6. Federalism. In accordance with Executive Order 12612, the policy does not have significant Federalism effects. This policy will not affect other governments since it only applies to lands and activities within the National Wildlife Refuge System. This policy will not affect small governments. A Federalism assessment is not required.

7. Civil Justice Reform. In accordance with Executive Order 12988, the Office of the Solicitor has determined that the policy does not unduly burden the judicial system and meets the requirements of sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of the Order.

8. Paperwork Reduction Act. This regulation does not require any information collection under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

9. National Environmental Policy Act. We have analyzed this policy in accordance with the criteria of the National Environmental Policy Act and 318 DM 2.2(g) and 6.3(D). This policy does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. An environmental impact statement/ assessment is not required.

10. Government-to-Government Relationship with Tribes. We have evaluated possible effects on Federally recognized Indian tribes in accordance with the President's memorandum of April 29, 1994, "Government-to-Government Relations with Native American Tribal Governments" (59 FR 22951) and 512 DM 2. We have determined there are no effects on Federally recognized Indian tribes since it only applies to lands and activities within the National Wildlife Refuge System.

Dated: April 6, 1998.

Jamie Rappaport Clark,

Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. [FR Doc. 98–20458 Filed 7–30–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[CA-010-1220-00]

Meeting of the Central California Resource Advisory Council

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior. ACTION: Meeting of the Central California Resource Advisory Council.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the authorities in the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463) and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (sec. 309), the Bureau of Land Management Resource Advisory Council for Central California will meet in Galt, California.

DATES: August 7-8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Consumnes River Preserve Visitor Center, 13501 Franklin Boulevard, Galt, California.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The 12 member Central California Resource Advisory Council is appointed by the Secretary of the Interior to advise the Bureau of Land Management on public land issues. The Council meetings will begin at 8 a.m. both Friday and Saturday, August 7 and 8, 1998. Agenda items will include a status report on standards and guidelines for grazing on federal lands so as to maintain healthy rangeland; a report on the problem of invasive weeds and how to control them; a review of the BLM land exchange program and a discussion of the status of management plans for various BLM managed lands; and a tour of the Cosumnes River Preserve. A public comment period is scheduled for 1 p.m., Saturday, August 8, when anyone may address the Council about any public land issue. Written comments will also be accepted at the address below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Larry Mercer, Public Affairs Officer, Bureau of Land Management, 3801 Pegasus Drive, Bakersfield, CA 93308, telephone 805–391–6010.

Dated: July 24, 1998.

Stephen Larson,

Acting Field Office Manager. [FR Doc. 98–20452 Filed 7–30–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–40–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-412]

Certain Video Graphics Display Controllers and Products Containing Same; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a compliant was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on July 1, 1998, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Cirrus Logic, Inc., 3100 West Warren Avenue, Fremont, California 94538. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the

sale for importation and the sale within the United States after importation of certain video graphics display controllers and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 37 and 43 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,598,525. The complaint further alleges that there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complaint requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after a hearing, issue a permanent exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http:// www.usitc. gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205– 2571.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (1996).

SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on July 27, 1998, *Ordered* That—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the important into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain video graphics display controllers or products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 37 or 43 of U.S. Letter Patent 5,598,525, and whether there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—Cirrus Logic, Inc., 3100 West Warren Avenue, Fremont, CA 94538.

(b) The respondent is the following company alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: ATI Technologies, Inc., 33 Commerce Valley Drive East, Thornhill, Ontario, Canada L3T 7N6.

(c) Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 401, Washington, D.C. 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Debra Morriss is designated as the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

A response to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR §210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a) of the Commission's Rules, such a response will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting a response to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: July 28, 1998. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–20512 Filed 7–30–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

July 27, 1998.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of each individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor, Departmental Clearance Officer, Todd R. Owen ((202) 219–5096 ext. 143) or by E-Mail to Owen-Todd@dol.gov. Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, *Attn:* OMB Desk Officer for BLS, DM, ESA, ETA, MSHA, OSHA, PWBA, or VETS, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395–7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

• evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

• evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Title: Work Opportunity Tax Credit (WOTC).

OMB Number: 1205–0371 (extension). Form Number: ETA 9057–59, 9061–63 and 9065.

Affected Public: State, Local or Tribal Governments.

Form No.	Frequency	Respond- ents	Average time per respond- ent
ETA 9057	Quarterly	52	8 hours.
ETA 9058	Quarterly	52	8 hours.
ETA 9059	Quarterly	52	8 hours.
ETA 9061–9063 and 9065	As needed	10,400	20 minutes.
Recordkeeping	Annually	52	997 hours.

Total Burden Hours: 60,303. *Total annualized capital/startup*

costs: 0. Total annual costs (operating/

maintaining systems or purchasing services): 0.

Description: Tax Credits' program Implementation & Administration contains specific program guidance to the Designated Local Agencies/State Employment Security Agencies for implementing and administering a target group eligibility determination and processing system to issue Certifications to all timely submitted and qualifying employers' requests. Instructions for developing and conducting verification activities to ensure the validity and reliability of the certification system for collecting and reporting data on all program activities on a quarterly basis to the Regional and National offices. Data and information provided by the States on the reporting forms are used for program planning and evaluation and for oversight or verification activities as mandated by the Revenue Act of 1978, Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982, Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1992, Sections 51., and 51A Internal Revenue System Code 1986, as amended, Small Business Job Protection Act of 1996 and the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997.