

is hereby given for a joint Special Committee (SC)-189/EUROCAE Working Group (WG)-53 meeting to be held October 26-30, 1998, starting at 9:00 a.m. on October 26. The meeting will be held at RTCA, 1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20036 (Metro stops Farragut West and Farragut North).

The agenda will be as follows:
Monday, October 26: Opening Plenary Session Convened at 9:00 a.m.: (1) Introductory Remarks; (2) Review and Approval of the Agenda (Monday); (3) Review and Approval of Summary of the Previous Meeting; (4) Sub-Group and Related Reports; (5) Position Papers Planned for Plenary Agreement; (6) SC-189/WG-52 Co-chair Progress Report. Tuesday, October 27-Thursday, October 29: (7) Sub-group Meetings (Sub-group 1, Interoperability Requirements; Sub-group 2, Safety Requirements; Sub-group 3, Performance Requirements). Friday, October 30: Closing Plenary Session: (8) Introductory Remarks; (9) Review and Approval of Agenda (Friday); (10) Review of Preliminary Meeting Minutes; (11) Sub-group and Related Reports; (12) Position Papers Planned for Plenary Agreement; (13) SC-189/WG-53 Co-chair Progress Report and Wrap-up.

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the RTCA Secretariat, 1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Suite 1020, Washington, DC 20036, by phone at (202) 833-9339, by fax at (202) 833-9434, or by e-mail at hmoses@rtca.org. Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 30, 1998.

Jane P. Caldwell,
Designated Official.

SIXTH JOINT MEETING

RTCA Special Committee-189/EUROCAE Working Group-53 Air Traffic Service (ATS) Safety and Interoperability Requirements

DATE: October 26-30, 1998.

TIME: 9:00 am—Start time.

PLACE: RTCA, Inc., 1140 Connecticut Ave, NW, Suite 1020, Washington, DC 20036, Metro Stops: Faragut West, Faragut North, Tel: 202-833-9339, Fax: 202-833-9434, e-mail: hmoses@rtca.org

Agenda

1. Plenary Session, Monday
 - 1.1 Introductory remarks
 - 1.2 Review and approval of agenda (Monday)

- 1.3 Review and approval of meeting minutes
 - 1.4 Sub-group (SG) and related reports
 - 1.5 Position papers planned for plenary agreement
 - 1.6 SC-189/WG-53 co-chair progress report
- Sub Group Meetings (Tuesday through Thursday)*
- Sub Group 1—Interoperability Requirements
 - Sub Group 2—Safety Requirements
 - Sub Group 3—Performance Requirements
2. *Plenary Session, Friday*
 - 2.1 Introductory remarks
 - 2.2 Review and approval of agenda (Friday)
 - 2.3 Review of preliminary meeting minutes
 - 2.4 Sub-group (SG) and related reports
 - 2.5 Position papers planned for plenary agreement
 - 2.6 SC-189/WG-53 co-chair progress report and wrap-up

[FR Doc. 98-26883 Filed 10-6-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 33662]

The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company—Trackage Rights Exemption—Omaha Public Power District

Omaha Public Power District (OPPD), a noncarrier, has agreed to grant local trackage rights to The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company (BNSF) over OPPD's rail line,¹ between milepost 56.3 in Collegeview and milepost 6.0 in Arbor, a distance of approximately 50.3 miles in Otoe and Lancaster Counties, NE.²

The transaction is scheduled to be consummated on or shortly after October 1, 1998.

The purpose of the trackage rights is to permit BNSF using its own trains and crews to use OPPD's line through the end of the calendar year, at which time Kyle Railroad Company will assume operations over the line.³

As a condition to this exemption, any employees affected by the trackage

¹ See *Omaha Public Power District—Acquisition—The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company*, STB Finance Docket No. 33447 (STB served Sept. 12, 1997).

² On September 28, 1998, BNSF filed a petition for exemption in STB Finance Docket No. 33662 (Sub-No. 1), *The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company—Trackage Rights Exemption—Omaha Public Power District*, wherein BNSF requests that the Board permit the proposed local trackage rights arrangement described in the present proceeding to expire on December 31, 1998. That petition will be addressed by the Board in a separate decision.

³ See *Kyle Railroad Company—Acquisition and Operation—Omaha Public Power District*, STB Finance Docket No. 33642 (STB served Aug. 25, 1998).

rights will be protected by the conditions imposed in *Norfolk and Western Ry. Co.—Trackage Rights—BN*, 354 I.C.C. 605 (1978), as modified in *Mendocino Coast Ry., Inc.—Lease and Operate*, 360 I.C.C. 653 (1980).

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7). If it contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 33662, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, one copy of each pleading must be served on Sarah Whitley Bailiff, The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company, 3017 Lou Menk Drive, P.O. Box 961039, Fort Worth, TX 76161-0039.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at "WWW.STB.DOT.GOV."

Decided: September 30, 1998.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik,
Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-26775 Filed 10-6-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-00-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

CUSTOMS SERVICE

Performance Review Board—Appointment of Members

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: General notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the appointment of the members of the United States Customs Service Performance Review Boards (PRB's) in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 4313(c)(4). The purpose of the PRB's is to review senior executives' performance appraisals and to make recommendations regarding performance appraisals and performance awards.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert M. Smith, Personnel Director, Office of Human Resources Management, United States Customs Service, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Room 2.4-A, Washington, D.C. 20229; Telephone (202) 927-2900.

Background

There are two (2) PRB's in the U.S. Customs Service.

Performance Review Board 1

The purpose of this Board is to review the performance appraisals of senior executives rated by the Commissioner of Customs. The members are:

Kay Frances Dolan, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Human Resources, Department of the Treasury
John C. Doohar, Director, Washington Center, Federal Law Enforcement Training Center
James J. Flyzik, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Information Support and CIO, Department of the Treasury
Jane E. Vezeris, Assistant Director, Office of Administration, U.S. Secret Service
Karen A. Wehner, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary Enforcement, Department of the Treasury

Performance Review Board 2

The purpose of this Board is to review the performance appraisals of all senior executives *except* those rated by the Commissioner of Customs. The members are:

William F. Riley, Director, Office of Planning, Office of the Commissioner
Assistant Commissioners:
Douglas M. Browning, International Affairs
S.W. Hall, Information and Technology/CIO
Stuart P. Seidel, Regulations and Rulings
Lance S. Statler, Congressional and Public Affairs
Deborah J. Spero, Human Resources Management
Bonni G. Tischler, Investigations
Robert S. Trotter, Field Operations
Homer J. Williams, Internal Affairs
Charles W. Winwood, Strategic Trade

Dated: October 2, 1998.

Raymond W. Kelly,
Commissioner of Customs.

[FR Doc. 98-26919 Filed 10-6-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-02-P

UTAH RECLAMATION MITIGATION AND CONSERVATION COMMISSION**Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact for the Reconstruction of Wall Lake Reservoir**

AGENCIES: The Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission (Mitigation Commission).

ACTION: Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI).

SUMMARY: On September 29, 1998 Michael C. Weland, Executive Director of the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission signed the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) that documents the decision to fund stabilization of Wall Lake Reservoir, located in the headwaters of the Provo River on the Wasatch-Cache National Forest. Wall Lake will be stabilized near the natural lake level with a low or no hazard dam structure. The Mitigation Commission prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) to determine impacts of stabilizing Wall Lake at various elevations. The Forest Service is joint-lead agency on the project.

Wall Lake was dammed in the early 1900's for water storage. Through legislation (the Central Utah Project Completion Act) and several environmental impact statements, the determination was made to stabilize Wall Lake and other high elevation lakes as mitigation for constructing Jordanelle Reservoir, a Central Utah Project feature. When Jordanelle Reservoir was built, Wall Lake and other upper elevation reservoirs were no longer needed for water storage. Stabilization funding comes from Title III, Section 308 of CUPCA.

An initial decision was made in 1995 to stabilize Wall Lake at a moderate elevation. This decision was recently revisited in light of concerns over the Forest Service's lack of resources to own and operate a moderate elevation dam and the cost of a moderate elevation dam compared to the fish and wildlife benefits achieved. The Mitigation Commission's Draft and Final EA evaluated the alternatives of: constructing a moderate elevation dam, as prescribed by the 1995 decision; stabilizing Wall Lake at natural lake level; reconstructing Wall Lake as a reservoir to store water for winter instream flow maintenance; and, breaching the dam and stabilizing it below natural lake level. The public was consulted in late spring of 1996 and issues were raised regarding aquatic productivity, construction costs, aesthetics and water supply and the effect of road building and roadless area integrity. After considering public comments on the Draft EA and analyses of environmental effects, the natural lake level stabilization alternative was selected and the Commission issued its own FONSI, in accordance with its NEPA Rule (43 CFR Part 10010.20).

Funding reconstruction of Wall Lake Reservoir at a natural level meets the Commission's planning objectives to incorporate public opinion, use an ecosystem approach, utilize the best

science available and do so in the least environmentally damaging manner. Alternative 2, which this decision implements, provides benefits to fish that are different, yet comparable to the other alternatives. It enhances the recreation experience at Wall Lake and maintains the area's potential for wilderness designation. It also costs the least of the alternatives. Under Alternative 2, flow regime will be a natural hydrologic flow with a non-fluctuating lake level. Heavy equipment will be transported by driving over the exiting walk-in route to Wall Lake, which will subsequently be restored. No roads will be constructed. The original 0.8 mile wagon route, wetlands and stream banks will be rehabilitated. Approximately 0.2 acres of disturbed vegetation and soils associated with existing campsites will be rehabilitated and 20 campsites relocated to areas naturally more resistant to human use, which will provide for future environmentally sensitive recreation use. About 1 mile of social, spur and main trails will be relocated, rehabilitated and/or reconstructed for future environmentally sensitive recreation use. No instream flows will be provided. The Forest Service will be the managing entity of the dam.

A Finding of No Significant Impact is made for this action because it does not significantly affect public health or safety; it has no adverse effects on unique geographic characteristics or ecologically significant or critical areas; does not have highly controversial or potentially significant environmental effects or risks; does not establish a precedent for future actions and does not have an adverse effect on species listed or proposed to be listed as Threatened or endangered, or on their habitats.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Additional information on matters related to this **Federal Register** notice can be obtained at the address and telephone number set forth below: Ms. Joan Degiorgio, Planning Manager, Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission, 102 West 500 South, Suite 315, Salt Lake City, UT 84101, Telephone: (801) 524-3146.

Dated: September 29, 1998.

Michael C. Weland,

Executive Director, Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission.

[FR Doc. 98-26880 Filed 10-6-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-P