Decision Date: November 18, 1998. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irene Porter, Bismarck Airports District Office, (701) 250–4385. Public Agency: County of Gunnison, Gunnison, Colorado. Application Number: 98–02–C–00– GUC. Application Type: Impose and use a PFC. PFC level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$619,631.

Earliest Charge Effective Date:

December 1, 1999. Estimated Charge Expiration Date: March 1, 2004.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: None.

Brief Description of Projects

Approved for Collection and Use:

Planning studies

Land acquisition terminal area (Treadway property)

Land acquisition terminal area (B&L property)

Land acquisition terminal area (Hertz property)

Land acquisition terminal area (Coleman property)

Land acquisition terminal area (Percery property)

Brief Description of Projects Withdrawn: Snow removal equipment building and terminal entrance road (phase I).

Determination: This project was withdrawn by the public agency in its letter dated September 16, 1998. Therefore, the FAA will not rule on this project in this decision.

Decision Date: November 24, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Schaffer, Denver Airports District Office, (303) 342–1258.

Public Agency: State of Connecticut, Department of Transportation, Bureau of Aviation and Ports, Windsor Locks, Connecticut.

Application Number: 98–07–I–00– BDL.

Application Type: Impose a PFC.

AMENDMENTS TO PFC APPROVALS

PFC Level: \$3.00. Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$5,376,000.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: February 1, 1999.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: November 1, 1999.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: On-demand air taxi commercial operators.

Determination: Approved. Based on information in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Bradley International Airport.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection Only: Construction of airport snow equipment storage and maintenance building.

Decision Date: November 30, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Priscilla Scott, New England Region Airports Division. (781) 238–7614.

Amendment No. city, state	Amendment ap- proved date	Original ap- proved net PFC revenue	Amended ap- proved net PFC revenue	Original estimated charge exp. date	Amended estimated charge exp. date
95–03–I–01–STT, St. Thomas, VI	10/05/98	\$3,342,000	\$4,342,000	12/01/98	12/01/99
96–05–U–01–STT, St. Thomas, VI	10/05/98	3,342,000	4,342,000	12/01/98	12/01/99
97–02–C–02–CRW, Charleston, WV	11/17/98	541,790	555,736	12/01/99	04/01/99
98–03–C–01–CRW, Charleston, WV	11/17/98	662,687	694,946	12/01/99	04/01/99
96–01–C–01–MDT, Harrisburg, PA		4,088,000	4,700,000	09/01/99	05/01/99
93–01–C–03–EUG, Eugene, OR		1,850,000	2,127,000	12/01/98	03/01/99
96–02–U–01–EUG, Eugene, OR		1,850,000	2,127,000	12/01/98	03/01/99

Issued in Washington, DC, on December 18, 1998.

Eric Gabler,

Manager, Passenger Facility Charge Branch. [FR Doc. 98–34163 Filed 12–23–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Jackson County, Oregon

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice of intent to advise the public that an environmental impact statement (EIS) will be prepared for a proposed highway improvement in the City of Medford, Jackson County, Oregon.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ivan Marrero, Liaison Engineer Region 3, Federal Highway Administration, Equitable Center, 530 Center Street, NE., Suite 100, Salem, Oregon 97301, Telephone: (503) 399–5749, Ivan.Marrero@fhwa.dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) on a proposal to improve an 8.2 kilometer (5.1 mile) section of the Crater Lake Highway (State Highway 22/Oregon 62) in Medford, Oregon. This project is located between US Highway 99 in Medford and Oregon Highway 140 in White City (unincorported), Oregon. Improvements are considered necessary to provide for existing and projected traffic demand and a safe and efficient highway meeting modern design standards. Alternatives being studied include major capacity improvements on the existing alignment, a new highway on new alignment, and the no-build alternative. All alternatives include TSM and TDM and access management measures. In conjunction with the

environmental impact study, a major investment study will be conducted as part of the EIS analysis.

Information describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State and local agencies, and to private organizations and citizens who have expressed or are known to have interest in this proposal. Public meetings will be held during project development and a public hearing will be held. No formal scoping meeting is planned at this time although local informational meetings have been held and additional meetings will be held. The draft EIS will be available for public and agency review and comments prior to the public hearing.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments, and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program)

Issued on: December 15, 1998.

Elton H. Chang

Environmental Engineer Oregon Division. [FR Doc. 98–34177 Filed 12–23–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Safe Use of Prescription and Over-the-Counter Drugs

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Notice of safety advisory.

SUMMARY: FRA issues Safety Advisory 98–3 to address recommended practices for the safe use of prescription and overthe-counter drugs by safety-sensitive railroad employees.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lamar Allen, Alcohol and Drug Program Manager, Office of Safety Assurance and Compliance, Operating Practices Division, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Avenue, NW, RRS–11, Mail Stop 25, Washington, DC 20590, (Telephone: (202) 493–6313) or Patricia V. Sun, Trial Attorney, Office of Chief Counsel, FRA, 1120 Vermont Avenue, NW, RCC–11, Mail Stop 10, Washington, DC 20590, (Telephone: (202) 493–6060).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FRA issues this advisory in support of DOT's efforts to ensure that transportation employees safely use prescription and over-the-counter (OTC) drugs. Safe rail operations depend upon alert and fully functional professionals who have not been adversely affected by drug use, whether medically appropriate ("legal") or not. FRA has always prohibited illicit drug use and unauthorized use of controlled substances by safety-sensitive employees, but is equally concerned about the potentially adverse side effects from other prescription drugs and OTC products. Because DOT and FRA testing (including FRA's postaccident program) targets only alcohol and controlled substances, FRA does not have a clear picture of the extent to which the performance of safetysensitive employees is adversely affected by legal drug use.

Accordingly, although not specifically addressed in its alcohol and drug testing regulations (49 CFR part 219), FRA strongly recommends that rail employers and safety-sensitive employees follow § 219.103 guidelines when considering the use of all prescription and OTC drugs. Simply stated, in the interest of safety, FRA strongly recommends that either a treating medical professional or a railroad-designated physician make a fitness-for-work determination concerning all prescription and OTC drug use prior to permitting an employee to return to work in safety sensitive service. This determination should also be made whenever an employee currently performing safetysensitive functions is concerned about possible effects on his or her job performance from the use of prescription or OTC drugs.

Section 219.103(b) authorizes railroads to establish reporting and approval procedures for all prescription and OTC drugs which may have detrimental effects on safety. Additionally, FRA recommends that railroads educate their employees on these reporting and approval procedures and, most importantly, on how to use prescription and OTC medications safely.

FRA will take all appropriate action to continue reducing the negative impact from inappropriate use of all prescription and OTC medications. Moreover, FRA strongly encourages the rail industry to voluntarily develop programs on safe prescription and OTC drug use before such programs are mandated or directed through legislation.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 16, 1998.

George Gavalla,

Acting Associate Administrator for Safety. [FR Doc. 98–34054 Filed 12–23–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), DOT

[Docket No. RSPA-98-4450; Notice 17]

Pipeline Safety: Intent To Approve Project and Environmental Assessment for the Chevron Pipe Line Company; Pipeline Risk Management Demonstration Program

AGENCY: Research and Special Programs Administration, Office of Pipeline Safety, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Approve Project and Environmental Assessment.

SUMMARY: As part of its Congressional mandate to conduct a Risk Management Demonstration Program, the Office of Pipeline Safety (OPS) has been authorized to conduct demonstration projects with pipeline operators to determine how risk management might be used to complement and improve the existing Federal pipeline safety regulatory process. This is a notice that **OPS** intends to approve Chevron Pipe Line Company (Chevron) as a participant in the Pipeline Risk Management Demonstration Program. This also provides an environmental assessment of Chevron's demonstration project. Based on this environmental assessment, OPS has preliminarily concluded that this proposed project will not have significant environmental impacts.

This notice explains OPS's rationale for approving this project, and summarizes the demonstration project provisions that would go into effect once OPS issues an order approving Chevron as a Demonstration Program participant. OPS seeks public comment on the proposed demonstration project so it may consider and address these comments before approving the project. The Chevron demonstration project is one of several projects OPS plans to approve and monitor in assessing risk management as a component of the Federal pipeline safety regulatory program.

ADDRESSES: OPS requests that comments to this notice or about this environmental assessment be submitted on or before February 8, 1999, so they can be considered before project approval. However, comments on this or any other demonstration project will be accepted in the Docket throughout the 4-year demonstration period. Comments should be sent to the Dockets Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Plaza 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590-0001, or you can E-Mail your comments to ops.comments@rspa.dot.gov. Comments should identify the docket number RSPA-98-4450. Persons should submit the original comment document and one (1) copy. Persons wishing to receive confirmation of receipt of their comments must include a self-addressed stamped postcard. The Dockets Facility is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building in Room 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC. The Dockets Facility is open from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except on Federal holidays.