Prescribed Burning (Definition) (as adopted on December 6, 1988, submitted February 7, 1989); LCAQMD Section (Rule) 270, Wildland Vegetation Management Burning (Definition) (as adopted on December 6, 1988, submitted February 7, 1989); LCAQMD Section (Rule) 640, (Permit Exemptions) (as amended on July 15, 1997, submitted March 10, 1998); LCAQMD Section (Rule) 1002, (Agencies Authorized to Issue Burn Permits) (as amended on March 19, 1996, submitted May 18, 1998); Lake County Section (Rule) 1010, (No-Burn Day) (as adopted on June 13, 1989, submitted March 26, 1990); LCAQMD Section (Rule) 1350, Burning of Standing Tule (as adopted on October 15, 1996, submitted March 10, 1998); MCAPCD Rule 4.11, Orchard Heaters (as adopted on January 3, 1989, submitted December 31, 1990); NSAQMD Rule 211, Process Weight per Hour (as adopted on September 11, 1991, submitted October 28, 1996); SJVUAPCD Rule 4301, Fuel Burning Equipment (as amended on December 17, 1992, submitted September 28, 1994); and VCAPCD Rule 56, Open Fires (as amended on March 29, 1994. submitted May 24, 1994). For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action that is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: April 9, 1999.

David P. Howekamp,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 99–12158 Filed 5–17–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MN38-011-6971b; FRL-6339-6]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; Minnesota

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: In this document the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve revisions to the Minnesota's State Implementation Plan (SIP) permitting program by addressing portions of Minnesota's Rules Parts 7007 and 7011. Under the current federally mandated permitting programs, applicability is based on a sources potential to emit, and sources with the potential to emit in major amounts are subject to these programs. In the final rules section of this Federal

Register, EPA is approving the State's request as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for approving and disapproving portions of the State's request is set forth in the direct final rule. The direct final rule will become effective without further notice unless the Agency receives relevant adverse written comment. Should the Agency receive such comment, it will publish a document informing the public that the direct final rule will not take effect and such public comment received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. If no adverse comments are received, the direct final rule will take effect on the date stated in that document and no further activity will be taken on this proposed rule. EPA does not plan to institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before June 17, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Robert Miller, Chief, Permits and Grants Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rachel Rineheart at (312) 886–7017.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the above address. (Please telephone Rachel Rineheart at (312) 886–7017 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Particulate matter, Volatile organic compound.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. Dated: April 23, 1999.

David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 99–12367 Filed 5–17–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 112

[FRL-6343-9]

Oil Pollution Prevention and Response; Non-Transportation-Related Facilities

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of extension of comment period for proposed rule and advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or we) published a proposed rule to amend the Facility Response Plan requirements in the Oil Pollution Prevention and Response regulation found at 40 CFR part 112. We also published an advance notice of proposed rulemaking seeking comments on how we might differentiate among the various classes of oil for purposes of the Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures Plan requirements. Both the proposed rule and advance notice of proposed rulemaking were published on April 8, 1999 (64 FR 17227). The comment period for both ended on May 10, 1999. In response to requests from commenters, we are extending the comment periods for the proposed and for the advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

DATES: The comment period for the proposed rule is extended through June 9, 1999. The comment period for the advance notice of proposed rulemaking is extended through July 7, 1999.

ADDRESSES: The official record for this rulemaking is located in the Superfund Docket at 1235 Jefferson Davis Highway, Crystal Gateway 1, Arlington, Virginia 22202, Suite 105. The docket numbers for the proposed rule and advance notice of proposed rulemaking are SPCC-9P, and SPCC-10P, respectively. The record supporting this rulemaking is contained in the Superfund Docket and is available for inspection by appointment only, between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. You may make an appointment to review the docket by calling 703-603-9232. The mailing address for the dockets is Superfund Docket, Docket Numbers SPCC-9P and SPPC-10P, mail code 5203G, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., S.W., Washington, DC 20460. The electronic address of the dockets is

superfund.docket@epamail.epa.gov. The

docket will mail copies of materials to you if you are outside of the Washington, DC metropolitan area. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara Davis, Oil Program Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, at 703-603-8823, concerning the proposed rule; or, Hugo Paul Fleischman, Oil Program Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, at 703–603–8769, concerning the advance notice of proposed rulemaking. Alternatively you may call the RCRA/Superfund Hotline at 800-424-9436 (in the Washington, DC metropolitan area, 703-412-9810). The Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) Hotline number is 800-553-7672 (in the Washington, DC metropolitan area, 703-412-3323). You may wish to visit the Oil Program's Internet site at www.epa.gov/oilspill.

Dated: May 10, 1999.

Stephen D. Luftig,

Director, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response.

[FR Doc. 99–12490 Filed 5–17–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 64

[CC Docket No. 96-61; FCC 99-43]

Implementation of the Rate Integration Requirement of the Communications Act

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking

SUMMARY: By this *Notice of Proposed* Rulemaking (Notice), the Commission seeks further comment on the application of rate integration to interstate, interexchange services offered by commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) providers. Specifically, the Commission invites interested parties to comment on how rate integration should be applied to widearea calling plans, services offered by affiliates, plans that assess local airtime or roaming charges in addition to separate long-distance charges for interstate, interexchange services, and whether cellular and PCS service rates should be integrated.

DATES: Comments are due on, or before, May 27, 1999. Reply comments are due on, or before, June 28, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Secretary, 445 12th Street S.W., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Wolfe, Wireless

Telecommunications Bureau, at (202) 418–2191.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the matter of Implementation of Section 254(g) of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended, CC Docket No.96-61, adopted March 8, 1999, and released April 21, 1999. The complete text of this Notice is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center, room CY-A257, 445 12th Street S.W., Washington, DC. The Notice is available through the Internet at http:// www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/ Common Carrier/notices/1999/ fcc99043.wp. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc. (ITS, Inc.), at 1231 20th Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857-3800.

Synopsis of Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking:

I. Introduction

1. In this *Notice*, we seek further comment on several issues regarding the application of rate integration under section 254(g) of the Communications Act to the interstate, interexchange services offered by commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) providers.

II. Applicability of Rate Integration to CMRS Services

A. Wide-Area Calling Plans

2. Many CMRS providers have created calling plans that allow customers to extend the size of the calling area in which they do not incur roaming or separate long-distance charges, generically referred to as wide-area calling plans. Under these types of plans, the customer generally is assessed a monthly fee and obtains a specified number of airtime minutes as part of the monthly charge. In this section, we seek comment on: (1) whether there are wide-area calling plans or other types of plans that should not be subject to rate integration; (2) what limitations would rate integration requirements place on CMRS providers' plans; and, (3) whether we should forbear from rate integration requirements for some, or all, wide-area plans.

3. Wide-area calling plans appear to offer customers significant benefits in the form of a simplified rate structure and additional choice. We believe that the analysis of wide-area calling plans begins with an examination of what constitutes an interexchange service, which is not defined in the Act. Some

parties argue that the meaning of interexchange service should be derived from the definition of "telephone toll service." Telephone toll service is defined as "telephone service between stations in different exchange areas for which a charge is not included in contracts with subscribers for exchange service." 47 U.S.C. 153(48). Some CMRS providers assert that because CMRS providers are not rate regulated, CMRS providers can establish any area they choose as the "exchange" area. Under this approach, an interexchange call exists only if a separate charge is assessed for the interexchange call. The definition of "telephone toll service" depends, in part, on the definition of "exchange services." "Telephone exchange service" is defined as "service within a telephone exchange, or within a connected system of telephone exchanges within the same exchange area * * * and which is covered by the exchange service charge, or * * comparable service provided through a system of switches, transmission equipment, or other facilities (or combination thereof) by which a subscriber can originate and terminate a telecommunications service." 47 U.S.C. 153(47). Cellular, broadband PCS, and covered SMR providers have been found to provide "comparable service" to telephone exchange service because, as a general matter, local, two-way switched voice service is a principal part of the service.

4. We invite parties to comment on how the definitions of "telephone toll service" and "telephone exchange service," should be applied in the CMRS context. We also seek comment on whether a nationwide wide-area calling plan would be a telephone exchange service pursuant to section 3(47) of the Act; whether the Commission should define this term for rate integration purposes; or whether, as alleged by some, the definition should be left to the discretion of CMRS providers. Parties should discuss the competitive implications of the

alternative positions.

5. We invite parties to comment on alternative ways of implementing rate integration in the wide-area calling plan context to foster customer choice, pricing flexibility, and competitive development of the industry.

Specifically, what must a CMRS provider do in offering wide-area plans to comply with rate integration requirements? To assist us in this effort, we invite parties to document the types of wide-area calling plans that are available, including the range of plans that individual CMRS carriers offer. We are particularly interested in