Milton South; Miramar Beach; Myakka River; Naples North; Naples South; Navarre; New Inlet; Niceville; Nutall Rise; Ochopee; Okefenokee Slough; Oldsmar; Orange Beach; Oriole Beach; Overstreet; Ozello; Pace; Palmetto; Panama City; Panama City Beach; Panther Key; Pass-A-Ğrille Beach; Pavillion Key; Pensacola; Perdido Bay; Pickett Bay; Pine Island Center; Placida; Plover Key; Point Washington; Port Boca Grande; Port Richey; Port Richey NE; Port Saint Joe; Port Tampa; Punta Gorda; Punta Gorda SE; Punta Gorda SW; Red Head; Red Level; Rock Islands; Royal Palm Hammock; Safety Harbor; Saint Joseph Point; Saint Joseph Spit; Saint Marks; Saint Marks NE; Saint Petersburg; Saint Teresa Beach; Salem SW; Sandy Key; Sanibel; Sarasota; Seahorse Key; Seminole; Seminole Hills; Shark Point; Shark River Island; Shired Island; Snipe Island; Sopchoppy; South of Holley; Southport; Sprague Island; Spring Creek; Springfield; Steinhatchee; Steinhatchee SE; Steinhatchee SW; Sugar Hill; Sumner; Suwannee; Tampa; Tarpon Springs; Valparaiso; Venice; Vista; Waccassasa Bay; Ward Basin; Warrior Swamp; Weavers Station; Weeki Wachee Spring; West Bay; West Pass; West Pensacola; Whitewater Bay West; Withlacoochee Bay; Wulfert; Yankeetown.

Louisiana (1:24,000 scale): Alligator Point; Barataria Pass; Bastian Bay; Bay Batiste; Bay Coquette; Bay Courant; Bay Dosgris; Bay Ronquille; Bay Tambour; Bayou Blanc; Bayou Lucien; Belle Isle; Belle Pass; Big Constance Lake; Black Bay North; Black Bay South; Breton Islands; Breton Islands SE; Buras; Burrwood Bayou East; Burwood Bayou West; Calumet Island; Cameron; Caminada Pass; Cat Island; Cat Island Pass; Central Isles Dernieres; Chandeleur Light; Chef Mentur; Cheniere Au Tigre; Cocodrie; Coquille Point; Cow Island; Creole; Cypremort Point; Deep Lake; Dixon Bay; Dog Lake; Door Point; East Bay Junop; Eastern Isles; Dernieres; Ellerslie; Empire; English Lookout; False Mouth Bayou; Fearman Lake; Floating Turf Bayou; Fourleague Bay; Franklin; Freemason Island; Garden Island Pass; Grand Bayou; Grand Bayou du Large; Grand Chenier; Grand Gosier Islands; Grand Isle; Hackberry Beach; Hammock Lake; Happy Jack; Hebert Lake; Hell Hole Bayou; Hog Bayou; Holly Beach; Intercoastal City; Isle Au Pitre; Jacko Bay; Johnson Bayou; Kemper; Lake Athanasio; Lake Cuatro Caballo; Lake Eloi; Lake Eugene; Lake Felicity; Lake La Graisse; Lake Merchant; Lake Point; Lake Salve; Lake Tambour; Leeville; Lena Lagoon; Lost Lake; Main Pass; Malheureux Point; Marone Point; Martello Castle; Mink Bayou; Mitchell Key; Morgan City SW; Morgan Harbor; Mound Point; Mulberry Island East; Mulberry Island West; New Harbor Islands; North Islands; Oak Mound Bayou; Oyster Bayou; Pass A Loutre East; Pass A Loutre West; Pass du Bois; Pass Tante Phine; Pecan Island; Pelican Pass; Peveto Beach; Pilottown; Plumb Bayou; Point Au Fer; Point Au Fer NE; Point Chevreuil; Point Chicot; Port Arthur South; Port Sulphur; Pte. Aux Marchuttes; Proctor Point; Pumpkin Islands; Redfish Point; Rollover Lake; Sabine Pass; Saint Joe Pass; Smith Bayou; South of South Pass; South Pass; Stake Islands; Taylor Pass; Texas Point; Three Mile Bay; Tigre Lagoon; Timbalier

Island; Triumph; Venice; Weeks; West of Johnson Bayou; Western Isles Dernieres; Wilkinson Bay; Yscloskey.

Mississippi (1:24,000 scale): Bay Saint Louis; Biloxi; Cat Island; Chandeleur Light; Deer Island; Dog Keys Pass; English Lookout; Gautier North; Gautier South; Grand Bay SW; Gulfport North; Gulfport NW; Gulfport South; Horn Island East; Horn Island West; Isle Au Pitre; Kreole; Ocean Springs; Pascagoula North; Pascagoula South; Pass Christian; Petit Bois Island; Saint Joe Pass; Ship Island; Waveland.

Texas (1:24,000 scale): Allyns Bright; Anahuac; Aransas Pass; Austwell; Bacliff; Bayside; Big Hill Bayou; Brown Cedar Cut; Caplen; Carancahua Pass; Cedar Lakes East; Cedar Lakes West; Cedar Lane NE; Christmas Point; Clam Lake; Corpus Christi; Cove; Crane Islands NW; Crane Islands SW; Decros Point; Dressing Point; Estes; Flake; Freeport; Frozen Point; Galveston; Green Island; Ĥawk Island; High Island; Hitchcock; Hoskins Mound; Jones Creek; Keller Bay; Kleberg Point; La Comal; La Leona; La Parra Ranch NE; Laguna Vista; Lake Austin; Lake Como; Lake Stephenson; Lamar; Long Island; Los Amigos; Windmill; Maria Estella Well; Matagorda; Matagorda SW; Mesquite Bay; Mission Bay; Morgans Point; Mosquito Point; Mouth of Rio Grande; Mud Lake; North of Port Isabel NW; North of Port Isabel SW; Oak Island; Olivia; Oso Creek NE; Oyster Creek; Palacios; Palacios NE; Palacios Point; Palacios SE: Panther Point: Panther Point NE: Pass Cavallo SW; Pita Island; Point Comfort; Point of Rocks; Port Aransas; Port Arthur South; Port Bolivar; Port Ingleside; Port Isabel; Port Isabel NW; Port Lavaca East; Port Mansfield; Port O'Connor; Portland; Potrero Cortado; Potrero Lopeno NW; Potrero Lopeno SE; Potrero Lopeno SW; Rockport; Sabine Pass; San Luis Pass; Sargent; Sea Isle; Seadrift; Seadrift NE; Smith Point; South Bird Island; South Bird Island NW; South Bird Island SE; South of Palacios Point; South of Potrero Lopeno NE; South of Potrero Lopeno NW; South of Potrero Lopeno SE; South of Star Lake; St. Charles Bay; St. Charles Bay SE; St. Charles Bay SW; Star Lake; Texas City; Texas Point; The Jetties; Three Islands; Ťivoli SE; Turtle Bay; Umbrella Point; Virginia Point; West of Johnson Bayou; Whites Ranch; Yarborough

[FR Doc. 98–21096 Filed 8–10–98; 8:45 am]

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 191-0088a; FRL-6138-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to approve a revision to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revision concerns a rule from the Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD) which controls emissions of oxides of nitrogen  $(NO_X)$  and sulfur compounds. This approval action will incorporate this rule into the Federally approved SIP. The intended effect of approving this rule is to regulate emissions of NO<sub>X</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). Thus, EPA is finalizing the approval of this revision into the California SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals, and SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards.

DATES: This rule is effective on October 13, 1998 without further notice, unless EPA receives relevant adverse comments by September 10, 1998. If EPA receives such comment, then it will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register informing the public that this rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Comments must be submitted to Andrew Steckel at the Region IX office listed below. Copies of the rule revision and EPA's evaluation report of the rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, Rule Development, 24580 Silver Cloud Ct., Monterey, CA 93940–6536.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stanley Tong, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 744– 1191.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Applicability

This document addresses EPA's direct final action to approve Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD) Rule 404, Sulfur Compounds and Nitrogen Oxides, into the California SIP. This rule was adopted by MBUAPCD on October 16, 1996. It was submitted by the California

Air Resources Board (CARB) to EPA on March 3, 1997.

#### II. Background

On November 15, 1990, the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAA or the Act) were enacted. Pub. L. 101–549, 104 Stat. 2399, codified at 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. 40 CFR part 91.305 provides the attainment status designations for air districts in California. MBUAPCD is listed as being in attainment for the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone, NO<sub>2</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub>; therefore stationary sources in the air district are not subject to the Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) requirements of section 182(b)(2).

On October 16, 1996 MBUAPĆD adopted Rule 404, Sulfur Compounds and Nitrogen Oxides. On March 3, 1997, the State of California submitted this rule to EPA. This submitted rule was found to be complete on August 12, 1997 pursuant to EPA's completeness criteria that are set forth in 40 CFR Part 51 Appendix V¹ and is being finalized for approval into the SIP. By today's document, EPA is taking direct final action to approve this submittal. This final action will incorporate this rule into the Federally approved SIP.

NO<sub>X</sub> emissions contribute to the production of ground level ozone and smog. The combustion of fuels containing sulfur compounds leads to the production of SO<sub>2</sub>. MBUAPCD Rule 404 provides emission limits for oxides of nitrogen and sulfur compounds. The following is EPA's evaluation and final action for these rules.

#### III. EPA Evaluation and Action

In determining the approvability of a NO<sub>X</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> rule, EPÂ must evaluate the rule for consistency with the requirements of the CAA and EPA regulations, as found in section 110 and 40 CFR part 51 (Requirements for Preparation, Adoption and Submittal of Implementation Plans) respectively. The EPA interpretation of these requirements, which forms the basis for this action, appears in various EPA policy guidance documents. Among these provisions is the requirement that a NO<sub>X</sub> rule must, at a minimum, provide for the implementation of RACT for stationary sources of NO<sub>X</sub> emissions in areas designated as nonattainment for ozone. Since MBUAPCD is in attainment for ozone, RACT requirements do not apply.

While MBUAPCD is in attainment with the NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and ozone NAAQS,

many of the general SIP regulations regarding enforceability, for example, are still appropriate for the rule. In determining the approvability of this rule, EPA also evaluated it in light of the "SO $_2$  Guideline Document", EPA-452/R-94-008.

On May 31, 1972 EPA approved into the SIP a version of Rule 404—paragraphs (b) and (c), Sulfur Content and Oxides of Nitrogen, that had been adopted by San Benito APCD and Monterey-Santa Cruz Unified APCD. On October 27, 1977 EPA approved into the SIP Rule 404 paragraph (c), Sulfur Content and Oxides of Nitrogen that has been adopted by MBUAPCD. MBUAPCD submitted Rule 404, Sulfur Content and Oxides of Nitrogen, includes the following significant changes from the current SIP:

- Consolidates NO<sub>X</sub> emission limits under MBUAPCD that were previously listed separately for Monterey-Santa Cruz Air Pollution Control District (APCD) and San Benito County APCD
  - Adds a section on applicability.
  - Adds a section on definitions.
  - Adds a section on recordkeeping.
  - Adds a section on test methods.
- Clarifies, through an exemptions section, that a source subject to Best Available Control Technology (BACT) would not be subject to the general emission limits contained in Rule 404.

A more detailed discussion can be found in the Technical Support Document (TSD) for Rule 404, dated July 17, 1998.

EPA has evaluated the submitted rule and has determined that it is consistent with the CAA, EPA regulations and EPA policy. Therefore, MBUAPCD Rule 404, Sulfur Compounds and Oxides of Nitrogen, is being approved under section 110(k)(3) of the CAA as meeting the requirements of section 110(a), section 182(f) and the NO<sub>X</sub> Supplement to the General Preamble.

Nothing in this action should be construed as permitting or allowing or establishing a precedent for any future request for revision to any State implementation plan. Each request for revision to the State implementation plan shall be considered separately in light of specific technical, economic and environmental factors and in relation to relevant statutory and regulatory requirements

ÉPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register** publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the SIP revision

should relevant adverse comments be filed. This rule will be effective October 13, 1998 without further notice unless the Agency receives relevant adverse comments by September 10, 1998.

If the EPA received such comments, then EPA will publish a document withdrawing the final rule and informing the public that the rule will not take effect. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this rule will be effective on October 13, 1998 and no further action will be taken on the proposed rule.

#### IV. Administrative Requirements

#### A. Executive Orders 12866 and 13045

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory action from E.O. 12866 review.

The final rule is not subject to E.O. 13045, entitled "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks," because it is not an "economically significant" action under E.O. 12866.

#### B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 600 *et seq.*, EPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604. Alternatively, EPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000.

SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not impose any new requirements, the Administrator certifies that it does not have a significant impact on any small entities affected. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the CAA, preparation of a flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of State action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. Union Electric Co. v. U.S. EPA, 427 U.S. 246, 255-66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EPA adopted the completeness criteria on February 16, 1990 (55 FR 5824) and, pursuant to section 110(k)(1)(A) of the CAA, revised the criteria on August 26, 1991 (56 FR 42216).

#### C. Unfunded Mandates

Under Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to State. local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under Section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

ÉPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new Federal requirements.

Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

## D. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. This rule is not a "major" rule as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### E. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by October 13, 1998. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition

for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compound, sulfur oxides.

**Note:** Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of California was approved by the director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1982.

Dated: July 28, 1998.

### Sally Seymour,

Acting Regional Administrator Region IX.

Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows: **Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.* 

#### Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(244)(i)(A)(2) to read as follows:

#### § 52.220 Identification of plan.

(c) \* \* \* (244) \* \* \*

(i) \* \* \*

(A) \* \* \*

(*2*) Rule 404, adopted on October 16, 1996.

[FR Doc. 98–21353 Filed 8–10–98; 8:45 am]

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 022-0087a; FRL-6138-2]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; South Coast Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to approve a revision to the California State Implementation Plan

(SIP). The revision concerns South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 1135. This rule controls oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>X</sub>) from electric power generating systems. This action will incorporate the rule into the Federally approved SIP. The intended effect of approving this rule is to regulate emissions of NO<sub>X</sub> in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). Thus, EPA is finalizing the approval of this rule into the California SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals, SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards, and plan requirements for nonattainment areas.

DATES: This action is effective on October 13, 1998 without further notice, unless EPA receives relevant adverse comments by September 10, 1998. If EPA receives such comment, then it will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register informing the public that this rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Comments must be submitted to Andrew Steckel at the Region IX office listed below. Copies of the rule and EPA's evaluation report are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule are also available for inspection at the following locations:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, Rulemaking Office (AIR– 4), Air Division, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765–4182.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, telephone: (415) 744–1185.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Applicability

The rule being approved into the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) is South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 1135, Emissions of Oxides of Nitrogen from Electric Power Generating Systems, adopted by SCAQMD on July 19, 1991.