PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (b)(3)(ii) and (c)(6)(xv)(B) to read as follows:

§52.220 Identification of plan.

* * * * * (b) * * *

(a) * * *

(ii) Previously approved on May 31, 1972 and now deleted without replacement Rule 4.13.

* * *

(c) * * * (6) * * *

(xv) * * *

(B) Previously approved on September 22, 1972 and now deleted without replacement Rules 100 to 104, 109, 110, 120, and 130 to 137.

[FR Doc. 99–11825 Filed 5–12–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IA 069-1069a; FRL-6340-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Approval Under Section 112(I); State of Iowa

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is taking final action to approve two State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the state of Iowa. These revisions will strengthen the SIP with respect to attainment and maintenance of established air quality standards and with respect to hazardous air pollutants (HAP). The effect of this action is to ensure Federal enforceability of the state's air program rule revisions.

DATES: This direct final rule is effective on July 12, 1999 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by June 14, 1999. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be addressed to Wayne A. Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

Copies of the state submittal are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours: Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101; and the Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Air Docket (6102), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551–7603.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This section provides additional information by addressing the following questions:

What is a SIP?

What is the Federal approval process for a SIP?

What does Federal approval of a state regulation mean to me?

What is approval under section 112(l)?

What is being addressed in this notice?

What action is EPA taking?

What is a SIP?

Section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) requires states to develop air pollution regulations and control strategies to ensure that state air quality meets the national ambient air quality standards established by EPA. These ambient standards are established under section 109 of the CAA, and they currently address six criteria pollutants. These pollutants are: carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, lead, particulate matter, and sulfur dioxide.

Each state must submit these regulations and control strategies to EPA for approval and incorporation into the Federally enforceable SIP.

Each Federally approved SIP protects air quality primarily by addressing air pollution at its point of origin. These SIPs can be extensive, containing state regulations or other enforceable documents and supporting information such as emission inventories, monitoring networks, and modeling demonstrations.

What Is the Federal Approval Process for a SIP?

In order for state regulations to be incorporated into the Federally enforceable SIP, states must formally adopt the regulations and control strategies consistent with state and Federal requirements. This process generally includes a public notice, public hearing, public comment period, and a formal adoption by a stateauthorized rulemaking body.

Once a state rule, regulation, or control strategy is adopted, the state submits it to EPA for inclusion into the SIP. EPA must provide public notice and seek additional public comment regarding the proposed Federal action on the state submission. If adverse comments are received, they must be addressed prior to any final Federal action by EPA.

All state regulations and supporting information approved by EPA under section 110 of the CAA are incorporated into the Federally approved SIP. Records of such SIP actions are maintained in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at Title 40, Part 52, entitled "Approval and Promulgations of Implementation Plans." The actual state regulations which are approved are not reproduced in their entirety in the CFR outright but are "incorporated by reference," which means that EPA has approved a given state regulation with a specific effective date.

What Does Federal Approval of a State Regulation Mean to Me?

Enforcement of the state regulation before and after it is incorporated into the Federally approved SIP is primarily a state responsibility. However, after the regulation is Federally approved, EPA is authorized to take enforcement action against violators. Citizens are also offered legal recourse to address violations as described in the CAA.

What Is Approval Under Section 112(l)?

Section 112(l) of the CAA provides authority for EPA to implement a program to regulate HAPs, and to subsequently delegate authority for this program to the states. EPA has delegated authority for this program to Iowa and has approved relevant state HAP rules under this authority. In this action, EPA is approving revisions to the section 112(l) approved state rules.

What Is Being addressed in This Notice?

The Iowa Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) revised a number of its rules in order to maintain equivalency with Federal requirements and to adopt hospital/medical/infectious waste incinerator regulations. The revisions include an update to the definitions rule, to the permitting rules, and to the testing and monitoring rule. The state also adopted by reference the revised Federal National Ambient Air Quality Standards promulgated on July 15, 1997.

The revised rule chapters are: Chapter 20, "Scope of Title-Definitions-Forms-Rules of Practice"; Chapter 22, "Controlling Pollution"; Chapter 23,

"Emissions Standards for Contaminants"; Chapter 25, "Measurement of Emissions"; and Chapter 28, "Ambient Air Quality Standards," 567 Iowa Administrative Code. Specific Chapter paragraphs and subparagraphs which were revised are: 20.2, 22.1(1), 22.1(2), 22.1(3), 22.203(1), 22.203(2), 22.300(8), 23.1(1), 25.1(10), and 28.1. All of these rules are being approved under the authority of section 110, and the underlined rules are also being approved under the authority of section 112(1).

These revisions to the Iowa SIP were submitted by Larry Wilson, IDNR Director, on December 11, 1998, and January 29, 1999. The state effective date for these revisions are October 14, 1998, except for rules 22.1(2) and 25.1(10), which were effective December 23, 1998.

Have the Requirements for Approval of a SIP Revision Been Met?

The state submittals have met the public notice requirements for SIP submissions in accordance with 40 CFR 51.102. The submittals also satisfied the completeness criteria of 40 CFR part 51, appendix V. In addition, as explained above and in more detail in the technical support documents which are part of this notice, the revisions meet the substantive SIP requirements of the CAA, including section 110 and implementing regulations.

What Action Is EPA Taking?

EPA is processing this action as a direct final action because this amendment to the Iowa SIP makes routine revisions to the existing rules which are noncontroversial. Therefore, we do not anticipate any adverse comments.

Conclusion

Final Action

EPA is taking final action to approve, as an amendment to the Iowa SIP, rule revisions submitted by the state of Iowa as discussed above. These rules are being approved under the authority of section 110, and, for certain rules, the authority of section 112(l).

EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register** publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the SIP revision should adverse comments be filed. This rule will be effective July 12, 1999 without further notice unless the

Agency receives adverse comments by June 14, 1999.

If EPA receives such comments, then EPA will publish a document withdrawing the final rule and informing the public that the rule will not take effect. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Parties interested in commenting should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this rule will be effective on July 12, 1999, and no further action will be taken on the proposed rule.

Administrative Requirements

A. Executive Order (E.O.) 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory action from E.O. 12866, entitled "Regulatory Planning and Review."

B. E.O. 12875

Under E.O. 12875, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute and that creates a mandate upon a state, local, or tribal government, unless the Federal Government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by those governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, E.O. 12875 requires EPA to provide to the OMB a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected state, local, and tribal governments; a summary of the nature of their concerns; copies of any written communications from the governments; and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, E.O. 12875 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of state, local, and tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant unfunded mandates.

Today's rule does not create a mandate on state, local, or tribal governments. The rule does not impose any enforceable duties on these entities. Accordingly, the requirements of section 1(a) of E.O. 12875 do not apply to this rule.

C. E.O. 13045

Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) applies to any rule that: (1) is determined to be "economically significant" as defined under E.O. 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

This rule is not subject to E.O. 13045 because it is not an economically significant regulatory action as defined by E.O. 12866, and it does not address an environmental health or safety risk that would have a disproportionate effect on children.

D. E.O. 13084

Under E.O. 13084, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, E.O. 13084 requires EPA to provide to the OMB, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, E.O. 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities.

Today's rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. This action does not involve or impose any requirements that affect Indian tribes. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of E.O. 13084 do not apply to this rule.

E. Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA)

The RFA generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements, unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit

enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions. This final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because SIP approvals under section 110 and Subchapter I, Part D of the CAA do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the state is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not create any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-state relationship under the CAA, preparation of flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The CAA forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. Union Electric Co., v. U.S. EPA, 427 U.S. 246, 255-66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

F. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act") signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs to state, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and

advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

ÉPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either state, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves preexisting requirements under state or local law and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to state, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

G. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the United States Senate, the United States House of Representatives, and the United States Comptroller General prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

H. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by July 12, 1999. Filing a petition

for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: April 28, 1999

William Rice.

Regional Administrator, Region VII.

Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart Q-lowa

2. In section 52.820 the following entries for paragraph (c), EPA-approved regulations, are revised to read as follows:

§52.820 Identification of plan.

(c) EPA-approved regulations.

EPA-APPROVED IOWA REGULATIONS

lowa citation	Title		State effec- tive date	EPA approval dat	e C	Comments		
				Natural Resources on Commission [567]				
		Scope of Titl	Chapte e-Definitions	er 20 -Forms-Rule of Practic	е			
*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
567–20.2	Definitions		10/14/98	5/13/99 64 FR 25827.				
*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
			Chapte Controlling					
*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
567–22.1	Permits Required for I Stationary Sources.	New or Existing	12/23/98	5/13/99 64 FR 25827	Subrule 22.1(3) approved.	"b"(9) has not been		
*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
567–22.203	Voluntary Operating Pe	rmit Applications	10/14/98	5/13/99 64 FR 25827.				

	EP	A-APPROV	ed Iowa Re	GULATIONS—Continued		
lowa citation	Title		State effec- tive date	EPA approval date	Comments	
* 567–22.300	* Operating Permit by Rule Sources.	* for Small	* 10/14/98	* 5/13/99 64 FR 25828.	*	*
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
		Emissi	Chapte on Standards	er 23 for Contaminants		
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
567–23.1	Emission Standards		10/14/98	5/13/99 64 FR 25828	Sections 23.1(2)–(5 in the SIP.) are not approved
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
		N	Chapte leasurement o			
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
567–25.1	Testing and Sampling of Ne isting Equipment.	ew and Ex-	12/23/98	5/13/99 64 FR 25828	Subrule 25.1(12) If proved.	nas not been ap-
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
		Am	Chapte nbient Air Qua	er 28 Ility Standards		
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
567–28.1	Statewide Standards		10/14/98	5/13/99 64 FR 25828.		
*	*	*	*	*	*	*

[FR Doc. 99–11823 Filed 5–12–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA012-0144a, FRL-6335-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan for South Coast Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is taking direct final action to approve revisions to a number of South Coast Air Quality Management District (District) rules contained in the District Regulation II. The District submitted these rules for the purpose of meeting the requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA), as amended in 1990 with regard to new source review (NSR) in areas that have not attained the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS).

This approval action will incorporate these rules into the federally approved State Implementation Plan (SIP) for California. The rules were submitted during 1991 and 1994 by the State to satisfy certain Federal requirements for an approvable NSR SIP. Thus, EPA is finalizing the approval of these rules into the California SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards and plan requirements for nonattainment areas. **DATES:** This rule is effective on July 12, 1999 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comments by June 14, 1999. If EPA receives such comment, it will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register informing the public that this rule will not take effect. ADDRESSES: Written comments should

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Nahid Zoueshtiagh (Air-3), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are

available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours at the following address:

Permits Office (Air-3), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105. Copies of the submitted rules are also available for inspection at the following locations: Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, S.W.,

Washington, D.C. 20460.
California Air Resources Board,
Stationary Source Division, Rule

Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765–4182.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nahid Zoueshtiagh, (Air-3), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 744–1261.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The air quality planning requirements for nonattainment NSR are set out in part