

codified at 19 CFR Part 353 (April 1, 1997).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Case History

On July 1, 1992, the Department published its final results in the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain small business telephone systems and subassemblies (SBTs) from Taiwan, covering the period August 3, 1989 to November 30, 1990.¹ The review covered seven manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise, including Taiwan International Standard Electronics, Ltd. (TAISEL) and Tecom Co., Ltd. (Tecom). The antidumping duty rate assigned to TAISEL as Best Information Available (BIA) was 129.73 percent and the antidumping duty rate calculated for Tecom was 18.10 percent. TAISEL and Tecom filed motions with the Court of International Trade (CIT) challenging the final results.

On April 4, 1997, the CIT issued remands concerning this segment of the proceeding.² With respect to TAISEL, the CIT directed the Department to (1) reconsider TAISEL's response in order to determine whether the Department could exclude returned entries of SBTs covered by canceled sales from assessment of antidumping duties; and (2) assign to TAISEL a BIA rate consistent with the Federal Circuit's decision in *Allied-Signal Aerospace Co. v. United States* (Allied-Signal).³ With respect to Tecom, the CIT directed the Department to (1) use the data contained on a computer tape Tecom submitted on July 29, 1991; (2) reconsider Tecom's claim for a level-of-trade adjustment; and (3) reconsider Tecom's claims for circumstances-of-sale adjustments for home market warranty expenses, warehousing expenses, technical service expenses and bad debt expenses, as well as its claim for an adjustment to FMV for the provision of free gifts.

On July 3, 1997, the Department filed its remand redetermination with the CIT.⁴ With respect to TAISEL, the Department re-examined the record and found that TAISEL provided supporting documentation to show that certain

entries were returned as a result of canceled sales. Also, the Department assigned TAISEL a BIA margin based on the margin recalculated for Tecom in the remand redetermination, consistent with the ruling in *allied-Signal*. With respect to Tecom, the Department used the data contained in the July 29, 1991 computer tape and granted Tecom a level-of-trade adjustment. The Department continued to disallow the circumstance-of-sale adjustments for home market warranty expenses, warehousing expenses, technical service expenses and bad debt expenses as well as its claim for an adjustment to FMV for the provision of free gifts after reconsidering Tecom's claims for these adjustments, because it found no new evidence to support Tecom's claims. The Department determined TAISEL and Tecom's revised margins pursuant to the above adjustments.

On February 25, 1998, the CIT affirmed the Department's final remand results.⁵ On April 16, 1998 we published a notice of court decision.⁶ As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this action, we are amending our final results of review in this matter and we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate entries subject to this review in accordance with the remand results.

Amendment to Final Results of Review

Pursuant to Section 516A(e) of the Act, we are amending the final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain small business telephone systems and subassemblies thereof from Taiwan for the period August 3, 1989 through November 30, 1990. As a result of the remand determination, we have assigned TAISEL a BIA margin based on the margin recalculated for Tecom. The final weighted-average margins for TAISEL and Tecom are as follows:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted average margin percentage
TAISEL	8.11
Tecom	8.11

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. To determine the amount of antidumping duties for TAISEL, we will

instruct the Customs Service to assess entry-specific antidumping duty amounts, which we calculated based on an *ad valorem* rate of 8.11 percent, applied to those sales determined to have been entered into the United States and not returned to Taiwan. For Tecom, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rate for the merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the importer-specific sales examined during the POR to the total importer-specific entry value of sales examined during the POR. The Department will issue appraisal instruction to the Customs Service after publication of this amended final results of review.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 3, 1999.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary, for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99-11577 Filed 5-6-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-054; A-588-604]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From Japan and Tapered Roller Bearings, Four Inches or Less in Outside Diameter, and Components Thereof From Japan; Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews; Time Limits

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Extension of Time Limits.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limits for the preliminary results of the 1997-1998 administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order [A-588-604] and finding [A-588-054] on tapered roller bearings from Japan. These reviews cover 4 manufacturers/exporters and resellers of the subject merchandise to the United States and the period October 1, 1997 through September 30, 1998.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 7, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Scott at (202) 482-2657 or John Kugelman at (202) 482-0649, AD/CVD Enforcement Office Eight, Import Administration, International Trade

¹ *Certain Small Business Telephone Systems and Subassemblies Thereof From Taiwan; Final Results of Administrative Review*, 57 FR 29283 (July 1, 1992).

² *Taiwan International Standard Electronics, Ltd. v. United States*, 963 F. Supp. 1202 (CIT 1997); *Tecom Co., Ltd. v. United States*, Slip Op. 97-42 (CIT April 4, 1997).

³ 996 F.2d 1185 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

⁴ *Small Business Telephone Systems and Subassemblies Thereof from Taiwan; Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand*, Court Nos. 92-08-00532 and 92-08-00528 (July 3, 1997).

⁵ *Tawain International Standard Electronics Ltd. v. United States*, Slip Op. 98-18 (CIT February 25, 1998); *Tecom Co., Ltd. v. United States*, Slip Op. 98-19 (CIT February 25, 1998).

⁶ *Certain Small Business Telephone Systems and Subassemblies Thereof From Taiwan; Notice of Court Decision*, 63 FR 18883 (April 16, 1998).

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Because it is not practicable to complete these reviews within the normal statutory time limit, the Department is extending the time limits for completion of the preliminary results until September 20, 1999 in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. See Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa, on file in Room B-099 of the main Commerce building. The deadline for the final results of this review will continue to be 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

These extensions are in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(3)(A)).

Dated: May 3, 1999.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 99-11571 Filed 5-6-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-489-807]

Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Turkey: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by Ekinciler Holding A.S., Ekinciler Demir Celik A.S., and Ferromin International Trade Corp. (collectively "Ekinciler"), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel concrete reinforcing bars (rebar) from Turkey. In addition, in response to a request by ICDAS Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi A.S. (ICDAS), the Department is also conducting a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on rebar from Turkey. The period of review is October 10, 1996, through March 31, 1998, for Ekinciler and October 10, 1996, through July 31, 1998, for ICDAS.

We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below the normal value by the companies subject to these reviews. If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of these reviews, we will instruct the

Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 7, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Shawn Thompson or Irina Itkin, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1776 or (202) 482-0656, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1998).

Background

On April 30, 1998, the Department received a request from Ekinciler to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on rebar from Turkey. On May 29, 1998, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of Ekinciler covering the period October 10, 1996, through March 31, 1998 (63 FR 29370).

Also on April 30, 1998, ICDAS requested that we conduct a new shipper review pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b). In this request, ICDAS certified that it did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the period covered by the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation (the "POI"), and that it is not affiliated with any company which exported subject merchandise to the United States during the POI. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), ICDAS submitted documentation establishing the date on which it intended to first ship and enter rebar for consumption in the United States, the volume of that shipment, and the date of the first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States. Based on the above information, the Department initiated a new shipper review covering ICDAS (*Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review* (63 FR 29372, May 29, 1998)). The Department is now conducting this review in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214.

On May 18, 1998, ICDAS agreed to waive time limits applicable to the new shipper review and conduct the new

shipper review concurrently with the administrative review.

On May 29, 1998, we issued our questionnaire to Ekinciler and ICDAS. On June 9, 1998, ICDAS requested that the Department expand the period of review (POR) in the new shipper review to include June 1998, in order to allow the Department to capture the company's first sale to an unaffiliated party in the United States, as well as the corresponding entry. On June 11, 1998, we expanded the POR in this review to include June 1998.

We received a response to Sections A through C of the questionnaire (*i.e.*, the sections regarding sales to the home market and the United States) from Ekinciler in July 1998 and a response to Section D (*i.e.*, the section regarding cost of production (COP) and constructed value (CV)) in August 1998. We received a response to Sections A through C of this questionnaire from ICDAS in August 1998. ICDAS was not required to respond to Section D.

In its August 1998 questionnaire response, ICDAS informed the Department that it did not ship subject merchandise to the United States until the end of June 1998. Accordingly, we expanded the POR through July 1998 in order to capture the company's first entry. We determined that expansion of the POR would not cause undue delay in the completion of the review. For further discussion, see the memorandum on this topic from Irina Itkin to Louis Apple, dated April 12, 1999.

In August and September 1998, we issued supplemental questionnaires to Ekinciler and ICDAS, respectively. We received responses to these questionnaires in September and October 1998.

On October 23, 1998, the Department published in the **Federal Register** notices of postponement of the final results until no later than April 30, 1999 (63 FR 56909 and 63 FR 56910).

In January and February 1999, we issued additional supplemental questionnaires to ICDAS and Ekinciler, respectively. We received responses to these questionnaires in January, February, and March 1999.

In February and March 1999, the Department conducted verification of the sales data submitted by ICDAS, in accordance with section 782(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.307(b)(1)(iv).

Scope of Reviews

The product covered by these reviews is all stock deformed steel concrete reinforcing bars sold in straight lengths and coils. This includes all hot-rolled deformed rebar rolled from billet steel,