

proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 17, 1998.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 98-4479 Filed 2-20-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Export Administration

Procedure for Voluntary Self-Disclosure of Violations of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR); Proposed Collection; Comment Request

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before April 24, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Ms. Dawn Battle, Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW, room 6877, Washington, DC, 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

BXA has established procedures for voluntary self-disclosure of export violations. Exporters provide a narrative statement which outlines the violation involved. The information is needed to

detect violations of the Export Administration Act and to determine if an investigation or prosecution is necessary. The information is used to reach settlement with violators. The respondents are likely to be export-related businesses.

II. Method of Collection

Written submission.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0694-0058.

Form Number: Not applicable.

Type of Review: Regular submission for extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals, businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 67.

Estimated Time Per Response: 10 hours per response.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 670.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$0 (no capital expenditures are required).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 17, 1998.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 98-4480 Filed 2-20-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Export Administration

Import Certificates and End-User Certificates; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before April 24, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Ms. Dawnielle Battle, Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, room 6877, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

This collection of information is the certification of the overseas importer to the U.S. government that he/she will import specific commodities from the U.S. and will not reexport such commodities except in accordance with U.S. export regulations.

II. Method of Collection

Written documentation is required.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0694-0093.

Form Number: Not applicable.

Type of Review: Regular submission for extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals, businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 4,576.

Estimated Time Per Response: 15 minutes per response.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,144 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$0 (no capital expenditures are required).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information

is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 17, 1998.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 98-4481 Filed 2-20-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-427-801, A-428-801, A-475-801, A-588-804, A-485-801, A-559-801, A-401-801, A-549-801, A-412-801]

Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From France, et al.; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final court decision and amended final results of administrative reviews.

SUMMARY: On October 15, 1997, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed the Department of Commerce's final remand results affecting final assessment rates for the second administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan (except NSK), Singapore, Sweden, Thailand, and the United Kingdom. The classes or kinds of merchandise covered by these reviews are ball bearings and parts thereof, cylindrical roller bearings and parts thereof, and spherical plain bearings and parts thereof. As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in these actions (with the exception noted above), we are amending our final results of reviews and we will

subsequently instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate entries subject to these reviews.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 23, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Ross or Richard Rimlinger, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-4733.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), are references to the provisions in effect as of December 31, 1994. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to the regulations as codified at 19 CFR Part 353 (April 1, 1997).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 24, 1992, the Department published its final results of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof, from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Romania, Singapore, Sweden, Thailand, and the United Kingdom, covering the period May 1, 1990 through April 30, 1991 (AFBs II) (57 FR 28360). These final results were amended on July 24, 1992, and December 14, 1992, to correct clerical errors (see 57 FR 32969 and 57 FR 59080, respectively). The classes or kinds of merchandise covered by these reviews are ball bearings and parts thereof (BBs), cylindrical roller bearings and parts thereof (CRBs), and spherical plain bearings and parts thereof (SPBs). Subsequently, two domestic producers, the Torrington Company and Federal-Mogul, and a number of other interested parties, filed lawsuits with the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) challenging the final results. These lawsuits were litigated at the CIT and the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC). In the course of this litigation, the CIT issued a number of orders and opinions of which the following have resulted in changes to the antidumping margins calculated in AFBs II:

Federal-Mogul Corp. v. United States, 862 F. Supp. 384 (CIT 1994), 872 F. Supp. 1011 (CIT 1994), and Slip Op. 95-184 (November 20, 1995) with respect to France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Sweden, and the United Kingdom;

Torrington Company v. United States, 881 F. Supp. 622 (CIT 1995) and 926

F. Supp. 1151 (CIT 1996) with respect to France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, Sweden, Thailand, and the United Kingdom;

Koyo Seiko Company Ltd. v. United States, Slip Op. 96-168 (October 17, 1996) with respect to Japan;

SKF USA Inc. v. United States, 874 F. Supp. 1395 (CIT 1995) with respect to Italy;

SKF USA Inc. v. United States, 875 F. Supp. 847 (CIT 1995) with respect to Germany;

SKF USA Inc. v. United States, Slip Op. 95-11 (January 31, 1995) with respect to the United Kingdom;

SKF USA Inc. v. United States, 876 F. Supp. 275 (CIT 1995) with respect to France;

SKF USA Inc. v. United States, Slip Op. 95-124 (July 5, 1995) with respect to Sweden;

Societe Nouvelle de Roulements v. United States, 927 F. Supp. 1558 (CIT 1996) with respect to France.

In the context of the above-cited litigation, the CIT (in some cases based on decisions by the CAFC) ordered the Department to make methodological changes and to recalculate the antidumping margins for certain firms under review. Specifically, the CIT ordered the Department *inter alia*: (1) To change its methodology to account for value-added taxes with respect to the comparison of U.S. and home market prices, (2) not to deduct pre-sale inland freight incurred in the home market if the Department determined that there was no statutory authority to make such a deduction, (3) to develop a methodology which removes post-sale price adjustments and rebates paid on out-of-scope merchandise from any adjustment made to foreign market value or to deny such an adjustment if a viable method could not be found, and (4) to correct certain clerical errors.

On October 15, 1997, the CAFC affirmed the Department's final remand results affecting final assessment rates for all the above cases (except the reviews involving NSK Ltd. of Japan which are still subject to further litigation). See *Torrington Co. v. United States*, 127 F.3d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1997). As there are now final and conclusive court decisions in these actions, we are amending our final results of review in these matters and we will subsequently instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate entries subject to these reviews.

Amendment to Final Results

Pursuant to 516A(e) of the Tariff Act, we are now amending the final results of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction