- (2) None of the persons described above in subparagraphs (B) and (C) of this paragraph (e) shall be authorized to examine the trade secrets of NIC or Navistar or commercial or financial information which is privileged or confidential.
 - (g) For purposes of this exemption:
- (1) The majority of the members of the Supplemental Program Committee will be individuals who:
- (A) Are not affiliates of Navistar, NIC or the UAW;
- (B) Do not have any ownership interest in Navistar or NIC;
- (C) Are not officers, directors, or 5 percent or more shareholders or partners of a person in which NIC has any ownership interest;
- (D) Have acknowledged in writing acceptance of fiduciary responsibility;
- (E) Do not receive more than 5 percent of their annual gross income (excluding retirement income and directors fees received) for any taxable year in the aggregate from Navistar, UAW or any affiliate thereof; and
- (F) Will not acquire any property from, sell any property to or borrow any funds from NIC, UAW, or any affiliate thereof, during the period that such individual serves as a member of the supplemental Program Committee and continuing for a period of 6 months after such individual ceases to be a member of the Supplemental Program Committee or negotiate any such transaction during the period that such individual serves as a member of the Supplemental Program Committee.

Notwithstanding (A) and (C) above, William Craig is not precluded from serving on NIC's board of directors while also serving as a member of the Supplemental Program Committee.

- (2) An affiliate of another person means:
- (A) Any person directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controlling, controlled by, or under common control with such other person;
- (B) Any officer, director, partner, employee, relative (as defined in section 3(15) of the Act), a brother, a sister, or a spouse of a brother or sister of such other person; and
- (C) Any corporation or partnership of which such other person is an officer director or partner.
- (3) Control means the power to exercise a controlling influence over the management or policies of a person other than an individual.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 16th day of June, 1998.

Ivan Strasfeld,

Director of Exemptions Determinations, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 98–16336 Filed 6–18–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–29–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 98-080]

Agency Information Collection: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before July 20, 1998.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Mr. Robert J. Bobek, Code ICB National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546–0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Carmela Simonson, Office of the Chief Information Officer, (202) 358–1223.

Reports

Title: Patent Waiver Report.

OMB Number: 2700–0050.

Type of Review: Reinstatement.

Need and Uses: Reports are analyzed

by the NASA Inventions and Contributions Board to evaluate the progress made by NASA contractors who received waiver of patent rights in terms of development and commercialization of waived inventions.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 66

Responses Per Respondent: 1. Estimated Annual Responses: 66. Estimated Hours Per Request: 2. Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 147. Frequency of Report: Annually.

Donald J. Andreotta,

Deputy Chief Information Officer (Operations), Office of the Administrator. [FR Doc. 98–16328 Filed 6–18–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Sunshine Act Meeting; Notice of Meetings

TIME AND DATE: 10:00 a.m., Tuesday, June 23, 1998.

PLACE: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314–3428.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- 1. Requests from Two (2) Federal Credit Unions to Convert to Community Charters.
- 2. Funding for the Office of Corporate Credit Unions.

RECESS: 11:00 a.m.

TIME AND DATE: 11:30 a.m., Tuesday, June 23, 1998.

PLACE: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314–3428.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- 1. Administrative Action under Section 205 of the Federal Credit Union Act. Closed pursuant to exemption (8).
- 2. Administrative Actions under Section 206 of the Federal Credit Union Act. Closed pursuant to exemptions (4), (7) and (8).
- 3. Administrative Action under Part 704 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations. Closed pursuant to exemption (8).
- 4. Administrative Act under Part 745 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations. Closed pursuant to exemption (6).
- 5. Four (4) Personnel Actions. Closed pursuant to exemptions (2), (5), (6) and (9)(B).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Becky Baker, Secretary of the Board, Telephone (703) 518–6304.

Becky Baker,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 98-16453 Filed 6-16-98; 4:41 pm] BILLING CODE 7535-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-277 and 50-278]

PECO Energy Co. (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3); Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 70.24 for Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56, issued to PECO Energy Company (the licensee), for operation of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3, located in York County, Pennsylvania.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would exempt the licensee from the requirements of 10 CFR 70.24(a), which require in each area in which special nuclear material is handled, used, or stored a monitoring system that will energize clear audible alarms if accidental criticality occurs. The proposed action would also exempt the licensee from the requirements to maintain emergency procedures for each area in which this licensed special nuclear material is handled, used, or stored to ensure that all personnel withdraw to an area of safety upon the sounding of the alarm, to familiarize personnel with the evacuation plan, and to designate responsible individuals for determining the cause of the alarm, and to place radiation survey instruments in accessible locations for use in such an emergency.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated March 18,1998.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The purpose of 10 CFR 70.24 is to ensure that, if a criticality were to occur during the handling of special nuclear material, personnel would be alerted to that fact and would take appropriate action. At a commercial nuclear power plant, the inadvertent criticality with which 10 CFR 70.24 is concerned could occur during fuel handling operations. The special nuclear material that could be assembled into a critical mass at a commercial nuclear power plant is in the form of nuclear fuel; the quantity of other forms of special nuclear material that is stored on site is small enough to preclude achieving a critical mass. Because the fuel is not enriched beyond 5.0 weight percent Uranium-235 and because commercial nuclear plant licensees have procedures and design features that prevent inadvertent criticality, the staff has determined that it is unlikely that an inadvertent criticality could occur due to the handling of special nuclear material at a commercial power reactor. The requirements of 10 CFR 70.24(a), therefore, are not necessary to ensure the safety of personnel during the handling of special nuclear materials at commercial power reactors. However, an exemption to 10 CFR 70.24(a) is needed to permit a deviation from these requirements.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed action involves features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR part 20.

The proposed action will not result in an increase in the probability or consequences of accidents or result in a change in occupational or public dose. Therefore, there are no radiological impacts associated with the proposed action.

The proposed action will not result in a change in nonradiological plant effluents and will have no other nonradiological environmental impact.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no environmental impacts associated with this action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded that there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement Related to the Operation of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3," April 1973.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on May 6, 1998, the staff consulted with the Pennsylvania State official, Mr. David Ney, of the State of Pennsylvania, Bureau of Radiation Protection, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter

dated March 18, 1998, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Government Publications Section, State Library of Pennsylvania (Regional Depository) Education Building, Walnut Street and Commonwealth Avenue, Box 1601, Harrisburg, PA.

Dated at Rockville, MD, this 15th day of June 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Mohan C. Thadani.**

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate I-2, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 98–16378 Filed 6–18–98; 8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Meeting

In accordance with the purposes of Sections 29 and 182b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232b), the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards will hold a meeting on July 8–10, 1998, in Conference Room T–2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The date of this meeting was previously published in the **Federal Register** on Thursday, November 20, 1997 (62 FR 62079).

Wednesday, July 8, 1998

8:30 a.m.-8:45 a.m.: Opening Remarks by the ACRS Chairman (Open)—The ACRS Chairman will make opening remarks regarding conduct of the meeting.

8:45 a.m.-10:30 a.m.: BWR Extended Power Uprate Application (Open/Closed)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the General Electric Nuclear Energy (GE), the Northern States Power Company (NSP), and the NRC staff regarding the GE extended power uprate program for operating BWRs, and the NSP application for a power level increase of 6.3 percent for the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant.

Note: A portion of this session may be closed to discuss GE Nuclear Energy proprietary information.

10:45 a.m.-12:15 p.m.: Proposed Revisions to CFR 50.59 (Changes, Tests and Experiments) (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding proposed revisions to 10 CFR