means governments of cities, counties, towns, etc., with a population of less than 50,000, unless the agency establishes an alternative definition.

The proposed permit issuance also would not uniquely affect small governments because compliance with the proposed permit conditions affects small governments in the same manner as any other entities seeking coverage under the permit.

### G. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq*, requires that EPA prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis for regulations that have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Compliance with the permit requirements will not result in a significant impact on dischargers, including small businesses, covered by these permits. EPA Region 6 therefore concludes that the permits proposed today will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### Oscar Ramirez, Jr.,

Deputy Director, Water Quality Protection Division, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 98–20146 Filed 7–27–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

### FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

## Farmer Mac Risk-Based Capital

## Notice of Availability of Study and Request for Comment

SUMMARY: The Farm Credit Administration (FCA or Agency), acting through the Office of Secondary Market Oversight (OSMO), is required, under section 8.32 of the Farm Credit Act of 1971, as amended (Act), to establish a risk-based capital regulation for the Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac). The FCA is in the process of developing this regulation and will publish a proposed regulation for comment no sooner than February 1999.

The credit risk portion of the prescribed risk-based capital test must take into account agricultural mortgage losses in an area containing not less than 5 percent of the U.S. population during a 2-year historic period in which the highest rates of losses occurred.

After an extensive search for applicable data on agricultural mortgage loan losses, the FCA was able to obtain useful data from the Farm Credit Bank of Texas. FCA contracted with the firm of Barry and Associates to study these data, to make extrapolations of loss data for other states in order to identify the

geographic area meeting the criteria of the Act, and to determine the applicable credit risk component.

The FCA is making the results of this study available for public comment and suggestions that could possibly lead to improved input for the credit risk component of the proposed regulation. **DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before September 15, 1998.

ADDRESSES: You may obtain a copy of the study by downloading from the FCA web page at www.fca.gov; by submitting an electronic mail request for a copy to info-line@fca.gov; or by contacting George D. Irwin, Director, Office of Secondary Market Oversight, Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, VA 22102–5090, (703) 883–4280.

Submit your comments via electronic mail to "reg-comm@fca.gov" or in hard copy to George D. Irwin, Director, Office of Secondary Market Oversight, Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, VA 22102–5090. Copies of all comments received will be available for review by interested parties at the Farm Credit Administration offices in McLean, Virginia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George D. Irwin, Director, Office of Secondary Market Oversight, Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, VA 22102–5090, (703) 883–4280, TDD (703) 883–4444. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 8.32 of the Act specifies that the FCA, through the Office of Secondary Market Oversight, shall establish, by regulation, a risk-based capital test for Farmer Mac. The statute further provides that:

"\* \* the risk-based capital test shall determine the amount of regulatory capital for the Corporation [Farmer Mac] that is sufficient for the Corporation to maintain positive capital during a 10-year period in which both of the following circumstances

(1) CREDIT RISK \* \* \* losses on the underlying qualified loans occur throughout the United States at a rate of default and severity (based on any measurements of default reasonably related to prevailing industry practice in determining capital adequacy) reasonably related to the rate and severity that occurred in contiguous areas of the United States containing an aggregate of not less than 5 percent of the total population of the United States that, for a period of not less than 2 years (as established by the Director [of OSMO]), experienced the highest rates of default and severity of agricultural mortgage losses, in comparison with such rates of default and severity of agricultural mortgage losses in other such areas for any period of such duration, as determined by the

(2) INTEREST RATE RISK \* \* \*"

Section 8.32 also states that the FCA may not publish the risk-based capital regulations for comment until after February 10, 1999.

The FCA conducted an extensive search and found usable historic databases on loan performance during the severe loss period of the 1980s in the Farm Credit Bank of Texas. It then became necessary to find a method to extrapolate the loan loss experience in Texas to other geographic areas of the U.S., which had different experience and different loss rates. The contractors evaluated several approaches to extrapolation in developing these estimates of loss experience and identifying the geographic areas of most severe loss.

The FCA wishes to make this study available for public comment and suggestions. We welcome responses that may offer: (1) Information that leads to additional relevant data sources; (2) suggestions that might improve use of the study in developing risk-based capital regulations; and (3) any other ideas that might lead to an improved credit risk component in the risk-based capital regulation being developed for Farmer Mac.

The FCA cautions commenters that this study is based on currently available data, which we have found to be very limited. The FCA is making the study available at this time solely for informational purposes and to seek additional input. FCA may elect to use alternative approaches in developing the credit risk component of the risk-based capital regulations.

Dated: July 23, 1998.

## Floyd Fithian,

Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board. [FR Doc. 98–20131 Filed 7–27–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6705–01–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension; Comments Requested

July 22, 1998.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it

displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRÅ) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments September 28, 1998. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commissions, Room 234, 1919 M St., N.W., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to jboley@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Judy Boley at 202–418–0214 or via internet at jboley@fcc.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval Number: 3060–0262. Title: Section 90.179, Shared Use of Radio Stations.

Form No.: N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit, non-profit institutions, state and local governments.

Number of Respondents: 1650. Estimated Time Per Response: .75 hours.

Cost to Respondent: 0.

Total Annual Burden: 1,238 hours. Needs and Uses: The requirement contained in this rule section is necessary to identify users of a shared land mobile radio station. The information is used by Commission personnel to investigate interference complaints.

Federal Communications Commission.

## Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–20036 Filed 7–27–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–U

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

July 22, 1998.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents. including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before August 27, 1998. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commissions, Room 234, 1919 M St., N.W., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at 202–418–0217 or via internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval Number: 3060–0425. Title: Section 74.913 Selection Procedure for Mutually Exclusive ITFS Applications.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection. Respondents: Not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 150.

Estimated Time Per Response: 53 hours (3 hours/respondent, 30 hours/contract attorney, 20/consulting engineer); and 1 hour (student population statements).

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 250 hours. Cost to Respondents: \$425,000 (attorney and consulting engineering fees).

Needs and Uses: Section 74.913 (c) requires qualified ITFS applicants, with the same point accumulation, to submit any agreement to divide the use of the channels within thirty days from the date of the Commission's decision. If no agreement is reached and submitted to the Commission within thirty days, the selectee will be determined through the tie-breaker mechanism of Section 74.913 (d).

Section 74.913 (d) requires each applicant tied in a comparative selection proceeding to submit a statement of the number of students at its proposed receive locations who are formally enrolled in classes for credit toward an academic degree or diploma, or a legally required certification or license. This claim of students, who would benefit from the proposed system, must correlate to and be supported by the educational programs proposed in its application. This statement must be served on the other tied competing applicant(s). Applicants will not be required to submit their agreements or statements unless and until it is determined that they are tied in a comparative selection proceeding.

The data will be used by FCC staff to determine the most qualified applicant to provide ITFS service to the public. The statement served on other tied competing applicant(s) will provide an opportunity for competing applicants to respond to any aspect of the enrollment submissions.

Federal Communications Commission.

## Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–20087 Filed 7–27–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–U

# FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection to be submitted to OMB for review and