

reconstruct a roster of the residents of the housing unit on census day. When combined with our efforts to match responses to the results of the initial count, the interview data will identify persons missed or incorrectly included in the census as well as persons correctly enumerated.

For census day residents that have moved (outmovers), we will attempt to locate and interview the census day residents at their new address. We will use proxy information gathered from current residents in cases where we cannot locate outmovers.

For quality assurance, at maximum, a 20 percent random sample of respondents in the ICM sample will be reinterviewed.

After the person interview, person matching for Dual System Estimation (DSE) will be conducted. Unresolved cases will be reconciled in the field during the ICM Person Follow-up interview. The materials to be used in the Person Follow-up interview will be submitted later this year.

Affected public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: One-time.

Respondent's obligation: Mandatory.

Legal authority: Title 13 USC, Sections 141, 193, and 221.

OMB desk officer: Nancy Kirkendall, (202) 395-7313.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Linda Engelmeier, DOC Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3272, Department of Commerce, room 5312, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Nancy Kirkendall, OMB Desk Officer, room 10201, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: February 6, 1998.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 98-3616 Filed 2-11-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-429-601]

Solid Urea From the Former German Democratic Republic: Initiation (Consideration of Revocation of Order) and Preliminary Results (Intent To Revoke Order) of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances antidumping duty review, and intent to revoke finding.

SUMMARY: In response to a letter filed on January 26, 1998, by the Ad Hoc Committee of Domestic Nitrogen Producers (petitioners) indicating that they have no further interest in the importation or sale of solid urea from the former German Democratic Republic (G.D.R.), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating a changed circumstances antidumping duty review and issuing a preliminary intent to revoke the antidumping duty finding on solid urea from the former G.D.R. Based on the fact that the petitioners have expressed no further interest in the importation or sale of solid urea produced in the former G.D.R., we intend to revoke this finding. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 12, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donna Kinsella at (202) 482-4093 or Steven D. Presing at (202) 482-0194, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR 351 (62 FR 27296).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 26, 1998, petitioners informed the Department in writing that they do not object to a changed

circumstances review and have no further interest in the importation or sale of solid urea produced in the former G.D.R.

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are those of solid urea. At the time of the publication of the antidumping duty order, such merchandise was classifiable under item 480.30 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA). This merchandise is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) item number 3102.10.00. These TSUSA and HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes only. The Department's written description of the scope remains dispositive for purposes of the order.

Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Review

Pursuant to section 751(d) of the Act, the Department may revoke an antidumping duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (i.e., a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances review to be conducted upon receipt of a request containing information concerning changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review.

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.222(g) permit the Department to conduct a changed circumstances review under 19 CFR 351.216 based upon an affirmative statement of no interest from producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order pertains. In addition, in the event that the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted, section 351.221(c)(3)(ii) of the regulations permits the Department to combine the notices of initiation and preliminary results. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(b) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216, 351.221, and 351.222 based on an affirmative statement of no interest in this proceeding by petitioners, we are initiating this changed circumstances review. Based on the fact that no other interested parties have objected to the position taken by petitioners that they have no further interest in the order regarding solid urea from the former G.D.R., we have determined that expedited action is warranted, and we are combining these notices of initiation and preliminary results. We have preliminarily determined that there are changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation of the finding on

solid urea from the former G.D.R. Therefore, we are hereby notifying the public of our intent to revoke the antidumping duty order as it relates to imports of solid urea from the former G.D.R.

Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed no later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department will publish the final results of this changed circumstances review, which will include the results of its analysis raised in any such written comments.

If final revocation occurs, we intend to instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to end the suspension of liquidation of all unliquidated entries of solid urea from the former G.D.R. not subject to final results of review pursuant to section 751 of the Act and refund any estimated antidumping duties collected for such entries of solid urea in accordance with 19 CFR 351.222, with interest in accordance with section 778 of the Act. The current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties will continue until publication of the final results of this changed circumstances review.

This initiation of review and notice are in accordance with section 751(b) of the Act, (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)), and 19 CFR 351.216, 351.221, and 351.222.

Dated: January 26, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-3485 Filed 2-11-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-429-601]

Solid Urea From the Former German Democratic Republic; Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of changed circumstances review.

SUMMARY: On May 1, 1995, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of its changed circumstances review to examine the effect, if any, that the reunification of Germany had on the antidumping duty

order covering solid urea from the five German states (Brandenburg, Mecklenburg-Vorpommern, Saxony, Saxony-Anhalt, and Thuringia (plus any other territory; hereinafter the "Five States")) that formerly constituted the German Democratic Republic (GDR) (60 FR 21067). We have now completed this review and have not changed our determination from the preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 12, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven D. Presing and Nithya Nagarajan at (202) 482-3793, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Background

On May 1, 1995, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of this review.

On November 17, 1997, the Department of Commerce published the final results of an administrative review of the order on solid urea from the Five States pursuant to section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The review covered one manufacturer/exporter, SKW Stickstoffwerke Piesteritz GmbH (SKWP), and the period July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996. As a result of that review, the Department instructed Customs to establish a new cash deposit rate for SKWP of 0.00 percent. Also as a result of that review, the Department instructed Customs to terminate suspension of liquidation for shipments of solid urea produced by firms located outside the Five States.

We have now completed the instant changed circumstances review and have not changed our determination from the preliminary results.

Scope of the Review

Importers covered by this review are those of solid urea. At the time of the publication of the antidumping duty order, such merchandise was classifiable under item number 480.30 of the *Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated* (TSUSA). This merchandise is currently classified under the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTS) item number 3102.10.00. These TSUSA and HTS item

numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes only. The Department's written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

We received comments from the German Government, the Ad Hoc Committee of Domestic Nitrogen Producers (the "Petitioner"), and SKW (on behalf of SKW Trostberg AG, SKWP, and SKW Chemicals, Inc.). We received rebuttal comments from the Petitioner, SKW, and Hydro Agri Brunsbittel GmbH ("Hydro Agri"). We conducted a hearing attended by all parties on June 14, 1995.

Comment 1: The German Government believes that the Department should immediately revoke the antidumping duty order on urea, arguing that the Department's preliminary determination ignores the *de jure* and *de facto* integration of the Five States into the unified FRG and the integration of companies located in the Five States into the unified FRG's market economy. The German Government states that it is unacceptable that privatized German companies are still being judged by the behavior of their predecessors.

SKW agrees with the German Government and argues that the "fundamental and irreversible" changes which have taken place as a result of reunification constitute changed circumstances which justify revocation of the order pursuant to the Department's regulations and section 751(c) of Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(1988)).

Petitioner objects to revocation of the order on this basis contending that 1) that there is no evidence on the record of this proceeding which establishes when, if ever, the Five States ceased to operate as a non-market economy within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act (19 U.S.C. § 1677(18)(1988)); 2) a change in economic status does not provide a basis for revoking the order; 3) revocation of the order based upon the change in political borders would deprive if of the relief from unfairly traded imports that it sought and obtained, a principle, petitioner asserts, upheld by the Court of International Trade in *Techsnabexport, Ltd. v. United States*, 802 F. Supp. 469, 472 (CIT 1992) and 4) this changed circumstances review was initiated only to examine the applicability of the order to post-unification shipments of the subject merchandise from producers located outside the Five States—not whether the order should be revoked.

Department's Position: As in the **Federal Register** on May 1, 1995, the Department determined that "as of October 3, 1990, producers located in