\$850,000.00 for these violations and to implement specific projects designed to reduce the benzene content of its waste streams and to bring the facility into compliance with the applicable regulatory requirements.

For a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, the Department of Justice will receive written comments relating to the proposed consent decree from persons who are not parties to the action. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *AMOCO Oil Company*, DOJ #90–5–2–1–2131.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the offices of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Texas, c/o U.S. Marshall Service, U.S. Courthouse, 515 Rusk, Houston, Texas, 77002 and at the office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VI, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202 (Attention: Rusty Herbert, Assistant Regional Counsel). A copy of the consent decree may also be examined at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. Copies of the decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library. Such requests should be accompanied by a check in the amount of \$7.25 (25 cents per page reproduction charge) payable to "Consent Decree Library". When requesting copies, please refer to United States v. AMOCO Oil Company, DOJ #90-5-2-1-2131.

# Joel Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 98–11131 Filed 4–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, and Section 122 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622, notice is hereby given that on April 14, 1998, a proposed *De Minimis* Consent Decree in *United States* v. *CertainTeed Corporation, et al.*, Civil Action No. 98–71586, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division. This consent decree represents a settlement of claims of the United States

against CertainTeed Corporation D/B/A Wolverine Technologies, Inc., United Paint & Chemical Corporation, and Brazeway, Inc., for reimbursement of response costs and injunctive relief in connection with the Metamora Landfill Superfund Site ("Site") pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.

Under this settlement with the United States, CertainTeed Corporation will pay \$841,612, United Paint & Chemical Corporation will pay \$313,707, and Brazeway, Inc. will pay \$388,761, for a total of \$1,544,080, in reimbursement of response costs incurred by the United States Environmental Protection Agency at the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *CertainTeed, et al.*, D.J. Ref. 90–11–3–289J.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, 211 West Fort Street, Suite 2300, Detroit, MI 48226, at the Region 5 Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library. Walker Smith,

Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 98–11133 Filed 4–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

# DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree ("Decree") in *United States* v. *Sunbeam Outdoor Products*, Civil Action No. 96–0474–CV–W–2, was lodged on April 6, 1998, with the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

The complaint alleges that defendant Sunbeam Outdoor Products ("Sunbeam") violated Missouri Air Pollution Control Rule 10 CST 10-6.060(5) ("the Rule") by constructing and then continuing to operate a paintline at each of its two Neosho, Missouri manufacturing plants without first obtaining the permits required by the Rule. EPA approved the Rule under Section 110 of the Clean Air Act ("CAA"), 42 U.S.C. 7410, making it federally enforceable under Section 113 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. 7413. The complaint sought injunctive relief enjoining Sunbeam from further violations of the Rule, and civil penalties of up to \$25,000 per day for each day Sunbeam violated the Rule.

Under the proposed Decree, Sunbeam shall pay the United States a civil penalty of \$829,825.00, and refrain from further violating the CAA. Sunbeam further agrees to operate one or both of two powder paintlines that Sunbeam built at its Neosho plants as a result of this enforcement action for at least one year after the effective date of the proposed Decree. These powder paintlines, which cost Sunbeam approximately \$8 million, were not necessary for Sunbeam to achieve compliance with the CAA. While the Decree remains in effect, Sunbeam shall not replace the paintlines, which substantially reduce the generation of air pollution from Sunbeam's Neosho plants, with other technology without express written permission from EPA.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *Sunbeam Outdoor Products*, DOJ Ref. #90–5–2–1–2066.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Western District of Missouri, 1201 Walnut, Suite 2300, Kansas City, MO 64106-2149, (816) 426-3131; the Region VII Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, KS 66101, (913) 551–7010; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a

check in the amount of \$6.00 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

#### Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 98–11132 Filed 4–23–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

# DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE [AAG/A Order No. 150–98]

# Privacy Act of 1974; Altered System of Records

Pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) Department of Justice, proposes to modify and publish as a separate system of records, Subsystem N. of the Immigration and Naturalization Service Index System, Justice/INS-001, which was published on October 5, 1993 (58 FR 51347). Subsystem N. was previously entitled "Property Issued to Employees." As a new and separate system of records, it is now retitled "The Asset Management Information System (AMIS), Justice/INS-004," and is otherwise modified as follows.

- 1. To remove, in their entirety, the exemptions applied to the INS-001 system.
- 2. To remove 10 routine use disclosure provisions which are inapplicable to these records.
- 3. Of those routine uses retained, to modify two, i.e., routine uses identified as C. and F.
- 4. To add one routine use, identified as routine use D.
- To add information regarding the issuance of firearms, including qualification scores; and
- 6. To computerize all of the information in this system. (This effort is now in process.)

The republication of Subsystem N. as AMIS is part of a long-term INS initiative to redescribe, where appropriate, the subsystems in the INS–001 system as separate systems of records. The objective of this effort is to improve reporting accuracy and clarity, in particular with respect to applicable routine use disclosures and exemptions.

Title 5 U.S.C. 552a(e) (4) and (11) provide that the public be given a 30-day period in which to comment on proposed new routine use disclosures. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB), which has oversight responsibilities under the Act, requires a 40-day period in which to conclude its review of the proposal.

Therefore, please submit any comments by May 27, 1998. The public,

OMB, and the Congress are invited to send written comments to Patricia E. Neely, Program Analyst, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530 (Room 850, WCTR Building).

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(r), the Department has provided a report to OMB and the Congress on the proposed modification.

Dated: April 8, 1998.

#### Stephen R. Colgate,

Assistant Attorney General for Administration.

#### JUSTICE/INS-004

#### SYSTEM NAME:

The Asset Management Information System (AMIS).

#### SYSTEM LOCATION:

Headquarters, Regional and District offices, Administrative Centers, Border Patrol Sector Headquarters, Sub-Offices, Stations, and satellite offices of the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) in the United States and Foreign Countries as detailed in JUSTICE/INS–999.

# CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Current and former employees of the INS, contract personnel, and temporarily detailed personnel of other Government agencies who have been assigned responsibility for government-owned or controlled property.

## CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

This system of records contains property management records which include information on governmentowned or controlled personal property (e.g., personal computers, firearms, etc.), and communications equipment (e.g. radios, radar, telephones, etc.), in the personal custody of the individuals covered by this system and used in the performance of their official duties. Information includes the name of such individual, property issued, serial number of the item, dates property issued and returned and the individual/ supervisor's initials. In addition, records on firearms include a qualifications roster, and firearm qualifications scores of each officer authorized to use and carry a firearm, and an individual qualifications history.

### AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

(1) 40 U.S.C. 486; (2) 41 CFR part 101; and (3) 41 CFR part 128.

#### **PURPOSE:**

Information in this system is used to manage assets in the custody of the INS

in compliance with statutes and regulations governing property management. The records are used to issue, track location thereof, and maintain accountability for government owned or controlled property, including firearms. The system also is used to assess and determine firearm qualifications on an ongoing basis to ensure that firearms are issued only to qualified and authorized personnel.

# ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

Relevant information contained in this system of records may be disclosed as follows:

A. Where the record, either on its face or in conjunction with other information, indicates a violation or potential violation of law (whether civil, criminal or regulatory in nature) to the appropriate agency, (whether federal, state, local or foreign) charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such violations or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute, rule, regulation or order issued pursuant thereto.

B. To a Federal, State, local or foreign government agency in response to its request, in connection with the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the reporting of an investigation of an employee, the letting of a contract or the issuance of a license, grant, loan or other benefit by the requesting agency, to the extent that the information is relevant and necessary to the requesting agency's decision on the matter.

C. To a Federal, State or local agency maintaining civil, criminal or other relevant enforcement information or other pertinent information such as current licenses if disclosure is necessary to obtain information relevant to an INS decision concerning the retention of an employee, the issuance of a firearm and/or a security clearance, the letting of a contract or the issuance of a license or other benefit.

D. In a proceeding before a Court or adjudicative body before which INS or the Department of Justice (DOJ) is authorized to appear when any of the following is a party to litigation or has an interest in litigation and such records are determined by INS or DOJ to be arguably relevant to the litigation: The DOJ component or subdivision thereof; any DOJ employee in his/her official capacity; any DOJ employee in his/her individual capacity where the DOJ has agreed to represent the employee; or the United States where INS or the DOJ determines that the litigation is likely to affect it or any of its subdivisions.