America (Natural) also owns a 50 percent interest in the Main Pass 77 Pipeline and Receiving Station facilities.

Southern states that the pipe it proposes to abandon by transfer to Chevron was severely damaged by Hurricane George in October 1998 and would be uneconomical for Southern to repair. Southern also states that Southern has agreed to give the pipe to Chevron following approval of the herein abandonment request so that Chevron could salvage the pipe if possible. Southern further states the proposed abandonment would not effect the capacity of its pipeline system or materially impact the availability of natural gas supplies on its system. Southern asserts that 30 shippers have the receipt point listed as a firm receipt point under their firm service agreement with Southern for a total Maximum Daily Quantity of 3,372 Mcf of natural gas per day; however, these shippers have not been able to obtain gas supplies from this receipt point since the damage occurred in October 1998. Chevron would either salvage the pipe or build a new pipe, and these shippers would be able to receive the Main Pass Block 77 gas at the interconnection of the Main Pass 77 Pipeline and Southern's Main Pass Block 151 facilities.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before April 7, 1999, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the NGA and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment

are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Southern to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–6985 Filed 3–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP99-247-000]

Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc.; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

March 17, 1999.

Take notice that on March 10, 1999, Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc. (Williams), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP99-247-000, a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to use measuring, regulating and appurtenant facilities constructed for the delivery of Natural Gas Policy Act Section 311 transportation gas to MAPCO Natural Gas Liquid, Inc., a Williams affiliate, in McPherson County, Kansas, for purposes other than Section 311 transportation, under authorization issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at: http:// www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

Williams states that the cost of the facilities was approximately \$156,880 and would be reimbursed by MAPCO through the subscription of firm transportation service.

Williams further states that this change is not prohibited by an existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries specified without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–6986 Filed 3–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP99-248-000]

Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc.; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

March 17, 1999.

Take notice that on March 11, 1999, Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc. (Williams), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP99-248-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216, of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.216) for authorization to abandon the receipt of transportation of gas from MFP Petroleum Limited Partnership (MFP), successor to CNG Producing Company, and to reclaim facilities located in Harper County, Oklahoma under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http:// www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

Williams states that the meter setting has been blinded for some time and that MFP has agreed to the reclaim of facilities.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is

filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–6987 Filed 3–22–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EC99-47-000, et al.]

The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company et al. Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

March 15, 1999.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company

[Docket No. EC99-47-000]

Take notice that on March 9, 1999, The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (CG&E) tendered for filing pursuant to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 824b and Section 33.1(a)(1) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Regulations, 18 CFR 33.1(a)(1), its application for approval of the sale of 19 of its communication towers to an affiliated company, Cinergy Communications, Inc. (CCI).

CG&E states that it has served copies of its application upon the regulatory commission of Ohio.

Comment date: April 8, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. New England Power Company,
Massachusetts Electric Company, The
Narragansett Electric Company, New
England Electric Transmission,
Corporation, New England HydroTransmission, Corporation, New
England Hydro-Transmission, Electric
Company, Inc., and AllEnergy
Marketing Company, L.L.C., NGG
Holdings LLC

[Docket No. EC99-49-000]

Take notice that on March 10, 1999, New England Power Company (NEP), its affiliates holding jurisdictional assets (Massachusetts Electric Company, The Narragansett Electric Company, New England Electric Transmission

Corporation, New England Hydro-Transmission Corporation, New England Hydro-Transmission Electric Company, Inc., and AllEnergy Marketing Company, L.L.C.) (collectively, the NEES Companies) and NGG Holdings LLC (NGG), submitted for filing an application under Section 203 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. § 824b) and Part 33 of the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 33.1) seeking the Commission's approval and related authorizations to effectuate the merger of New England Electric System (NEES) the parent company of the NEES Companies, with NGG, a wholly-owned subsidiary of The National Grid Group plc (National Grid). NEES will be the surviving entity in the Merger and, through the Merger, it and the NEES Companies will become subsidiaries of National Grid, which, among other things, is the owner and operator of the electric transmission network in England and Wales.

The Application states that it includes all the information and exhibits required by Part 33 of the Commission's regulations and the Commission's Merger Policy Statement, and that the Merger Application easily satisfies the criteria set forth in the Commission's Merger Policy Statement. The Application requests that the Commission grant approval without condition, modification or an evidentiary, trial-type hearing. The Application states that the parties are seeking to close the Merger expeditiously and thus the Applicants have requested Commission approval by May 31, 1999.

The Applicants have served copies of the filing on the state commissions of Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island and Vermont.

Comment date: May 10, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. EGENOR S.A.

[Docket No. EG99-91-000]

Take notice that on March 10, 1999, EGENOR S.A. (EGENOR) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Sixty percent of EGENOR, a Peruvian corporation, is owned by Inversiones Dominion Perú S.A. (IDP). A majority of the capital stock of IDP is owned by Dominion Holding Peru S.A.C., a Peruvian corporation, which in turn is owned by Dominion Energy, Inc. (DEI) and its wholly-owned subsidiary Dominion Energy Peru Holdings, Inc., both Virginia corporations. DEI is a

wholly-owned subsidiary of Dominion Resources, Inc., also a Virginia corporation.

EGENOR will own and operate two run-of-river hydroelectric facilities and six combustion turbine/diesel generator facilities with a combined installed nameplate capacity of approximately 510 MW and associated wholesale and retail transmission interconnection facilities, all located in Peru.

Comment date: April 5, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

4. Trust Created Under The Trust Agreement Dated As of June 15, 1978 For The Use And Benefit of PSEG Resources Inc., Sanwa Bank California, Trustee

[Docket No. EG99-92-000]

Take notice that on March 10, 1999, Sanwa Bank California, as Trustee of the Trust created Under the Trust Agreement dated June 15, 1978 for the Use and Benefit of PSEG Resources Inc. (the Trust), 601 South Fiqueroa Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

The Trust owns and holds legal title to an electric generating facility known as Encina No. 5, located in San Diego, California. Encina No. 5 is a 330 (net) MW oil-and-gas-fired steam turbine electric generation plant. Encina No. 5 comprises part of the Encina Generating Station. San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E), a public utility, leases Encina No. 5 from the Trust under a long-term lease which grants SDG&E care, custody and control of the unit.

Comment date: April 5, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

5. Entergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER98-4410-000]

Take notice that on March 10, 1999, Entergy Services, Inc., on behalf of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, Inc., Entergy Mississippi, Inc., and Entergy New Orleans, Inc. (together Entergy) filed its response to the February 8, 1999 letter in the above-referenced docket (Letter). The Letter requested additional information concerning