

In addition to asking for written comments, we are holding two scoping meetings to solicit any verbal input and comments you may wish to offer on the scope of the EA. An agency scoping meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. on July 22, 1998, at the Hood River Inn, 1108 East Marina Way, Hood River, OR 97031. A public scoping meeting will begin at 7:00 p.m. on July 22, 1998, at the Hood River Inn, 1108 East Marina Way, Hood River, OR 97031. The public and agencies may attend either meeting. There will also be a visit to the project site on July 23, 1998, to become more familiar with the project area. More information about these meetings and site visit is available in the scoping document.

Any questions regarding those notice may be directed to Mr. Bob Easton, Project Coordinator, at (202) 219-2782.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-17033 Filed 6-25-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2674—Vermont Vergennes Hydroelectric Project]

Green Mountain Power Corporation; Notice of Proposed Restricted Service List for a Programmatic Agreement for Managing Properties Included in or Eligible for Inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places

June 22, 1998.

Rule 2010 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and Procedure provides that, to eliminate unnecessary expense or improve administrative efficiency, the Secretary may establish a Restricted Service List for a particular phase or issue in a proceeding.¹ The Restricted Service List should contain the names of persons on the service list who, in the judgment of the decisional authority establishing the list, are active participants with respect to the phase or issue in the proceeding for which the list is established.

The Commission staff is consulting with the Vermont State Historic Preservation Officer (hereinafter, SHPO) and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (hereinafter, Council) pursuant to the Council's regulations, 36 CFR Part 800, implementing Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, (16 U.S.C. Section 470

f), to prepare a Programmatic Agreement for managing properties included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places at Project No. 2674.

The Programmatic Agreement, when executed by the Commission, the SHPO, and the Council, would satisfy the Commission's Section 106 responsibilities for all individual undertakings carried out in accordance with the license until the license expires or is terminated (36 CFR 800.13(e)). The Commission's responsibilities pursuant to Section 106 for the above project would be fulfilled through the Programmatic Agreement, which the Commission proposes to draft in consultation with certain parties listed below. The executed Programmatic Agreement would be incorporated into any Order issuing a license.

Green Mountain Power Corporation, as prospective Licensee for Project No. 2674, is invited to participate in consultations to develop the Programmatic Agreement and to sign as a concurring party to the Programmatic Agreement.

For purposes of commenting on the Programmatic Agreement, we propose to restrict the service list for Project No. 2674 as follows:

Don L. Klima, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, The Old Post Office Building, Suite 809, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20004

Emily Wadhams, State Historic Preservation Officer, Vermont Division for Historic Preservation, National Life Building, Drawer 20, Montpelier, VT 05620-0501

Giovanna Peebles, Vermont Division for Historic Preservation, National Life Building, Drawer 20, Montpelier, VT 05620-0501

Craig T. Myotte, Green Mountain Power Corporation, 25 Green Mountain Drive, South Burlington, VT 05402

Melvin S. Hawley, City Manager, City of Vergennes, P.O. Box 35, Vergennes, VT 05491

Jeffrey R. Cueto, Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, 103 South Main Street, Building 10 North, Waterbury, VT 05671-0408

Any person on the official service list for the above-captioned proceeding may request inclusion on the Restricted Service List, or may request that a Restricted Service List not be established, by filing a motion to that effect within 15 days of this notice date.

An original and 8 copies of any such motion must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission (888 First Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426) and must be

served on each person whose name appears on the official service list. If no such motions are filed, the Restricted Service List will be effective at the end of the 15 day period. Otherwise, a further notice will be issued ruling on the motion.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-17034 Filed 6-25-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5493-2]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared June 08, 1998 Through June 12, 1998 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities AT (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1998 (63 FR 17856).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-FHW-E50290-NC Rating EO2, Mid-Currituck Sound Bridge, between U.S. 158 on the Currituck County Mainland and end at NC 12 on the Currituck Outer Banks, US Coast Guard Bridge Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Currituck County, NC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections due to degraded water quality, lost of submerged aquatic vegetation and the projects impact on efforts improve fishery resources. EPA requested that these and other issues be clarified and other less damaging alternative be fully assessed.

ERP No. D-FHW-K40231-AZ Rating LO, ed Mountain Freeway (Loop 202) Construction and Operation, between AR 87 (County Club Drive) and US-60 (Superstition Freeway), Funding, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Mesa, Maricopa County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of objections with the FHWA's Red Mountain Freeway (Loop) 202 project.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-K65200-CA San Juan Fuels and Wildlife Project, Implementation, Tahoe National Forest,

¹ 18 CFR 385.2010.

Nevada City Ranger District, Nevada County, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-URC-J39024-UT Provo River Restoration Project (PRRP), Riverine Habitat Restoration, Reconstruction and Realignment of the existing Provo River Channel and Floodplain System between Jordanell Dam and Deer River Reservoir, Wasatch County, UT.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-USA-C11014-NY Seneca Army Depot Activity Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Seneca County and the City of Geneva, Ontario County, NY.

Summary: EPA commented that provided that more effective wetlands mitigation measures are developed and incorporated into the ROD, the proposed project should not result in significant adverse environmental impacts.

Dated: June 23, 1998.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 98-17111 Filed 6-25-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5493-1]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed June 15, 1998 Through June 19, 1998 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 980236, FINAL EIS, FHW, NC, US 64 Bypass Transportation Improvements Project, from I-440 to US 64 west of Wendell and Eastern Wake Expressway from existing US 64 to NC-1007 (Poole Road), Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Wake County, NC, Due: July 27, 1998, Contact: Tom Kendig (919) 733-7842.

EIS No. 980237, DRAFT EIS, FHW, IL, Fox River Bridge Crossings, To Construct up to Five-Bridges across the Fox River, NPDES Permit, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Kane County, IL, Due: August 10, 1998, Contact: Ronald C. Marshall (217) 492-4600.

EIS No. 980238, FINAL EIS, BLM, AZ, Yarnell Gold Mining Project, Construction and Operation on Open-pit Gold Mine and Ore Processing Facility, Yavapai County, AZ, Due: July 27, 1998, Contact: Ms. Shela McFarlin (602) 417-9568.

EIS No. 980239, DRAFT EIS, BLM, AZ, Yarnell Gold Mining Project, Construction and Operation on Open-pit Gold Mine and Ore Processing Facility, Yavapai County, AZ, Due: August 25, 1998, Contact: Connie Stone (602) 580-5517.

EIS No. 980240, DRAFT EIS, NPS, AK, Sitka National Historical Park, General Management Plan, Implementation, City and Borough of Sitka, AK, Due: August 26, 1998, Contact: Gary Garthier (907) 747-6281.

EIS No. 980241, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, NOAA, Atlantic Sea Scallop, Placopecten Magellanicus, (Gmelin), Fishery Management Plan (FMP), Updated and Additional Information, Amendment No. 7, Due: August 10, 1998, Contact: Andrew Rosenberg (978) 281-9300.

EIS No. 980242, DRAFT EIS, NOA, ME, Atlantic Herring (*Clupea harengus harengus*) Fishery Management Plan (FMP), Management Measures, Exclusive Ecosystem Zone (EEZ), Gulf of Maine, George Bank, ME, Due: August 10, 1998, Contact: Andrew Rosenberg (978) 281-9300.

EIS No. 980243, DRAFT EIS, AFS, WA, Sand Ecosystem Restoration Project, Implementation, Wenatchee National Forest, Leavenworth Range District, Chelan County, WA, Due: August 10, 1998, Contact: Bob Stoehr (509) 548-6977.

EIS No. 980244, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, FRC, AL, North Alabama Pipeline Facilities, Additional Information, To Amended Natural Gas Pipeline, Construction and Operation, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Right-of-Way and NPDES Permits, Morgan, Limestone and Madison, AL, Due: August 10, 1998, Contact: Paul McKee (202) 208-1088.

EIS No. 980245, FINAL EIS, FRC, ME, Maritimes Phase II Project, Construct and Operate on Interstate Natural Gas Pipeline, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Endangered Species Act (ESA) and NPDE's permits, US Canada border at Woodland (Burleyville) Maine and Westbrook Maine, Due: July 27, 1998, Contact: Paul McKee (202) 208-1088.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 980176, DRAFT EIS, FHW, MD, US-301 Transportation Study, Improvements, from US-301 North of

US-301/MD-5 Interchange at T.B. to US 50 in Bowie, Northern Corridor Tier I, Prince George's County, MD, Due: July 15, 1998, Contact: George Frick (410) 962-4440. Published FR 05-15-98—Review Period extended.

Dated: June 23, 1998.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 98-17112 Filed 6-25-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6116-2]

National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology Reinvention Criteria Committee; Environmental Information and Public Access Committee; Environmental Capital Markets Committee; Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: Under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, P.L. 92-463, EPA gives notice of a meeting of the following committees of the National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology (NACEPT) which provides advice and recommendations to the Administrator of EPA on a broad range of environmental policy issues.

The Reinvention Criteria Committee (RCC) has been asked to help the Agency understand how incentives can be used most successfully to inspire firms, companies, communities, and individuals to go beyond mere compliance with existing regulations and to begin the process of addressing outstanding environmental problems. In particular, the committee will focus on the following questions:

- What opportunities exist for EPA to use incentives to promote environmental stewardship in industry? In local communities? In the general public?
- How can EPA evaluate the effectiveness of incentives to encourage environmental stewardship that leads to improved environmental results? How can EPA measure the impact that incentives have on public confidence? What criteria should be used to decide whether the use of incentives is appropriate?
- How can the concept of performance ladders be used to tailor incentives most effectively?