

stock, sod, hay, and other regulated articles in the newly regulated areas had a market value of approximately \$4.06 million. The potential costs to affected entities of treatments required as a result of this rule are minimal compared to the total value of regulated articles sold in these areas.

Under these circumstances, the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has determined that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Executive Order 12372

This program/activity is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under No. 10.025 and is subject to Executive Order 12372, which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials. (See 7 CFR part 3015, subpart V.)

Executive Order 12988

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This rule: (1) Preempts all State and local laws and regulations that are inconsistent with this rule; (2) has no retroactive effect; and (3) does not require administrative proceedings before parties may file suit in court challenging this rule.

National Environmental Policy Act

An environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact have been prepared for this program. The assessment provides a basis for the conclusion that the methods employed to regulate the imported fire ant will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment. Based on the finding of no significant impact, the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has determined that an environmental impact statement need not be prepared.

The environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact were prepared in accordance with: (1) The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), (2) regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), (3) USDA regulations implementing NEPA (7 CFR part 1b), and (4) APHIS' NEPA Implementing Procedures (7 CFR part 372).

Copies of the environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact are available for public inspection at USDA, room 1141, South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC, between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through

Friday, except holidays. Persons wishing to inspect copies are requested to call ahead on (202) 690–2817 to facilitate entry into the reading room. In addition, copies may be obtained by writing to the individual listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule contains no information collection or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 301

Agricultural commodities, Incorporation by reference, Plant diseases and pests, Quarantine, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Transportation.

Accordingly, we are amending 7 CFR part 301 as follows:

PART 301—DOMESTIC QUARANTINE NOTICES

1. The authority citation for part 301 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 147a, 150bb, 150dd, 150ee, 150ff, 161, 162, and 164–167; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.2(c).

2. In § 301.81–3, paragraph (e), the list of quarantined areas is amended by adding, in alphabetical order, entries for Garland, Pike, Pulaski, Saline, and Sevier Counties in Arkansas and by revising the entries for Desha, Howard, Jefferson, and Lincoln Counties in Arkansas to read as set forth below.

§ 301.81–3 Quarantined areas.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

* * * * *

ARKANSAS

* * * * *

Desha County. The entire county.

* * * * *

Garland County. The entire county.

* * * * *

Howard County. The entire county.

Jefferson County. The entire county.

* * * * *

Lincoln County. The entire county.

* * * * *

Pike County. The entire county.

Pulaski County. The entire county.

Saline County. The entire county.

Sevier County. The entire county.

* * * * *

Done in Washington, DC, this 26th day of June 1998.

Charles P. Schwalbe,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 98–17634 Filed 7–1–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Federal Crop Insurance Corporation

7 CFR Part 457

Guaranteed Production Plan of Fresh Market Tomato; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Crop Insurance Corporation, USDA.

ACTION: Correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: This document contains corrections to the final regulation which was published in the **Federal Register** on Thursday, May 1, 1997 (62 FR 23628–23634), and subsequently corrected on June 20, 1997 (62 FR 33539). The regulation pertains to the Guaranteed Production Plan of Fresh Market Tomato Regulations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 21, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Louise Narber, Insurance Management Specialist, Research and Development, Product Development Division, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation, United States Department of Agriculture, 9435 Holmes Road, Kansas City, MO 64131, telephone (816) 926–7730.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The final regulation that is the subject of this correction was intended to provide policy changes to better meet the needs of the insured and include the current Fresh Market Tomato (Guaranteed Production Plan) Crop Insurance Regulations with the Common Crop Insurance Policy for ease of use and consistency of terms.

Need for Correction

As published, the final regulation and the subsequent final rule correction contain errors which may prove to be misleading and need to be clarified. The calendar dates for the end of the insurance period are being further corrected to designate November 10 instead of September 20 as the correct calendar date for the end of the insurance period in the states of Florida and Georgia. As currently stated in policy, the insurance period is only 31 days. Fall tomatoes require approximately 70 days from planting to maturity.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 457

Crop insurance, Fresh market tomato (guaranteed production plan).

Accordingly, 7 CFR part 457 is corrected by making the following correcting amendment:

**PART 457—COMMON CROP
INSURANCE REGULATIONS;
REGULATIONS FOR THE 1998 AND
SUBSEQUENT CONTRACT YEARS**

1. The authority citation for part 457 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1506(l), 1506(p).

§ 457.128 [Corrected]

2. In § 457.128, paragraph 10(b)(7) is further corrected to read as follows: "October 31 of the crop year in California, November 10 of the crop year in Florida and Georgia, and September 20 of the crop year in all other states."

Signed in Washington D.C., on June 26, 1998.

Joy Harwood,

Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc. 98-17636 Filed 7-1-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-08-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Federal Crop Insurance Corporation

7 CFR Part 457

Dry Pea; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Crop Insurance Corporation, USDA.

ACTION: Correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: This document contains corrections to the final regulation which was published in the **Federal Register** on Tuesday, December 16, 1997 (62 FR 65741-65747). The regulation pertains to the Dry Pea Crop Insurance Provisions.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Arden Routh, Insurance Management Specialist, Research and Development, Product Development Division, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation, United States Department of Agriculture, 9435 Holmes Road, Kansas City, MO 64131, telephone (816) 926-7730.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The final regulation that is the subject of this correction was intended to provide policy changes to better meet the needs of the insured and include the pea crop insurance regulations with the Common Crop Insurance Policy for ease of use and consistency of terms.

Need for Correction

As published, the final regulation contained an error which may prove misleading. The local market price definition was based on the cash price

per pound for U.S. No. 2 grade of dry peas and is being corrected to be based on the cash price per pound for U.S. No. 1 grade of dry peas. Dry Pea production that is eligible for quality adjustment is based on production grading U.S. No. 2 or worse; therefore, any production not grading U.S. No. 1 is eligible for quality adjustment. The local market price must be based on the U.S. No. 1 grade rather than U.S. No. 2. The value of the damaged or conditioned production is divided by the local market price (based on U.S. No. 1 grade) to calculate the quality adjustment factor under section 12(e) of the crop provisions.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 457

Crop insurance, Dry pea.

Accordingly, 7 CFR part 457 is corrected by making the following correcting amendment:

**PART 457—COMMON CROP
INSURANCE REGULATIONS;
REGULATIONS FOR THE 1998 AND
SUBSEQUENT CONTRACT YEARS**

1. The authority citation for part 457 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1506(l), 1506(p).

§ 457.140 [Corrected]

2. In § 457.140, section 1 of the policy pertaining to the definition of "Local market price" is corrected by removing the phrase "U.S. No. 2", in the first and second sentences, and replacing it with "U.S. No. 1".

Signed in Washington D.C., on June 26, 1998.

Joy Harwood,

Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc. 98-17637 Filed 7-1-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-08-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Housing Service

Rural Business-Cooperative Service

Rural Utilities Service

Farm Service Agency

7 CFR Part 1980

RIN 0560-AE92

**Subordination of Direct Loan Basic
Security To Secure a Guaranteed Line
of Credit; Correction**

AGENCIES: Rural Housing Service, Rural Business-Cooperative Service, Rural Utilities Service, Farm Service Agency, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects the amendatory language contained in the final rule published April 24, 1998, regarding approving a subordination of direct loan security when another lender will be making a line of credit guaranteed by the Agency with a Contract of Guarantee-Line of Credit. This correction clarifies that the conditions applicable to a subordination of direct loan basic security do not apply to the subordination of direct loan normal income security. This correction will apply retroactively to those lines of credit approved since the effective date of the final rule.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 26, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Phillip Elder (202) 690-4012; Electronic mail: pelder@wdc.fsa.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The final rule being corrected by this publication was intended to allow subordination of direct loan basic chattel and real estate security to secure a guaranteed line of credit in certain cases, to allow subordinations for refinancing purposes and to remove a loan maximum limitation that had been repealed.

Need for Correction

As published, the final rule had the unintentional effect of greatly increasing the conditions that must be met for the Agencies to subordinate direct loan normal income security when making a guaranteed line of credit. These extra conditions were intended to apply only to subordinations of basic security when making a guaranteed line of credit. As stated in the final rule discussion of the fourth comment received, "Regardless, the limitations included in § 1980.108(a) will allow subordinations of direct loan basic security in only those cases where the likelihood of a Government loss on the direct loan is small." The extra conditions were not to be applied to subordinations of normal income security. The definitions of normal income and basic security are contained in § 1962.4 of Title 7. Also, as part of this correction, the first extra condition in § 1980.108(a)(1)(vi) is clarified to more clearly state that the required loan to value ratio is to be calculated based on all of the borrower's direct loans and all of the loan security and is not calculated on a single loan basis for multiple loan borrowers.

Correction of Publication

In the final rule published in **Federal Register**, 63 FR 20295-20299, on April 24, 1998, make the following corrections in the amendatory language section: At