until May 5, 1998. EPA received a request for an extension due to the need to collect specific information that may be responsive to the proposal.

II. Public Record and Electronic Submissions

The official record for this rulemaking, as well as the public version, has been established for this rulemaking under docket control number [OPP-300551A] (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The official rulemaking record