

VIII. Accident Investigations

The MMS or the USCG is responsible for conducting investigations and preparing a public report for each major fire, oil spillage, serious injury, and fatality associated with OCS activities. To avoid duplication of effort and to simplify administration, the responsibility for investigating and preparing a public report for these incidents rests with the agency that is listed in Section III as being responsible for the system associated with the incident. In addition, the MMS investigates blowouts and the USCG investigates collisions.

For those incidents for which both agencies have an investigative interest in the system associated with the incident, one agency will assume lead investigative responsibility with supporting participation by the other agency. The lead agency in a joint investigative effort shall investigate and prepare, approve, and release the report in accordance with the normal procedures of that agency, subject to the following terms and conditions:

1. The lead agency shall be determined through mutual agreement. If mutual agreement is not reached, each agency may decide to conduct its own investigation.
2. The specific details of a supporting agency's participation in a joint investigation shall be determined on a case-by-case basis through mutual agreement.
3. Prior to the public release of a joint agency report, the supporting agency will be afforded an opportunity to comment on the report. If the supporting agency's conclusions and/or recommendations differ with those of the lead agency, either both conclusions and/or recommendations will be included in the lead agency's report in a mutually acceptable manner, or a joint report will not be issued, and each agency may issue separate reports.

IX. Implementing this MOU

1. Each agency will review its internal procedures and, where appropriate, will revise them to accommodate the provisions of this MOU. Each agency will also designate in writing one senior official who will be responsible for coordinating and implementing the provisions of this MOU.
2. Each agency will designate regional officials to be responsible for coordinating and implementing the provisions of this MOU in their respective regions.
3. The USCG—MMS MOU concerning regulation of activities and facilities in the OCS, dated August 29, 1989 is canceled on the effective date of this agreement.
4. If new technology (or new uses of current technology) require a change to this MOU, the MMS regional office and appropriate USCG district will work together to reach an agreement. The MMS regional office and the USCG district will notify their respective Headquarters office of any change. If the MMS regional office and the USCG district office can't reach an agreement, it will be elevated to MMS and USCG Headquarters. The new policy will become part of a revised MOU the next time the MOU is revised.

X. Savings Provision

Nothing in this MOU alters, amends, or affects in any way the statutory authority of MMS or the USCG.

XI. Effective Date

This MOU is effective upon signature.

XII. Termination

Both parties may amend this MOU by mutual agreement and either agency may terminate it with a 30-day written notice.

Signed at Washington, DC, December 16, 1998.

James M. Loy,

Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Transportation.

Cynthia Quarterman,

Director, Minerals Management Service, Department of Interior.

[FR Doc. 99-817 Filed 1-14-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**National Park Service****Acadia National Park, Bar Harbor, Maine, Acadia National Park Advisory Commission; Notice of Meeting**

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770, 5 U.S.C. App. 1, Sec. 10), that the Acadia National Park Advisory Commission will hold a meeting on Monday, February 8, 1999.

The Commission was established pursuant to Public Law 99-420, Sec. 103. The purpose of the commission is to consult with the Secretary of the Interior, or his designee, on matters relating to the management and development of the park, including but not limited to the acquisition of lands and interests in lands (including conservation easements on islands) and termination of rights of use and occupancy.

The meeting will convene at park Headquarters, McFarland Hill, Bar Harbor, Maine, at 1:30 p.m. to consider the following agenda:

1. Review and approval of minutes from the meeting held September 28, 1998
2. Committee reports
Land Conservation
Education
Park Use
Science
Nomination—nomination of officers
3. Old business
4. Superintendent's report
5. Public comments
6. Proposed agenda and date of next Commission meeting

The meeting is open to the public. Interested persons may make oral/

written presentations to the Commission or file written statements. Such requests should be made to the Superintendent at least seven days prior to the meeting.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from the Superintendent, Acadia National Park, P.O. Box 177, Bar Harbor, Maine 04609, tel: (207) 288-3338.

Dated: January 7, 1999.

Len Bobinchock,

Acting Superintendent, Acadia National Park.

[FR Doc. 99-929 Filed 1-14-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**National Park Service****Joshua Tree National Park Advisory Commission; Notice of Meeting**

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act that a meeting of the Joshua Tree National Park Advisory Commission (Commission) will be held from 9:00 am (PDT) until 3:00 pm on Saturday, March 6, 1999, at the Helen Gray Center, on Whitefeather Drive in the village of Joshua Tree, California. The Commission will hear presentations about issues related to the Backcountry and Wilderness Management Plan, which serves as an amendment to the General Management Plan for Joshua Tree National Park, a comprehensive assessment regarding placement of wireless telecommunication facilities (WTF), and an environmental assessment to relocate segments of a military training route over the park.

The Commission was established by Public Law 103-433, section 107 to advise the Secretary concerning the development and implementation of a new or revised comprehensive management plan for Joshua Tree National Park.

Members of the Commission include:

Mr. Chuck Bell: Planner
Ms. Diane Benson: Town of Yucca Valley
Ms. Cyndie Bransford: Recreational Climbing
Mr. Gary Daigneault: Property Owner
Hon. Kathy Davis: County of San Bernadino
Mr. Brian Huse: Conservation
Mr. Michael McCormack: Property Owner
Mr. Julian McIntyre: Conservation
Mr. Roger Melanson: Homeowner
Mr. Ramon Mendoza: Native American Interest
Ms. Leslie Mouriquand: Planner
Mr. Richard Russell: All Wheel Drive Vehicle Interest

Dr. Byron Walls: Mining Interest
Hon. Roy Wilson: County of Riverside
Mr. Gilbert Zimmerman: Tourism

Included on the agenda for this public meeting will be:

Discussion of the Backcountry and Wilderness Management Plan

- designation of a trail system
- designation of unpaved roads
- climbing management
- roadside auto camping
- major artificial water sources for wildlife
- area closures
- establishment of group size limits
- implementation of the Department of the Interior's Desert Tortoise Recovery Plan

A Comprehensive Assessment regarding WTF.

An Environmental Assessment for Proposed Modification of VR-1257

The meeting is open to the public and will be recorded for documentation and transcribed for dissemination. Minutes of the meeting will be available to the public after approval of the full Advisory Commission. For copies, please contact Superintendent, Joshua Tree National Park, 74485 National Park Drive, Twentynine Palms, California 92272 at (760) 367-5502.

Dated: December 29, 1998.

Mary Rissen,

Acting Superintendent.

[FR Doc. 99-930 Filed 1-14-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement; United States of America v. Chancellor Media Corporation and Whiteco Industries, Inc.

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America v. Chancellor Media Corporation and Whiteco Industries Inc.*, Case No. 1:98CV02875. The proposed Final Judgment is subject to approval by the Court after the expiration of the statutory 60-day public comment period and compliance with the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act. 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h).

The United States filed a civil antitrust Complaint on November 25,

1998, alleging that the proposed acquisition of Whiteco Industries Inc. ("Whiteco") by Chancellor Media Corporation ("Chancellor") would violate section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The Complaint alleges that Chancellor and Whiteco compete head-to-head to sell outdoor bulletin advertising in seven counties: (1) Hartford County, Connecticut; (2) Shawnee County, Kansas; (3) Leavenworth County, Kansas; (4) Potter County, Texas; (5) Nolan County, Texas; (6) Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania and (7) Washington County, Pennsylvania (collectively "the Seven Counties"). Outdoor advertising companies sell advertising space, such as on bulletins, to local and national customers. The outdoor bulletin advertising business in the Seven Counties is highly concentrated. Chancellor through its subsidiary, Martin Media, and Whiteco have a combined share of revenue ranging from about 48 percent to 88 percent in the Seven Counties. Unless the acquisition is blocked, competition would be substantially lessened in the Seven Counties, and advertisers would pay higher prices.

The prayer for relief seeks: (a) An adjudication that the proposed transaction described in the Complaint would violate section 7 of the Clayton Act; (b) preliminary and permanent injunctive relief preventing the consummation of the transaction; (c) an award to the United States of the costs of this action; and (d) such other relief as is proper.

Shortly before this suit was filed, a proposed settlement was reached that permits Chancellor to complete its acquisition of Whiteco, yet preserves competition in the Seven Counties where the transaction raises significant competitive concerns. A Stipulation and proposed Final Judgment embodying the settlement were filed at the same time the Complaint was filed.

The proposed settlement requires Chancellor to divest bulletin faces equal to the number of faces operated by Whiteco in:

- (1) Hartford County, Connecticut;
- (2) Shawnee County, Kansas;
- (3) Leavenworth County, Kansas;
- (4) Potter County, Texas;
- (5) Nolan County, Texas; and
- (6) Westmoreland and Washington Counties, Pennsylvania

Unless the plaintiff grants a time extension, Chancellor must divest these outdoor bulletin advertising assets within six (6) months after the filing of the Complaint in this action. Finally, in the event that the Court does not, for any reason, enter the Final Judgment

within that six-month period, the divestitures are to occur within five (5) business days after notice of entry of the Final Judgment.

If Chancellor does not divest the bulletin advertising assets in the specified counties within the divestiture period, the Court, upon plaintiff's application, is to appoint a trustee to sell the assets. The proposed Final Judgment also requires that, until the divestitures mandated by the Final Judgment have been accomplished, Chancellor shall take all steps necessary to maintain and operate the bulletin advertising assets as active competitors; maintain the management, staffing, sales and marketing of the bulletin advertising assets; and maintain the bulletin advertising assets in operable condition at current capacity configurations. Further, the proposed Final Judgment requires Chancellor to give the United States prior notice regarding certain future outdoor bulletin advertising acquisitions or agreements pertaining to the sale of outdoor advertising in the Seven Counties.

The plaintiff and the defendants have stipulated that the proposed Final Judgment may be entered after compliance with the APPA. Entry of the proposed Final Judgment would terminate this action, except that the Court would retain jurisdiction to construe, modify, or enforce the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment and to punish violations thereof.

A Competitive Impact Statement filed by the United States describes the Complaint, the proposed Final Judgment, and remedies available to private litigants.

Public comment is invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. Such comments, and the responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Written comments should be directed to Craig W. Conrath, Chief, Merger Task Force, Antitrust Division, 1401 H Street, NW., Suite 4000, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: 202-307-0001). Copies of the Complaint, Stipulation, proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection in Room 215 of the Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 325 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: 202-514-2481) and at the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Third Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20001.