

TABLE 6 OF SUBPART FFF—SITE-SPECIFIC COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES AND INCREMENTS OF PROGRESS—Continued

Affected facilities at the following MWC sites	City, State	Submit final control plan Increment 1	Award contracts Increment 2	Begin construction Increment 3	Complete on-site compliance Increment 4	Final compliance Increment 5
Hempstead Resource Recovery Facility.	Westbury, New York.	05/09/98	TBD ^e	TBD ^e	TBD ^e	12/19/00.
Whellabrator Falls; Harrisburg Authority; American Ref-Fuel; Lancaster Resource Energy; Montenev Energy Resource of Montgomery County; York County Solid Waste and Refuse Authority.	Pennsylvania	3 months after issuance of FESOP ^f [or Federal plan promulgation].	3 months after issuance of FESOP ^f [or Federal plan promulgation].	18 months after issuance of FESOP ^f [or Federal plan promulgation].	30 months after issuance of FESOP ^f [or Federal plan promulgation].	12/19/00. ^g
I-95 Energy/Resource Recovery Facility.	Lorton, Virginia	06/01/98	08/01/98	12/01/98	10/01/99	11/01/99.
Alexandria/ Arlington Resource Recovery Facility.	Alexandria, Virginia.	06/01/98	08/01/98	12/01/98	10/01/99	11/01/99.

NA=not applicable; increment already met.

TBD=to be determined.

^aThe schedules from Group B have not been reviewed by EPA due to their recent arrival. They will be examined for acceptability at the same time as those received during the comment period of this proposal. All schedules contained in the final Federal plan will be reviewed and approved by EPA.

^bFor mercury and dioxins, combustors that commenced construction after June 26, 1987, must comply by 09/01/99 or within 12 months of issuance of permit to install, whichever is later.

[Note: 09/01/99 date may be modified to 1 year after Federal plan promulgation].

^cApplies only to NO_x emission limits. Other pollutants would follow Federal plan generic schedule.

^dBecause final compliance is achieved in 1 year, no increments of progress are required.

^eThe facility will propose these increments in the control plan to be submitted on 05/09/98.

^fPennsylvania is implementing their State plan through Federally Enforceable State Operating Permits (FESOP).

^gPennsylvania proposes 08/26/02 final compliance date for supplemental emission limits in 40 CFR 60, subpart Cb promulgated August 25, 1997. For mercury and dioxins, 1 year after State plan approval [or Federal plan promulgation] or 1 year after issuance of a revised permit if a permit modification is required.

[FR Doc. 98-1521 Filed 1-22-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

41 CFR Parts 51-5, 51-6, 51-8, 51-9, and 51-10

Miscellaneous Amendments to Committee Regulations

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Committee is proposing to make changes to its regulations to clarify them and improve the efficiency of operation of the Committee's Javits-Wagner-O'Day (JWOD) Program. The Committee is also proposing to make changes in its regulations to correct its

mailing address after a recent office move.

DATES: Submit comments on or before March 24, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Crystal Gateway 3, Suite 310, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-4302.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: G. John Heyer (703) 603-0665. Copies of this notice will be made available on request in computer diskette format.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Committee is proposing to amend 41 CFR 51-5.2 to add a new paragraph (e) to its mandatory source requirement. The new paragraph will require Government contracting activities which have bundled JWOD services into larger contract requirements to require their prime contractors to contract with the JWOD nonprofit agencies for performance of those services. The provision would place the same

obligation on Government contracting activities and their prime contractors if the Committee added a bundled service to the Procurement List after the bundling occurred. A similar regulatory provision for JWOD commodities appears at 41 CFR 51-5.2(c).

The Committee is also proposing a set of regulatory revisions to create a provision (new 41 CFR 51-6.14) for addition of replacement services to the Procurement List, similar to the provision at 41 CFR 51-6.13 on replacement commodities. This new provision is a response to service relocations which are part of current Government downsizing initiatives.

Lastly, the Committee is proposing to amend those provisions of its regulations which state its mailing address, as the address changed in November 1997. The provisions appear in the Committee's Freedom of Information Act, Privacy Act, and nondiscrimination regulations at 41 CFR

parts 51–8, 51–9, and 51–10 respectively.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

I certify that this proposed revision of the Committee regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because the revision clarifies program policies and does not essentially change the impact of the regulations on small entities.

Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply to this proposed rule because it contains no new information collection or recordkeeping requirements as defined in that Act and its regulations.

Executive Order No. 12866

The Committee has been exempted from the regulatory review requirements of the Executive Order by the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs. Additionally, the proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action as defined in the Executive Order.

List of Subjects

41 CFR Parts 51–5 and 51–6

Government procurement, Individuals with disabilities.

41 CFR Part 51–8

Freedom of information.

41 CFR Part 51–9

Privacy.

41 CFR Part 51–10

Administrative practice and procedure, Civil rights, Equal employment opportunity, Federal buildings and facilities, Individuals with disabilities.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, parts 51–5, 51–6, 51–8, 51–9 and 51–10 of Title 41, Chapter 51 of the Code of Federal Regulations are proposed to be amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for parts 51–5 and 51–6 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 41 U.S.C. 46–48c.

PART 51–5—CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS

2. Add new paragraph (e) to § 51–5.2 to read as follows:

§ 51–5.2 Mandatory source requirement.

* * * * *

(e) Contracting activities procuring services which have included within them services on the Procurement List shall require their contractors for the larger service requirement to procure

the included Procurement List services from nonprofit agencies designated by the Committee.

3. Revise the first sentence of paragraph (b) of § 51–5.3 to read as follows:

§ 51–5.3 Scope of requirement.

* * * * *

(b) For services, where an agency and location or geographic area are listed on the Procurement List, only the service for the location or geographic area listed must be procured from the nonprofit agency, except as provided in § 51–6.14 of this chapter. * * *

* * * * *

PART 51–6—PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES

4. Redesignate § 51–6.14 as § 51–6.15.

5. Add new § 51–6.14 to read as follows:

§ 51–6.14 Replacement services.

If a service is on the Procurement List to meet the needs of a Government entity at a specific location and the entity moves to another location, the service at the new location is automatically considered to be on the Procurement List if a qualified nonprofit agency is available to provide the service at the new location, unless the service at that location is already being provided by another contractor. If the service at the new location is being provided by another contractor, the service will not be on the Procurement List unless the Committee adds it as prescribed in part 51–2 of this chapter. If another Government entity moves into the old location, the service at that location will remain on the Procurement List to meet the needs of the new Government entity.

PART 51–8—PUBLIC AVAILABILITY OF AGENCY MATERIALS

6. The authority citation for Part 51–8 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552.

§§ 51–8.4 and 51–8.5 [Amended]

7. Remove the words “Crystal Square 3, Suite 403, 1735 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202–3461” and add, in their place, the words “Crystal Gateway 3, Suite 310, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202–4302” in the following places:

- a. Section 51–8.4; and
- b. Section 51–8.5(a).

PART 51–9—PRIVACY ACT RULES

8. The authority citation for Part 51–9 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552a.

§§ 51–9.401 and 51–9.405 [Amended]

Remove the words “Crystal Square 3, Suite 403, 1735 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202–3461” and add, in their place, the words “Crystal Gateway 3, Suite 310, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202–4302” in the following places:

- a. Section 51–9.401(a); and
- b. Section 51–9.405(a).

PART 51–10—ENFORCEMENT OF NONDISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF HANDICAP IN PROGRAMS OR ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED BY THE COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

10. The authority citation for part 51–10 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 29 U.S.C. 794.

§ 51–10.170 [Amended]

11. In § 51–10.170, remove the words “Crystal Square 3, Suite 403, 1735 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202–3461” and add, in their place, the words “Crystal Gateway 3, Suite 310, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202–4302” in paragraph (c).

Dated: January 20, 1998.

Beverly L. Milkman,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 98–1625 Filed 1–22–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

43 CFR Part 2360

RIN 1004–AC79

[WO–130–1820–00 24 1A]

National Petroleum Reserve, Alaska

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is withdrawing a rule that proposed removing sections of 43 CFR part 2360. The proposal was published in the **Federal Register** on October 23, 1996, and would have removed all of part 2360 except for provisions dealing with use authorizations. BLM had proposed to remove the regulations because we thought they were repetitive of statutory language or obsolete.