

the driving mechanism and the gear train of the hoists considered acceptable alternative method. Granted for the Rock of Ages Light Side Mine with conditions.

Docket No.: M-95-013-M

FR Notice: 61 FR 8306.

Petitioner: Rock of Ages Quarries, Inc.

Regulation Affected: 30 CFR

56.19003.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use chain drives between the driving mechanism and the gear train of the hoists considered acceptable alternative method. Granted for the Rock of Ages Light Side Mine with conditions.

Docket No.: M-95-014-M.

FR Notice: 61 FR 8306.

Petitioner: Rock of Ages Quarries, Inc.

Regulation Affected: 30 CFR

56.19003.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use chain drives between the driving mechanism and the gear train of the hoists considered acceptable alternative method. Granted for the Rock of Ages Light Side Mine with conditions.

Docket No.: M-95-015-M.

FR Notice: 61 FR 8306.

Petitioner: Rock of Ages Quarries, Inc.

Regulation Affected: 30 CFR

56.19003.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use chain drives between the driving mechanism and the gear train of the hoists considered acceptable alternative method. Granted for the Rock of Ages Light Side Mine with conditions.

Docket No.: M-95-017-M.

FR Notice: 61 FR 8307.

Petitioner: Swenson Granite Company, Inc.

Regulation Affected: 30 CFR

56.19003.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use chain drives between the driving mechanism and the gear train of the hoists considered acceptable alternative method. Granted for the Swenson Gray Quarry Mine with conditions.

Docket No.: M-95-018-M.

FR Notice: 61 FR 8307.

Petitioner: Swenson Granite Company, Inc.

Regulation Affected: 30 CFR

56.19003.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal applies to chain drives between the driving mechanism and the gear train of the hoists, allowing the use of chain drives for such application

considered acceptable alternative method. Granted for the Lower Quarry Mine with conditions.

Docket No.: M-94-031-M.

FR Notice: 59 FR 29305.

Petitioner: Mitsubishi Cement Corporation.

Regulation Affected: 30 CFR 57.13020.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to establish blow-off stations at various places in the plant where employees can clean their clothes with compressed air; to install tamper-proof airline regulators at each station to ensure that primary operating air pressure is consistent; and to post rules for employees to follow when using compressed air to clean their clothes considered acceptable alternative method. The compressed air would have an OSHA-approved nozzle with pressure no greater than 2-6 psi at normal average line pressure. Granted for the Cushenbury Plant with conditions.

Docket No.: M-94-037-M.

FR Notice: 61 FR 8307.

Petitioner: Rock of Ages Quarries, Inc.

Regulation Affected: 30 CFR 56.19003.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use chain drives between the driving mechanism and the gear train of the hoists considered acceptable alternative method. Granted for the Rock of Ages Light Side Mine with conditions.

Docket No.: M-81-072-M.

FR Notice: 47 FR 8898.

Petitioner: Ziegler Chemical and Mineral Corp.

Regulation Affected: 30 CFR 57.19-3.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use a V-belt drive personnel hoist known as Hoist B-11 at its gilsonite mines considered acceptable alternative method. Granted for Bonanza Mine with conditions.

[FR Doc. 99-12550 Filed 5-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-P

FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

May 13, 1999.

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: Vol. 64, No. 89, at 25,080, May 10, 1999.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE: 10:00 a.m., Thursday, May 13, 1999.

PLACE: Room 6005, 6th Floor, 1730 K Street, NW, Washington, DC.

STATUS: Open.

CHANGES IN MEETING: Following a motion to dismiss the proceedings by the Secretary of Labor, the Commission canceled oral argument on *Secretary of Labor v. Newmont Gold Co.*, Docket Nos. WEST 97-164-RM, etc.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE:

The meeting to consider *Secretary of Labor v. Newmont Gold Co.*, Docket Nos. WEST 97-164-RM, etc., will commence following upon the conclusion of oral argument in the case which commences at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, May 13, 1999.

PLACE: Room 6005, 6th Floor, 1730 K Street, NW, Washington, DC.

STATUS: Closed [Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(10)].

CHANGES IN MEETING: Following a motion to dismiss the proceedings by the Secretary of Labor, the Commission canceled the meeting to consider *Secretary of Labor v. Newmont Gold Co.*, Docket Nos. WEST 97-164-RM, etc.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Jean Ellen (202) 653-5629/(202) 708-9300 for TDD Relay/1-800-877-8339 for toll free.

Jean H. Ellen,

Chief Docket Clerk.

[FR Doc. 99-12644 Filed 5-14-99; 4:18 pm]

BILLING CODE 6735-01-M

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Endowment for the Arts Fellowships Panel

Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463), as amended, notice is hereby given that two meetings of the Fellowships Panel, Jazz Masters section, to the National Council on the Arts will be held on May 27, 1999. The panel will meet from 3:30 to 5:00 p.m. via teleconference from the Nancy Hanks Center, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20506.

This meeting is for the purpose of Panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendations on financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including information given in confidence to the agency. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman of May 12, 1999, these sessions will be closed to the public pursuant to subsection (c)(4), (6) and (9)(B) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from Ms. Kathy Plowitz-Worden, Panel Coordinator, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, DC 20506, or call (202) 682-5691.

Dated: May 14, 1999.

Kathy Plowitz-Worden,

Panel Coordinator, National Endowment for the Arts.

[FR Doc. 99-12702 Filed 5-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-M

NORTHEAST DAIRY COMPACT COMMISSION

Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: Northeast Dairy Compact Commission.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Compact Commission will hold its monthly meeting to consider matters relating to administration and enforcement of the price regulation, including the reports and recommendations of the Commission's standing Committees. The Commission will also hold its deliberative meeting to consider whether to amend the over-order price regulation to establish a supply management program.

DATES: The meeting is scheduled for 10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, June 2, 1999.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Merrimack Hotel and Conference Center, 4 Executive Park Drive, Merrimack, New Hampshire (Exit 11 off the Everett Turnpike).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kenneth M. Becker, Executive Director, Northeast Dairy Compact Commission, 34 Barre Street, Suite 2, Montpelier, VT 05602. Telephone (802) 229-1941.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 7256.

Dated: May 13, 1999.

Kenneth M. Becker,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 99-12546 Filed 5-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1650-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NUREG-1600, Rev. 1]

Revision of NRC Enforcement Policy

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Policy Statement: Amendment.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is amending its

"General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions" (Enforcement Policy) to conform to the amendments to the regulations that govern operators' licenses published in the **Federal Register** as a separate action. Those amendments allow nuclear power facility licensees to prepare, proctor, and grade the written examinations and prepare the operating tests that the NRC uses to evaluate the competence of individuals applying for operator licenses at the facility licensees' plants. Moreover, the amendment requires facility licensees that elect to prepare their own examinations to establish, implement, and maintain procedures to control examination security and integrity, and it clarifies the regulations to ensure that applicants, licensees, and facility licensees understand what it means to compromise the integrity of a required test or examination. Therefore, the Enforcement Policy is being amended to add examples of violations that may be used as guidance in determining the appropriate severity level for violations involving the compromise of applications, tests, and examinations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This action is effective May 19, 1999, while comments are being received. Submit comments on or before June 18, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to: David Meyer, Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch, Office of Administration, Mail Stop: T6D59, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Hand deliver comments to: 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, between 7:45 am and 4:15 pm, Federal workdays. Copies of comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555-0001. Copies of NUREG-1600 may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Mail Stop SSOP, Washington, DC 20402-9328. Copies are also available from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161. Copies are also available for inspection and copying for a fee in the NRC Public Document Room.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: (301) 415-2741; e-mail: jxl@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission's "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC

Enforcement Actions" (Enforcement Policy) was first issued on September 4, 1980. Since that time, the Enforcement Policy has been revised on a number of occasions. On May 13, 1998 (63 FR 26630), the Enforcement Policy was revised in its entirety and was also published as NUREG-1600, Rev. 1. The Enforcement Policy primarily addresses violations by licensees and certain non-licensed persons, as discussed further in the Enforcement Policy in footnote 3 to Section I, "Introduction and Purpose," and in Section X, "Enforcement Action Against Non-Licensees."

By a separate action published in the **Federal Register**, the NRC is amending its regulations in 10 CFR Part 55 to allow nuclear power facility licensees to prepare, proctor, and grade the written examinations and prepare the operating tests that the NRC uses to evaluate the competence of individuals applying for operator licenses at the facility licensees' plants. Section 107 of the Atomic Energy Act (AEA) of 1954, as amended, requires the NRC to determine the qualifications of individuals applying for operator licenses, to prescribe uniform conditions for licensing such individuals, and to issue licenses as appropriate. Pursuant to the AEA, 10 CFR part 55 requires applicants for operator licenses to pass an examination that satisfies the basic content requirements specified in the regulation. Because the NRC considers the integrity of the licensing tests and examinations to be essential to the safe operation of nuclear facilities, the NRC is also amending 10 CFR 55.49 to clarify that the integrity of a test or examination required by 10 CFR part 55 is considered compromised if any activity, regardless of intent, affected, or but for detection, would have affected the equitable and consistent administration of the test or examination. Moreover, the NRC is amending 10 CFR part 55 to require power reactor facility licensees that elect to prepare their own examinations to establish, implement, and maintain procedures to control examination security and integrity.

The NRC intends to use its enforcement authority to emphasize that a compromise of an application, test, or examination required by 10 CFR part 55 cannot be accepted. Therefore, the NRC is amending the Enforcement Policy by adding examples of violations in Supplement I, "Reactor Operations," to provide guidance in determining the appropriate severity level for violations involving the compromise of an application, test, or examination used to evaluate the competence of individuals