## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

**Aermacci S.P.A.:** Docket No. 97–CE–144–AD. *Applicability:* Models S.205–18/F, S.205–18/R, S.205–20/F, S.205–20/R, .205–22/R,

S.208, and S.208A airplanes, all serial numbers, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated in the body of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent loss of critical airplane functions because of cracked flight control cables, which could result in loss of control of the airplane if occurring during flight, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 100 hours time-inservice (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, inspect all flight control cables (elevator control, aileron control, rudder, flaps, nose gear steering, parking brake, safety belts, and autopilot systems) for cracks in the eye end. Accomplish this inspection in accordance with SIAI Marchetti, S.p.A. Mandatory Service Bulletin No. 205B58.

(b) If any cracked flight control cable is found, prior to further flight after the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, replace the cracked cable with a new cable of the same design that is found to be free of cracks in the eye end. The replacement(s) shall be accomplished in accordance with the applicable maintenance manual.

(c) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install a flight control cable on

an affected airplane, unless the cable has been found to be free of cracks in the eye end

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance times that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Small Airplane Directorate.

(f) Questions or technical information related to SIAI Marchetti, S.p.A. Mandatory Service Bulletin No. 205B58, should be directed to SIAI Marchetti S.p.A., Product Support Department, Via Indipendenza 2, 21018 Sesto Calende (VA), Italy; telephone: +39–331–929117; facsimile: +39–331–922525. This service information may be examined at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Italian AD 95–119, dated May 2, 1995.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on January 26, 1998.

## Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–2421 Filed 1–30–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-CE-147-AD] RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Industrie Aeronautiche e Meccaniche Rinaldo Piaggio S.p.A. Model P-180 Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to certain Industrie Aeronautiche e Meccaniche Rinaldo Piaggio S.p.A.(Piaggio) Model P–180 airplanes. The proposed AD would require installing a shield on the front section of the engine cradles. The proposed AD is the result of mandatory

continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Italy. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent water from damaging the power/propeller controls and cables, which could result in reduced airplane controllability.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before March 9, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–CE–147–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted.

Service information that applies to the proposed AD may be obtained from I.A.M. Rinaldo Piaggio S.p.A., Via Cibrario, 4 16154 Genoa, Italy. This information also may be examined at the Rules Docket at the address above. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David O. Keenan, Project Officer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 426–6934; facsimile: (816) 426–2169.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following

statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 97–CE–147–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

# **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-CE-147-AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

#### Discussion

The Registro Aeronautico Italiano (R.A.I.), which is the airworthiness authority for Italy, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Piaggio Model P–180 airplanes. The R.A.I. reports an incident where the power controls jammed during a high altitude flight on one of the abovereferenced airplanes after it was parked in rainy conditions. The controls then became operational after the airplane descended to 10,000 feet.

Investigation of the conditions of this incident reveals that heavy rain may penetrate through the starter generator air discharge port area to the accessory gearbox zone. This condition may cause the engine power/propeller controls to jam in freezing conditions.

These conditions, if not corrected in a timely manner, could result in damage to the power/propeller controls and cables with possible reduced airplane controllability.

### **Relevant Service Information**

Piaggio has issued Service Bulletin No. SB–80-0066, dated December 12, 1994, which specifies procedures for installing a shield on the front section of the engine cradles.

The R.A.I. classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued Italian AD 95–087, dated June 4, 1995, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Italy.

### The FAA's Determination

This airplane model is manufactured in Italy and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the R.A.I. has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above.

The FAA has examined the findings of the R.A.I.; reviewed all available information, including the service information referenced above; and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

# **Explanation of the Provisions of the Proposed AD**

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop in other Piaggio Model P–180 airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the FAA is proposing AD action. The proposed AD would require installing a shield on the front section of the engine cradles. Accomplishment of the proposed installation would be required in accordance with the previously referenced service information.

## **Cost Impact**

The FAA estimates that 5 airplanes in the U.S. registry would be affected by the proposed AD, that it would take approximately 2 workhours per airplane to accomplish the proposed action, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Parts would be provided by the manufacturer at no cost to the owner/operator of the affected airplanes. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$600, or \$120 per airplane.

## **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

## **The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

#### Industrie Aeronautiche E Meccaniche Rinaldo Piaggio S.P.A.: Docket No. 97– CE-147-AD.

Applicability: Model P–180 airplanes, serial numbers 1001, 1002, 1004, and 1006 through 1033, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD: and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required within the next 100 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent water from damaging the power/propeller controls and cables, which could result in reduced airplane controllability, accomplish the following:

(a) Install a shield on the front section of both the left and right engine cradles in accordance with Piaggio Service Bulletin No. SB–80–0066, dated December 12, 1994.

(b) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Office, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of

compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Small Airplane Directorate.

(d) Questions or technical information related to Piaggio Service Bulletin No. SB–80–0066, dated December 12, 1994, should be directed I.A.M. Rinaldo Piaggio S.p.A., Via Cibrario, 4 16154 Genoa, Italy. This service information may be examined at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Italian AD 95–087, dated June 4, 1995.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on January 26, 1998.

### Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–2420 Filed 1–30–98; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Aviation Administration**

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-CE-118-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Alexander Schleicher GmbH Segelflugzeugbau Model ASH–26E Sailplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to certain Alexander Schleicher GmbH Segelflugzeugbau (Alexander Schleicher) Model ASH-26E sailplanes. The proposed AD would require replacing the internal cooling air fan with a fan that incorporates a certain modification. The proposed AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Germany. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the internal cooling system air fan caused by the impeller slipping, which could result in loss of compression and power and possible engine failure.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before March 9, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–CE–118–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments

may be inspected at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted.

Service information that applies to the proposed AD may be obtained from Alexander Schleicher Segelflugzeugbau, 6416 Poppenhausen, Wasserkuppe, Federal Republic of Germany; telephone: 49.6658.890 or 49.6658.8920; facsimile: 49.6658.8923 or 49.6658.8940. This information also may be examined at the Rules Docket at the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. J. Mike Kiesov, Project Officer, Sailplanes/Gliders, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 426–6932; facsimile: (816) 426–2169.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 97–CE–118–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

#### **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–CE–118–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

#### Discussion

The Luftfahrt-Bundesamt (LBA), which is the airworthiness authority for Germany, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Alexander Schleicher Model ASH–26E sailplanes. The LBA reports that the impeller of the internal cooling air fan on the above-referenced sailplanes could slip, causing a reduction of pressure in the internal cooling system. The higher internal temperatures that will follow could cause the engine to lose compression and power.

These conditions, if not corrected in a timely manner, could result in the engine overheating and possible engine failure.

## **Relevant Service Information**

Alexander Schleicher has issued Technical Note No. 1, dated October 31, 1996, which specifies procedures for accomplishing in-flight temperature checks. This service bulletin also references Mid-West Engines Ltd. Service Bulletin No. 001, dated November 5, 1996, which includes procedures for replacing the internal cooling air fan with a fan that incorporates Modification Kit R1K555A. This modification kit includes the following provisions:

- —a positive lock between the fan and spindle;
- a cable tie wrap for fan delivery duct sealing; and
- —a smaller driven pulley on the fan spindle.

The LBA classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued German AD No. 97–009, dated January 30, 1997, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these sailplanes in Germany.

## The FAA's Determination

This sailplane model is manufactured in Germany and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the LBA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above.

The FAA has examined the findings of the LBA; reviewed all available information, including the service information referenced above; and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.