Grapefrui	Grapefruit classification (1)		Regulation period (2)		Minimum grade (3)	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Dated: January 15, 1998.

Robert C. Keeney,

Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable

[FR Doc. 98-1430 Filed 1-21-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 982

[Docket No. FV98-982-1 IFR]

Hazelnuts Grown in Oregon and Washington; Establishment of Interim and Final Free and Restricted Percentages for the 1997-98 Marketing

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Interim final rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: This interim final rule establishes interim and final free and restricted percentages for domestic inshell hazelnuts for the 1997-98 marketing year under the Federal marketing order for hazelnuts grown in Oregon and Washington. The percentages allocate the quantity of domestically produced hazelnuts which may be marketed in the domestic inshell market. The percentages are intended to stabilize the supply of domestic inshell hazelnuts to meet the limited domestic demand for such hazelnuts and provide reasonable returns to producers. This rule was recommended unanimously by the Hazelnut Marketing Board (Board), which is the agency responsible for local administration of the order. DATES: Effective January 23, 1998. Comments which are received by March 23, 1998, will be considered prior to

issuance of a final rule.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning this rule. Comments must be sent in triplicate to the Docket Clerk, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS USDA, Room 2525-S, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090-6456; Fax: (202) 205-6632. Comments should reference the docket number and the date and page number of this issue of the Federal

Register and will be available for public inspection in the Office of the Docket Clerk during regular business hours.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Teresa L. Hutchinson, Northwest Marketing Field Office, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA, 1220 SW Third Avenue, Room 369, Portland, OR 97204; telephone: (503) 326–2724, Fax: (503) 326–7440 or George J. Kelhart, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, Room 2525-S, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090-6456; telephone: (202) 720-2491, Fax: (202) 205–6632. Small businesses may request information on compliance with this regulation by contacting: Jay Guerber, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, P.O. Box 96456, Room 2525-S, Washington, DC 20090-6456; telephone: (202) 720-2491, Fax: (202) 205-6632.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This rule is issued under Marketing Agreement No. 115 and Order No. 982 (7 CFR part 982), both as amended, regulating the handling of hazelnuts grown in Oregon and Washington, hereinafter referred to as the "order." The marketing agreement and order are effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), hereinafter referred to as the 'Act.'

The Department of Agriculture (Department) is issuing this rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866.

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. It is intended that this action apply to all merchantable hazelnuts handled during the 1997-98 marketing year (July 1, 1997, through June 30, 1998). This rule will not preempt any State or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 608c(15)(A) of the Act, any handler subject to an order may file with the Secretary a petition stating that the order, any provision of the order, or any obligation imposed in connection with the order is not in accordance with

law and request a modification of the order or to be exempted therefrom. A handler is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the hearing, the Secretary would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the handler is an inhabitant, or has his or her principal place of business, has jurisdiction to review the Secretary's ruling on the petition, provided an action is filed not later than 20 days after the date of the entry of the ruling

Tȟis rule establishes marketing percentages which allocate the quantity of inshell hazelnuts that may be marketed in domestic markets. The Board is required to meet prior to September 20 of each marketing year to compute its marketing policy for that year and compute and announce an inshell trade demand if it determines that volume regulations would tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act. The Board also computes and announces preliminary free and restricted percentages for that year.

The inshell trade demand is the amount of inshell hazelnuts that handlers may ship to the domestic market throughout the marketing season. The order specifies that the inshell trade demand be computed by averaging the preceding three "normal" years' trade acquisitions of inshell hazelnuts, rounded to the nearest whole number. The Board may increase the three-year average by up to 25 percent, if market conditions warrant an increase. The Board's authority to recommend volume regulations and the computations used to determine released percentages are specified in section 982.40 of the order.

The National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) estimated hazelnut production at 40,000 tons for the Oregon and Washington area.

The majority of domestic inshell hazelnuts are marketed in October, November, and December. By November, the marketing season is well under way.

The quantity marketed is broken down into free and restricted percentages to make available hazelnuts which may be marketed in domestic inshell markets (free) and hazelnuts which must be exported, shelled or

otherwise disposed of (restricted). The preliminary free percentage releases 80 percent of the adjusted inshell trade demand. The preliminary free percentage is expressed as a percentage of the total supply subject to regulation (supply) and is based on the preliminary crop estimate.

At its August 28, 1997, meeting, the Board computed and announced preliminary free and restricted percentages of 8 percent and 92 percent, respectively. The Board used the NASS crop estimate of 40,000 tons. The purpose of releasing only 80 percent of the inshell trade demand under the preliminary percentage was to guard against an underestimate of crop size. The preliminary free percentage released 3,003 tons of hazelnuts from the 1997 supply for domestic inshell use. The preliminary restricted percentage of the 1997 supply for export and kernel markets totaled 34,296 tons.

Under the order, the Board must meet a second time, on or before November 15, to recommend interim final and final percentages. The Board uses current crop estimates to calculate the interim final and final percentages. The interim final percentages are calculated in the same way as the preliminary percentages and release the remaining 20 percent (to total 100 percent of the inshell trade demand) previously computed by the Board. Final free and restricted percentages may release up to an additional 15 percent of the average of the preceding three years' trade acquisitions to provide an adequate carryover into the following season. The final free and restricted percentages must be effective by June 1, at least 30 days prior to the end of the marketing year, June 30. The final free and restricted percentages can be made effective earlier, if recommended by the Board and approved by the Secretary. Revisions in the marketing policy can be made until February 15 of each marketing year, but the inshell trade demand can only be revised upward, consistent with section 982.40(e).

The Board met on November 13, 1997, and reviewed and approved an amended marketing policy. The Board recommended that the three-year average trade acquisition figure of 4,279 tons be increased by 214 tons for market expansion. The Board also recommended the establishment of interim final and final free and restricted percentages. Interim final percentages were recommended at 10 percent free and 90 percent restricted. The interim final percentage makes an additional 965 tons of inshell hazelnuts available for the domestic inshell market, including product for market

expansion. The interim final marketing percentages are based on the Board's final production estimate (42,000 tons) and release 3,968 tons to the domestic inshell market from the 1997 supply subject to regulation. The interim final restricted percentage resulted in a restricted obligation of 35,173 tons.

The final free and restricted percentages were recommended at 12 percent and 88 percent, respectively. The Board also recommended that the final percentages be effective on April 30, 1997. The established final marketing percentages release for domestic inshell use an additional 642 tons from the supply subject to regulation. Thus, a total of 4,610 tons of inshell hazelnuts will be released from the 1997 supply for domestic inshell use.

The marketing percentages are based on the Board's production estimates and the following supply and demand information for the 1997–98 marketing year:

	Tons
Inshell Supply	
(1) Total production (Board's estimate)	42,000
(disappearance)	2,860
(3) Merchantable production (Board's adjusted crop estimate)(4) Plus undeclared carryin as of	39,140
July 1, 1997, subject to regulation	1
(5) Supply subject to regulation (Item 3 plus Item 4)	39,141
Inshell Trade Demand (6) Average trade acquisitions of inshell hazelnuts for three prior	00,111
years	4,279
6)	214
 (8) Less declared carryin as of July 1, 1996, not subject to regulation (9) Adjusted Inshell Trade Demand (10) 15 percent of the average 	525 3,968
trade acquisitions of inshell hazelnuts for three prior years (Item 6)	642
out (Item 9 plus Item 10)	4,610

Percentages	Free	Re- stricted	
(12) Interim final percentages (Item 9 divided by Item 5) × 100	10	90	

In addition to complying with the provisions of the order, the Board also

considered the Department's 1982 'Guidelines for Fruit, Vegetable, and Specialty Crop Marketing Orders' (Guidelines) when making its computations in the marketing policy. This volume control regulation provides a method to collectively limit the supply of inshell hazelnuts available for sale in domestic markets. The Guidelines provide that the domestic inshell market has available a quantity equal to 110 percent of prior years' shipments before secondary market allocations are approved. This provides for plentiful supplies for consumers and for market expansion, while retaining the mechanism for dealing with oversupply situations. At its November 13, 1997, meeting, the Board recommended that an increase of 5 percent (214 tons) for market expansion be included in the inshell trade demand which was used to compute the interim percentages. The established final percentages are based on the final inshell trade demand, and will make available an additional 642 tons for desirable carryout. The total free supply for the 1997-98 marketing year is 5,135 tons of hazelnuts, which is the final trade demand of 4,610 tons plus the declared carryin of 525 tons. This amount is 120 percent of prior years' sales and exceeds the goal of the Guidelines.

Pursuant to requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) has considered the economic impact of this rule on small entities. Accordingly, AMS has prepared this initial regulatory flexibility analysis.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of business subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened.

Marketing orders issued pursuant to the Act, and rules issued thereunder, are unique in that they are brought about through group action of essentially small entities acting on their own behalf. Thus, both statutes have small entity orientation and compatibility.

There are approximately 1,000 producers of hazelnuts in the production area and approximately 23 handlers subject to regulation under the marketing order. Small agricultural producers have been defined by the Small Business Administration (13 CFR 121.601) as those having annual receipts of less than \$500,000, and small agricultural service firms are defined as those whose annual receipts are less than \$5,000,000. Using these criteria, virtually all of the producers are small agricultural producers and an estimated 20 of the 23 handlers are small

agricultural service firms. Thus, the great majority of hazelnut producers and handlers may be classified as small entities.

Board meetings are widely publicized in advance of the meetings and are held in a location central to the production area. The meetings are open to all industry members and other interested persons who are encouraged to participate in the deliberations and voice their opinions on topics under discussion. Thus, Board recommendations can be considered to represent the interests of small business entities in the industry.

Many years of marketing experience led to the development of the current volume control procedures. These procedures have helped the industry solve its marketing problems by keeping inshell supplies in balance with domestic needs. The current volume control procedures fully supply the domestic inshell market, provide for market expansion, and help prevent oversupplies in that market.

Inshell hazelnuts sold to the domestic market provide higher returns to the industry than are obtained from shelling. The inshell market is inelastic and is characterized as having limited demand and being prone to oversupply.

Industry statistics show that total hazelnut production has varied widely over the last 10 years, from a low of 13,000 tons in 1989 to a high of 41,000 tons in 1993. Average production has been around 24,000 tons. While crop size has fluctuated, the volume regulations contribute toward orderly marketing and market stability, and help moderate the variation in returns for all growers and handlers, both large and small. For instance, production in the shortest crop year (1989) was 53 percent of the 10-year average (1987-1996). Production in the biggest crop year (1996) was 170 percent of the 10-year average. The percentage releases provide all handlers with the opportunity to benefit from the most profitable domestic inshell market. That market is available to all handlers, regardless of handler size.

NASS statistics show that the grower price per pound has increased steadily over the last 4 years, from \$.28 in 1992 to \$.43 in 1996.

The Board discussed the only alternative to this rule which was not to regulate. Without any regulations in effect, the Board believes that the industry would oversupply the inshell domestic market. With the 1997 hazelnut crop the largest in history, the release of 42,000 tons on the domestic inshell market would cause grower

returns to decrease drastically, and completely disrupt the market.

While the level of benefits of this rulemaking are difficult to quantify, the stabilizing effects of the volume regulations impact both small and large handlers positively by helping them maintain and expand markets even though hazelnut supplies fluctuate widely from season to season.

Hazelnuts produced under the order comprise virtually all of the hazelnuts produced in the U.S. This production represents, on average, approximately 3 percent of total U.S. tree nut production and approximately 3 percent of the world's hazelnut production.

This volume control regulation provides a method for the U.S. hazelnut industry to limit the supply of domestic inshell hazelnuts available for sale in the U.S. Section 982.40 of the order establishes a procedure and computations for the Board to follow in recommending to the Secretary release of preliminary, interim final, and final quantities of hazelnuts to be released to the free and restricted markets each marketing year. The program results in plentiful supplies for consumers and for market expansion while retaining the mechanism for dealing with oversupply situations.

Currently, U.S. hazelnut production can be successfully allocated between the inshell domestic and secondary markets. One of the best secondary markets for hazelnuts is the export market. Inshell hazelnuts produced under the marketing order compete well in export markets because of quality. Europe, and Germany in particular, is historically the primary world market for U.S. produced inshell hazelnuts, although China was the largest importer in 1996-97. A third market is for shelled hazelnuts sold domestically. Domestically produced kernels generally command a higher price in the domestic market than imported kernels. The industry is continuing its efforts to develop and expand secondary markets, especially the domestic kernel market. Small business entities, both producers and handlers, benefit from the expansion efforts resulting from this program.

There are some reporting, recordkeeping and other compliance requirements under the order. The reporting and recordkeeping burdens have been accepted by the handlers as necessary for compliance purposes and for developing statistical data for maintenance of the program. The forms require information which is readily available from handler records and which can be provided without data processing equipment or trained

statistical staff. As with other marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically studied to reduce or eliminate duplicate information collection burdens by industry and public sector agencies. This interim final rule does not change those requirements.

The Department has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap or conflict with this regulation.

Written comments as to the effect of this action on small business entities timely received, will be considered before finalization of this rule.

After consideration of all relevant material presented, including the Board's recommendation and other information, it is found that this interim final rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it is also found and determined, upon good cause, that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice prior to putting this rule into effect, and that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this action until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** because: (1) The 1997-98 marketing year began July 1, 1997, and the percentages established herein apply to all merchantable hazelnuts handled from the beginning of the crop year; (2) handlers are aware of this rule, which was recommended at an open Board meeting, and need no additional time to comply with this rule; and (3) interested persons are provided a 60-day comment period in which to respond. All comments timely received will be considered prior to finalization of this action.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 982

Filberts, Hazelnuts, Marketing agreements, Nuts, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 982 is amended as follows:

PART 982—HAZELNUTS GROWN IN OREGON AND WASHINGTON

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR Part 982 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

2. Section 982.245 is added to read as follows:

Note: This section will not appear in the annual Code of Federal Regulations.

§ 982.245 Free and restricted percentages—1997–98 marketing year.

(a) The interim final free and restricted percentages for merchantable hazelnuts for the 1997–98 marketing year shall be 10 and 90 percent, respectively.

(b) On April 30, 1998, the final free and restricted percentages for merchantable hazelnuts for the 1997–98 marketing year shall be 12 and 88 percent, respectively.

Dated: January 15, 1998.

Robert C. Keeney,

Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs.

[FR Doc. 98–1433 Filed 1–21–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Parts 997 and 998

[Docket No. FV97-998-3 FIR]

Domestically Produced Peanuts Handled by Persons Not Subject to Peanut Marketing Agreement No. 146; Marketing Agreement No. 146 Regulating the Quality of Domestically Produced Peanuts

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Agriculture (Department) is adopting as a final rule, without change, an interim final rule which decreased the assessment rate for the Peanut Administrative Committee (Committee) under Marketing Agreement No. 146 (agreement) for the 1997-98 and subsequent crop years. Authorization to assess peanut handlers who have signed the agreement enables the Committee to incur expenses that are reasonable and necessary to administer the program. The Department is also required to impose an administrative assessment on farmers' stock peanuts received or acquired by handlers who are not signatory (non-signatory handlers) to the agreement. Therefore, the assessment rate established under the agreement also must be applied to all nonsignatory handlers. The 1997-98 crop year began July 1 and ends June 30. The assessment rate will remain in effect indefinitely unless modified, suspended, or terminated.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 23, 1998. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tammie Bryant or Jim Wendland, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, P.O. Box 96456, room 2525–S, Washington, DC 20090–6456, telephone (202) 720–2491, FAX (202) 205–6632. Small businesses may request information on compliance with this regulation by contacting: Jay Guerber, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, P.O. Box 96456, room 2525–S, Washington, DC 20090–6456, telephone (202) 720–2491, FAX (202) 205–6632.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This rule is issued pursuant to the requirements of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), hereafter referred to as the "Act"; and under Marketing Agreement No. 146 (7 CFR part 998) regulating the quality of domestically produced peanuts.

The Department is issuing this rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866.

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. Farmers' stock peanuts received or acquired by non-signatory handlers and farmers' stock peanuts received or acquired by handlers signatory to the agreement, other than from those described in §§ 998.31(c) and (d), are subject to assessments. It is intended that the assessment rates issued herein will be applicable to all assessable peanuts beginning July 1, 1997, and continuing until amended, suspended, or terminated. This rule will not preempt any State or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule. There are no administrative procedures which must be exhausted prior to any judicial challenge to the provisions of this rule.

This rule adopts as a final rule, without change, the provisions of an interim final rule, which decreased the assessment rate established for the Committee for the 1997–98 and subsequent fiscal years from \$0.70 to \$0.35 per ton.

The agreement provides authority for the Committee, with the approval of the Department, to formulate an annual budget of expenses and collect assessments from handlers to administer the program. Funds to administer the agreement program are derived from signatory handler assessments. The members of the Committee are handlers and producers of peanuts. They are familiar with the Committee's needs and with the costs of goods and services in their local areas and, thus, are in a position to formulate an appropriate budget and assessment rate. The

assessment rate is formulated and discussed in public meetings. Thus, all directly affected persons have an opportunity to participate and provide input. The handlers of peanuts who are directly affected have signed the marketing agreement authorizing the expenses that may be incurred and the imposition of assessments.

For the 1997–98 and subsequent crop years, the Committee recommended and the Department approved, an assessment rate that would continue in effect from crop year to crop year indefinitely unless modified, suspended, or terminated by the Secretary, upon recommendation and information submitted by the Committee or other information available to the Secretary.

The Committee met on April 30, 1997, and unanimously recommended 1997-98 administrative expenditures of \$525,000 and an administrative assessment rate of \$0.35 per net ton of assessable farmers' stock peanuts received or acquired by handlers. The Committee also voted not to recommend an assessment rate for indemnification for handler losses due to aflatoxin contamination. Adequate funds are included in the Committee's indemnification reserve for such expenses during the 1997-98 crop year. In comparison, last year's budgeted administrative expenditures were \$1,025,500. Major expenditures recommended by the Committee for the 1997-98 crop year compared with those budgeted for 1996–97 (in parentheses) include: \$55,000 for executive salaries (\$112,450), \$50,000 for clerical salaries (\$131,500), \$125,000 for field representatives (3 compliance officers rather than 7 fieldmen) salaries (\$296,700), \$18,000 for payroll taxes (\$42,000), \$65,000 for employee benefits (\$148,000), \$40,000 for Committee members travel (\$40,000), \$5,000 for staff travel (\$5,000), \$60,000 for field representatives travel (\$110,000), \$9,800 for insurance and bonds (\$9,800), \$19,000 for office rent and parking (\$46,200), \$10,000 for office supplies and stationery (\$14,000), \$10,400 for postage and mailing (\$13,200), \$11,000 for telephone and telegraph (\$15,000), \$6,000 for repairs and maintenance agreements (\$6,000), \$10,400 for the audit fee (\$10,400), and \$15,800 for the contingency reserve (\$10,250).

The assessment rate recommended by the Committee was derived by dividing anticipated expenses by expected receipts and acquisitions of farmers' stock peanuts. Farmers' stock peanuts received or acquired by handlers signatory to the agreement, other than from those described in § 998.31(c) and