seq.), and the provisions of § 216.39 of the Regulations Governing the Taking and Importing of Marine Mammals (50 CFR part 216).

Dated: July 1, 1998.

#### Ann Terbush,

Chief, Permits and Documentation Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 98–18121 Filed 7–7–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–F

# COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

TIME AND PLACE: 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, July 28, 1998.

**PLACE:** 1155 21st St., N.W., Washington, D.C., 9th Floor Conference Room.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Rule Enforcement Matter.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Jean A. Webb, 202–418–5100.

#### Jean A. Webb,

Secretary of the Commission.
[FR Doc. 98–18250 Filed 7–6–98; 2:22 pm]
BILLING CODE 6351–01–M

# COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

#### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

TIME AND PLACE: 2:00 p.m., Wednesday, July 29, 1998.

**PLACE:** 1155 21st St., N.W., Washington, D.C., 9th Floor Conference Room.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

**Enforcement Matters.** 

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Jean A. Webb. 202-418-5100.

### Jean A Webb.

Secretary of the Commission.
[FR Doc. 98–18249 Filed 7–6–98; 2:22 p.m.]
BILLING CODE 6351–01–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

[DFARS Case 97-D035]

DD Form 2631, Performance Evaluation (Architect-Engineer)

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments regarding a proposed revision of DD Form 2631.

**SUMMARY:** The Director of Defense Procurement is proposing to revise the form used for preparation of contractor performance evaluations under architect-engineer (A-E) contracts. Additions are made to the form to provide a more complete listing of the disciplines and attributes to be evaluated under A-E contracts, and the descriptive rating terms are changed for consistency with the terms used in evaluating contractor performance under supply and service contracts. **DATES:** Comments on the proposed revision should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before September 8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties should submit written comments to: Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, Attn: Ms. Amy Williams, PDUSD (A&T) DP (DAR), IMD 3D139, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telefax (703) 602–0350.

E-mail comments submitted over the Internet should be addressed to: dfars@acq.osd.mil

Please cite DFARS Case 97–D035 in all correspondence related to this issue. E-mail comments should cite DFARS Case 97–D035 in the subject line.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Amy Williams, telephone (703) 602–0131.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# A. Background

DoD uses DD Form 2631, Performance Evaluation (Architect-Engineer), to prepare contractor performance evaluations under A-E contracts. DD Form 2631 was developed primarily for conventional design work relating to construction of buildings and other structures. Changes to the form are needed to add disciplines and attributes associated with other types of work performed under A-E contracts, and to address small business subcontracting plan requirements. This proposed revision of the form adds the following to the list of disciplines and attributes to be evaluated under A-E contracts when applicable: Geospatial Information Services; Chemistry; Risk Assessment; Safety/Occupational Health; Hydrographic Surveying; Field

Analysis; Innovative Approaches/ Technologies; and Implementation of Small Business Subcontracting Plan.

In addition, the proposed revision changes the five overall rating terms in Block 12 of the form, for consistency with the terms used in evaluating contractor performance under supply and service contracts, as follows:

From/To

Excellent—Exceptional
Above Average—Very Good
Average—Satisfactory
Below Average—Marginal
Poor—Unsatisfactory

The proposed revision also removes the three descriptive terms (Outstanding, Satisfactory, and Unsatisfactory) used to rate the disciplines and attributes listed in Blocks 16, 17, and 19 of the form, and replaces these terms with the five terms proposed for use in the overall rating category.

## **B. Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The proposed revision is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the proposed changes to the form are not expected to significantly alter the manner in which contractor performance is evaluated under A–E contracts. Therefore, an initial regulatory flexibility analysis has not been performed. Comments are invited from small businesses and other interested parties. Please cite DFARS Case 98–D035 in correspondence.

#### C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the proposed form does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

## Michele P. Peterson,

Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

# Proposed Revision of DD Form 2631, Performance Evaluation (Architect-Engineer)

									A-E CONTRACTOR I.D. NUMBER (For ACASS use only)				
PERFORMANCE EVALUATION								1.	1. A-E CONTRACT NUMBER				
(ARCHITECT-ENGINEER)								2.	2. CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT NUMBER				
IMPORTANT: Be sure to comp	ete Performan	ce section on back	If add	litio	nal space is	necessar	ry for		n, use f				
3. TYPE OF EVALUATION  a. PHASE OF COMPLETION					1			<b>—</b>  •.	NUMBE		5. DELIVERY ORDER NO.(S)		
ENGINEEDING CONSTR					C. X IF APPLICABLE  UC- TERMINATION (Explain in						(if applicable)		
INTERIM ( %) FINAL	DESIGN	SERVICES	TION		Remari	ks)		) ) )			<u> </u>		
6. NAME AND ADDRESS OF A	-E CUNTRACT	IOR		'' ا	. PROJEC	I IIILE A	ND LC	CATIO	V				
	7b. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT IF NOT EXPLAINED BY TITLE												
8. NAME, ADDRESS AND PHO	NE NUMBER (	OF OFFICE RESPON	SIBLE	FOI	R:								
a. SELECTION OF A-E CONTRACTO	OR .			b. NEGOTIATION/AWARD OF A-E CONTRACT									
				ļ									
c. ADMINISTRATION OF A-E CONT	RACT			d.	ADMINISTE	ATION OF	CONST	TRUCTIO	N CONT	TRACT			
		_	_										
		D	K	A	FT								
				<u> </u>	<del> </del>								
9. A-E CONTRACT DATA (Item  a. TYPE OF WORK PERFORMED BY			constru	_	TYPE OF A			s to the A	4-E cont	tract - Se	ee Instructions.)		
a. Tipe or work renronwed by	A-E (Design, 30	udy, etc./		<b>b</b> .	1			DEFINITE	DEL IVED	VANAFFA	NITT OLIANITITY		
				FIRM FIXED-PRICE INDEFINITE DELIVERY/INDEFINITE QUANTITY  COST-REIMBURSEMENT OTHER (Specify)									
c. PROJECT COMPLEXITY	4 PROFESSION	NAL SERVICES CONT	DACT	Щ	COS1-KEIMB	URSEMENT	1 0	THEN (Spe	сту				
DIFFICULT ROUTINE	····			E CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS				(3) TOTAL A-E FEE					
H		NO.		AMOUNT					1				
				\$					Ś				
e. A-E CONTRACT AWARD DATE	e. A-E CONTRACT AWARD DATE f. NEGOTIATED A-E CON				RACT COMPLETION DATE g. AC			CTUAL A	UAL A-E CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE				
(or number of days) (inc.				uding extensions) (or n			r number	umber of days)					
(1) DELIVERY ORDER AWARD DAT	E	(1) COMPLETION D	ATE	(2) NUMBER OF DAYS (1) COI			OMPLET	PLETION DATE (2) NUMBER OF D					
10. CONSTRUCTION CONTRA													
a. CONSTRUCTION COSTS		ED CONSTRUCTION	COST	(2) A-E ESTIMATE FOR BID ITEMS AWARDED			viS	(3) AWARD AMOUNT					
					\$				\$				
b. DATA AT TIME OF CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION (Completion date						NUMBER				TO	OTAL COST		
(1) CONSTRUCTION MODIFICATIONS									\$				
(2) CONSTRUCTION MODIFICA						<del>-</del>							
DEFICIENCIES	L					\$							
11. A-E LIABILITY NO	G S	·			ل_	TTLEME							
12. OVERALL RATING				13	3. RECOMN	MENDED F				ACTS?			
<b>├</b> ─	TISFACTORY	UNSATISFACT	ORY	<u> </u>	YES			ONDITIO					
VERY GOOD MARGINAL					NO (Explain						25510141		
14a. NAME, TITLE AND OFFICE OF RATING OFFICIAL 15a.						TITLE AN	ואט טו	FILE UF	HEVIE!	WING (	JFFICIAL		
TELEPHONE NUMBER:		TELEPHO	NE NUMBER	R:									
b. SIGNATURE C. DATE					b. SIGNATURE				c. DATE (Official				
											Report date)		
AGENCY USE: (Distribution, etc.	:.)												

16. QUALITY OF A-E SERVICES BY DISCIPLINE (Completion mendatory for both DESIGN and CONSTRUCTION phases and Engineering Services)												
			SIGN/SERVI	CES		CONSTRUCTION						
a. DISCIPLINES (If applicable)	EXCEP- TIONAL	VERY GOOD	SATIS- FACTORY	MARGINAL	UNSATIS- FACTORY	EXCEP- TIONAL	VERY GOOD	SATIS- FACTORY	MARGINAL	UNSATIS- FACTORY		
Architectural												
Structural									<u> </u>			
Civil			-	ļ					ļ			
Mechanical Electrical			<del> </del>						<del> </del>			
Fire Protection												
Surveying, Mapping, & Geospatial Information Svcs.										<del> </del>		
Cost Estimating												
Value Engineering												
Environmental Engineering	ļ	<b></b>										
Geotechnical Engineering												
Master Planning	<b> </b> -			ļ								
Hydrology Chemical Engineering		ļ	<del> </del>									
Geology												
Chemistry												
Risk Assessment												
Safety/Occupational Health												
Hydrographic Surveying												
		<u> </u>	ļ									
	10 10 11		<u> </u>		i	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L		
17. DESIGN PHASE OR ENGINEERING SERVICE					LINSATIS.				ID ADDRE			
ATTRIBUTES (If applicable)	EXCEP- TIONAL	VERY GOOD	SATIS- FACTORY	MARGINAL	UNSATIS- FACTORY	, NE	T CONSU	LIANI(5)	(If applic	abie)		
Thoroughness of Site Investigation/Field Analysis	<del> </del>		ļ	ļ		1						
Quality Control Procedures and Execution  Plans/Specs Accurate and Coordinated			<del> </del>		<b></b>	1						
Plans Clear and Detailed Sufficiently	ļ		<del> </del>			ł						
Management and Adherence to Schedules			<del> </del>	<del> </del>	·	i						
Meeting Cost Limitations						ł						
Suitability of Design or Study Results	<del> </del>		†			1						
Solution Environmentally Suitable			<b> </b>			1						
Cooperativeness and Responsiveness												
Quality of Briefing and Presentations												
Innovative Approaches/Technologies												
Implementation of Sm. Business Subcontracting Plan												
<b>4</b> .1.			ļ									
	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L	1						
18. HOW MANY 100% FINAL RESUBMITTALS	WERE RE	QUIRED	BECAUSE	OF POOR	A-E		<b>,</b> D	A F -	~			
PERFORMANCE?							J K /	4 F <sup>-</sup>	l			
19. CONSTRUCTION PHASE (Quality of A-E Se			1 64416	,	LINGATIO	1						
ATTRIBUTES (If applicable)	EXCEP: TIONAL	VERY GOOD	SATIS- FACTORY	MARGINAL	UNSATIS- FACTORY	1						
Plans Clear and Detailed Sufficiently	L		<u> </u>			ļ						
Drawings Reflect True Conditions						1						
Plans/Specs Accurate and Coordinated						ļ						
Design Constructibility			<del> </del>		<u> </u>	ł						
Cooperativeness and Responsiveness Timeliness and Quality of Processing Submittals												
Product & Equipment Selections Readily Available	<del>                                     </del>											
Timeliness of Answers to Design Questions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>				1						
Field Consultation and Investigations						1						
Quality of Construction Support Services												
						ĺ						
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u></u>	<u></u>						
20. REMARKS (Attach additional sheet(s) or docume	entation if r	necessary)										
1												
1												