

pollution control regulations. The regulations are codified as part 55 of chapter I of title 40 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR).

The proposed ICR addresses the information collection burden to industry respondents who are subject to the reporting, recordkeeping, and testing requirements of the OCS air regulations. Industry respondents include owners or operators of existing and new or modified stationary sources. The proposed ICR also addresses the burden to the agencies who are responsible for implementing and enforcing the OCS regulations. The EPA has delegated the authority to implement and enforce the OCS regulations for sources located off the coast of California to four local air pollution control agencies. The EPA implements and enforces the regulations for all other sources under its jurisdiction. All burden estimates are calculated for the 3-year period beginning September 1, 1998 and ending August 31, 2001.

The type, quantity and submission requirements of information will depend on the type and location of the source. Exploration facilities are generally smaller sources which operate for a short period of time (2 to 6 months), are required to submit an application to operate and are required to submit a copy of their log book to document their operation. Development and production facilities are generally larger sources which operate for periods up to 30 years, are required to obtain new source review and operating permits, conduct initial and periodic emission tests, and submit compliance information on a routine basis.

The requirements for sources located or locating within 25 miles of the States' seaward boundaries are essentially the same as the requirements for the sources located in the COA. These requirements will depend upon whether the area is attaining the air quality standards and the local regulatory requirements. For example, a new source locating off the coast of a nonattainment area would have to meet the stringent requirements of the nonattainment area, such as smaller size cut-offs for new source review requirements and control requirements for the lowest achievable emission rate. While sources locating off an area which is attaining the standards would have higher cut-off requirements and control requirements for the best available control technology.

In addition, since EPA has delegated authority to implement and enforce the regulations to four southern California air pollution control districts, sources locating off the coast of those districts

would be submitting their applications and data to the local districts.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: There are two types of respondents affected by this proposed ICR: new and existing sources. New sources must submit adequate information to determine if the sources will meet the appropriate new source review requirements. The annual average of these one-time-only burdens for the respondents is estimated to be 16,742 hours. Existing sources must submit information to obtain an operating permit and information on the sources' emissions. The annual burden for the existing sources is 16,308 hours. The total estimate annual burden for the respondents is 33,050 hours and an annualized cost of \$1,775,646. The burden for the State and local agencies to implement and enforce the regulations is estimated to be 4,109 hours and an annualized cost of \$158,476. The burden for the EPA to implement and enforce the regulations is estimated to be 4,114 hours and an annualized cost of \$177,099. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to: review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing

and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: June 18, 1998.

John S. Seitz,

Director, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.

[FR Doc. 98-18084 Filed 7-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6122-1]

Notice of Shrimp Virus Management Work Shop

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Notice of shrimp virus management workshop.

SUMMARY: The Gulf of Mexico Program will jointly sponsor a Shrimp Virus Management Workshop. This workshop is a continuation of the shrimp virus work of the Joint Subcommittee on Aquaculture (JSA) of the President's Council on Science and Policy. This workshop is jointly sponsored by: the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service (DOC/NOAA/NMFS); U.S. Department of Agriculture, Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service (DOA/CREES) and Agricultural Research Service (DOA/ARS); and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Gulf of Mexico Program. The purpose of the workshop is to utilize all of the data and input gathered from the June 1996 Shrimp Pathogen Workshop, the Report of the JSA Shrimp Virus Work Group from June 1997, the Stakeholder Meetings held in Summer 1997 and the Expert Workshop held in January 1998 to develop Management Options/Strategies for managing the threat of shrimp viruses to cultured and wild stocks of shrimp in the Gulf of Mexico and Southeastern U.S. Atlantic Waters.

DATES: The workshop will be held on July 28 & 29, 1998.

ADDRESSES: The workshop will be held at the Radisson Inn New Orleans Airport, 2150 Veterans Blvd., Kenner, LA. (504) 467-3111.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William D. Holland, Gulf of Mexico

Program Office, Building 1103, Room 202, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529-6000 at (228) 688-3726; or for technical assistance contact, Dr. Tom McIlwain, Chairperson of the JSA Shrimp Virus Work Group, National Marine Fisheries Service, 3209 Frederick Street, Pascagoula, MS 39567 at (228) 762-4591.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The workshop will be structured with case studies, drawing on the experiences of Mexico and the states of South Carolina, Texas, and Florida, in managing the threat of shrimp viruses in their respective jurisdictions. Breakout groups will cover conservation, aquaculture, the processing industry, and wild caught stocks.

The tentative agenda is as follows:

Tuesday, July 28, 1998

8:00 a.m.—Introduction and Charge to Working Group
8:15 a.m.—Case Study #1
9:15 a.m.—Case Study #2
10:15 a.m.—Break
10:30 a.m.—Case Study #3
11:15 a.m.—Case Study #4
12:00 n.—Lunch
1:00 p.m.—Working Group Sessions (4 Different Groups)
5:00 p.m.—Adjourn

Wednesday, July 29, 1998

8:00 a.m.—Working Group Sessions (continued)

10:15 a.m.—Break
10:30 a.m.—Conclusions/Working Group Reports
12:00 n.—Adjourn

The Workshop is open to the public.

Bryon O. Griffith,
Deputy Director, Gulf of Mexico Program.
[FR Doc. 98-18085 Filed 7-7-98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-66251; FRL 5796-6]

Notice of Receipt of Requests to Voluntarily Cancel Certain Pesticide Registrations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 6(f)(1) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended, EPA is issuing a notice of receipt of requests by registrants to voluntarily cancel certain pesticide registrations.

DATES: Unless a request is withdrawn by January 4, 1999, orders will be issued cancelling all of these registrations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: James A. Hollins, Office of

Pesticide Programs (7502C), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location for commercial courier, delivery, telephone number and e-mail: Rm. 216, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA, (703) 305-5761; e-mail: hollins.james@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

Section 6(f)(1) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended, provides that a pesticide registrant may, at any time, request that any of its pesticide registrations be cancelled. The Act further provides that EPA must publish a notice of receipt of any such request in the **Federal Register** before acting on the request.

II. Intent to Cancel

This Notice announces receipt by the Agency of requests to cancel some five pesticide products registered under section 3 or 24(c) of FIFRA. These registrations are listed in sequence by registration number (or company number and 24(c) number) in the following Table 1.

TABLE 1—REGISTRATIONS WITH PENDING REQUESTS FOR CANCELLATION

Registration No.	Product Name	Chemical Name
001757-00040	Amerstat 282	Methylenebis(thiocyanate)
005383-00060	Troysan 186	4,4-Dimethyloxazolidine
034704-00702	Clean Crop Butylate 6.7EC	S-Ethyl diisobutylthiocarbamate
034704-WA-97-0014	Clean Crop Carbaryl 4L	1-Naphthyl-N-methylcarbamate
045385-00046	Chem-Tox Low Odor Flea Spray	O,O-Diethyl O-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioate

Unless a request is withdrawn by the registrant within 180 days of publication of this notice, orders will be issued cancelling all of these registrations. Users of these pesticides or anyone else desiring the retention of a registration should contact the applicable registrant directly during this 180-day period. The following Table 2, includes the names and addresses of record for all registrants of the products in Table 1, in sequence by EPA Company Number.

TABLE 2—REGISTRANTS REQUESTING VOLUNTARY CANCELLATION

EPA Company No.	Company Name and Address
001757	Ashland Chemical Co., Drew Industrial Division, One Drew Plaza, Boonton, NJ 07005.
005383	Lewis & Harrison, Agent For: Troy Chemical Corp., 122 C St., NW., Ste. 740, Washington, DC 20001.
034704	Cherie Garner, Agent For: Platte Chemical Co Inc., Box 667, Greeley, CO 80632.
045385	CTX Inc., 481 Scotland Rd., Mchenry, IL 60050.

III. Procedures for Withdrawal of Request

Registrants who choose to withdraw a request for cancellation must submit

such withdrawal in writing to James A. Hollins, at the address given above, postmarked before January 4, 1999. This written withdrawal of the request for

cancellation will apply only to the applicable 6(f)(1) request listed in this notice. If the product(s) have been subject to a previous cancellation