

Coast Guard must consider whether this rule will result in an annual expenditure by state, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate of \$100 million (adjusted annually for inflation). If so, the Act requires that a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives be considered, and that from those alternatives, the least costly, most cost-effective, or least burdensome alternative that achieves the objective of the rule be selected. No state, local, or tribal government entities will be affected by this rule, so this rule will not result in annual or aggregate costs of \$100 million or more. Therefore, the Coast Guard is exempt from any further regulatory requirements under the Unfunded Mandates Act.

Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this proposed rule and concluded that under figure 2-1, paragraph 34(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, this proposed rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

Proposed Regulation

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR Part 100 as follows:

PART 100—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 100 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1233 through 1236; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 100.35.

2. Add § 100.120 to read as follows:

§ 100.120 Special Local Regulations: Greenwood Lake Powerboat Classic, Greenwood Lake, New Jersey.

(a) *Regulated Area.* All waters of Greenwood Lake, New Jersey north of 41°08'N and south of 41°09'N (NAD 1983). The shoreline comprises the eastern and western boundaries.

(b) *Special local regulations.*

(1) Vessels not participating in this event, swimmers, and personal watercraft of any nature are prohibited from entering or moving with the regulated area unless authorized by the Patrol Commander.

(2) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard Captain of the Port or the

designated on scene patrol personnel. U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel include commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the Coast Guard. Upon being hailed by a U.S. Coast Guard vessel via siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator of a vessel shall proceed as directed.

(c) *Effective period.* This regulation is in effect annually on Saturday and Sunday from 10 a.m. until 7 p.m. on the first weekend before Memorial Day weekend.

Dated: October 19, 1998.

R.M. Larrabee,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, First Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 98-30446 Filed 11-12-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 198-0099b; FRL-6184-5]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California Implementation Plan Revision, Kern County Air Pollution Control District, Placer County Air Pollution Control District, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, and Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from motor vehicle and mobile equipment refinishing, graphic arts, paper or fabric coating, and screen printing.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will not take effect and all public comments

received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this rule should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by December 14, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

Kern County Air Pollution Control District, 2700 M Street, Suite 302, Bakersfield, CA 93301

Placer County Air Pollution Control District, 11464 B Avenue, Auburn, CA 95603

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1999 Tuolumne Street, Suite 200, Fresno, CA 93721

Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, 8411 Jackson Road, Sacramento, CA 95826

Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive B-23, Goleta, CA 93117

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Section (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1185.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns Kern County Air Pollution Control District Rule 410.4A—Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Refinishing Operations and Rule 410.7—Graphic Arts, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District Rule 4602—Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Coating Operations and Rule 4607—Graphic Arts, Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District Rule 450—Graphic Arts and Rule 459—Automotive and Trucks and Heavy Equipment Refinishing Operations, and Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District Rule 339—Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Coating Operations, submitted to EPA on May 10, 1996 (410.4A, 410.7), August 1, 1997 (239), March 10, 1998 (4602, 4607, 339), and May 18, 1998 (450, 459) by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the

information provided in the Direct Final action that is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 23, 1998.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 98-30274 Filed 11-12-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[GA-41-9829b; FRL-6187-3]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants: Georgia

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the section 111(d) Plan submitted by the Georgia Department of Natural Resources (DNR) for the State of Georgia on January 20, 1998, for implementing and enforcing the Emissions Guidelines applicable to existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills. The Plan was submitted by the Georgia DNR to satisfy certain Federal Clean Air Act requirements. In the Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the Georgia State Plan submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates that it will not receive any significant, material, and adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule and incorporated by reference herein. If no significant, material, and adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

DATES: Comments must be received by December 14, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Scott Martin at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an

appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the day of the visit.

Environmental Protection Agency,
Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61
Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia
30303-3104.

Air Protection Branch, Georgia
Environmental Protection Division,
Georgia Department of Natural
Resources, 4244 International
Parkway, Suite 120, Atlanta, Georgia
30354.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Scott Martin at (404) 562-9036 or Scott Davis at (404) 562-9127.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register** and incorporated by reference herein.

Dated: September 21, 1998.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 98-30400 Filed 11-12-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Care Financing Administration

42 CFR Parts 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 419, 489, 498, and 1003

[HCFA-1005-N]

RIN 0938-A156

Medicare Program; Prospective Payment System for Hospital Outpatient Services; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), HHS.

ACTION: Notice of extension of comment period for proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This notice extends the comment period for a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** on September 8, 1998, (63 FR 47552). In that rule, as required by sections 4521, 4522, and 4523 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, we proposed to eliminate the formula-driven overpayment for certain outpatient hospital services, extend reductions in payment for costs of hospital outpatient services, and establish in regulations a prospective payment system for hospital outpatient services (and for Medicare Part B services furnished to inpatients who have no Part A coverage.) The comment period is extended for 60 days.

DATES: The comment period is extended to 5 p.m. on January 8, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Mail written comments (one original and three copies) to the following address: Health Care Financing Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, Attention: HCFA-1005-N, P.O. Box 26688, Baltimore, MD 21207-0488.

If you prefer, you may deliver your written comments (one original and three copies) to one of the following addresses: Room 443-G, Hubert H. Humphrey Building, 200 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20201, or Room C5-09-26, Central Building, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244-1850.

Because of staffing and resource limitations, we cannot accept comments by facsimile (FAX) transmission. In commenting, please refer to file code HCFA-1005-N. Comments received timely will be available for public inspection as they are received, generally beginning approximately 3 weeks after publication of a document, in Room 443-G of the Department's offices at 200 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC, on Monday through Friday of each week from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. (phone: (202) 690-7890).

For comments that relate to information collection requirements, mail a copy of comments to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, Attn: Allison Herron Eydt, HCFA Desk Officer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet Wellham, (410) 786-4510.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 8, 1998, we issued a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 47552) that would do the following:

- Eliminate the formula-driven overpayment for certain outpatient hospital services;
- Extend reductions in payment for costs of hospital outpatient services;
- Establish in regulations a prospective payment system for hospital outpatient services, for partial hospitalization services furnished by community mental health centers, and for certain Medicare Part B services furnished to inpatients who have no Part A coverage;
- Propose new requirements for provider departments and provider-based entities;
- Implement section 9343(c) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1986, which prohibits Medicare payment for nonphysician services furnished to a hospital outpatient by a provider or supplier other than a