

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Albrink, Office of Mobile Sources, Vehicle Programs & Compliance Division, (202) 564-8997, (202) 565-2057 (fax). E-mail address: albrink.steve@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Affected entities:* Entities potentially affected by this action are manufacturers of on-highway light-duty vehicles and light-duty trucks.

Title: Emission Defect Information and Voluntary Emissions Recall Reports (OMB # 2060-0048, EPA ICR # 282.08, approved through 7/31/99.)

Abstract: Some manufacturers of motor vehicles are required to submit two different reports under 40 CFR part 85. These reports are only required where certain conditions involving emission defects or voluntary recalls occur.

The "defect information report" (DIR) contains data regarding the class or engine family and number of vehicles on which a defect has been found, and a description of the defect and its effects on vehicle performance and emissions. The Agency uses the DIR to help identify emission-related defects or classes of vehicles which may not comply with federal emissions standards.

The "voluntary emission recall" (VER) report contains data on voluntary recall campaigns conducted by manufacturers, including the procedures used by the manufacturers to conduct voluntary recall campaigns, the identification of vehicles or engines affected by the campaign, and the repair to be completed on recalled vehicles; progress or quarterly updates of the VER reports track the number of vehicles repaired. The Agency uses the VER report and progress reports to ensure that manufacturers are following acceptable procedures when conducting recalls and to track the progress and effectiveness of voluntary recall campaigns. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15.

EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of the appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: The Agency projects the cost to the public of this ICR is estimated to be 1256 hours and \$85,007. A respondent's burden for a defect information report is estimated to be 14 hours per report. The estimated frequency per respondent is expected to average 5.1 responses per year. It is estimated that there will be an average of 12 respondents submitting defect information reports per year.

A respondent's burden for a voluntary emissions recall report and the follow-up progress reports is estimated to be 3.5 hours and 14 hours, respectively, per voluntary emissions recall report. The estimated frequency per respondent is expected to average 3.8 voluntary recall reports per year. It is estimated that there will be an average of 6 respondents submitting voluntary emissions recall reports per year.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjusting the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; training personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; searching data sources; completing and reviewing the collection of information; and transmitting or otherwise disclosing the information.

Dated: May 13, 1999.

Robert D. Brenner,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 99-12944 Filed 5-20-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6242-7]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed May 10, 1999 Through May 14, 1999

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9

EIS No. 990157, DRAFT EIS, BLM, WY, South Baggs Natural Gas Development Area, Proposal to Drill and Develop 50 Natural Gas Wells, Application for Permit to Drill and COE Section 404 Permit, Carbon County, WY, Due: July 21, 1999, Contact: Larry Jackson (307) 328-4231.

EIS No. 990158, DRAFT EIS, AFS, UT, Pretty Tree Bench Vegetation Project, Implementation, Dixie National Forest, Escalante Ranger District, Garfield County, UT, Due: July 06, 1999, Contact: Kevin Schulkoski (435) 826-5400.

EIS No. 990159, DRAFT EIS, AFS, MT, Nevada/Dalton Project, Implementation of Fire Treatment, Timber Harvest, Travel Management of Road, Helena National Forest, Lincoln Ranger District, Lewis & Clark and Powell Counties, MT, Due: July 06, 1999, Contact: Thomas J. Andersen (406) 449-5201 ext. 277.

EIS No. 990160, DRAFT EIS, FHW, MD, Middle River Employment Center Access Study, Transportation Improvements, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permit, Baltimore County, MD, Due: July 16, 1999, Contact: Ms. Mary Huie (410) 962-4342 ext. 148.

EIS No. 990161, DRAFT EIS, FHW, NY, Miller Highway Project, Relocation of Miller Highway between West 59th Street to West 72nd Streets on the Upper West Side of Manhattan, (P.I.N. 103.37), Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, New York County, NY, Due: July 06, 1999, Contact: Jim Brown (212) 465-5000.

EIS No. 990162, DRAFT EIS, USN, GU, Surplus Navy Property Identified in the Guam Land Use Plan (GLUP '94) for Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, GU, Due: July 06, 1999, Contact: Gerald Gibbons (808) 471-9338.

EIS No. 990163, DRAFT EIS, BLM, CA, Soledad Canyon Sand and Gravel Mining Project, Proposal to Mine, Produce and Sell Sand and Gravel, Private Owned and Federally Owned Lands, Transit Mixed Concrete, Los

Angeles County, CA, Due: July 06, 1999, Contact: Ms. Elena Misquez (760) 251-4804.

EIS No. 990164, FINAL EIS, TVA, TN, GA, TN, Peaking Capacity Additions, Construction and Operation of Natural Gas-Fired Combustion Turbines, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits; Three Sites Proposed: Colbert Fossil Plant, Colbert County, AL, Gallatin Fossil Plant, Sumner County, TN and Johnsonville Fossil Plant, Humphreys County, TN, Due: June 21, 1999, Contact: Gregory L. Askew (423) 632-6418.

EIS No. 990165, FINAL SUPPLEMENT, SFW, WA, Plum Creek Timber Sale, Issuance of a Permit to Allow Incidental Take and Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) for Threatened and Endangered Species, Implementation, Updated Information on the Proposed Exchange of Private and Federal Lands Eastern and Western Cascade Provinces in the Cascade Mountains, King and Kittitas Counties, WA, Due: June 21, 1999, Contact: William O. Vogel (360) 753-9440.

EIS No. 990166, FINAL EIS, FAA, ADOPTION—Colorado Airspace Initiative, Modifications to the National Airspace System, such as the F-16 Aircraft and Aircrews of the 140th Wing of the Colorado Air National Guard, also existing Military Operations Area (MOAs) and Military Training Routes (MTRs), CO, NM, KS, NB and WY, Due: June 21, 1999, Contact: Elizabeth Gaffin (202) 267-7899.

The U.S. Department of Transportation's, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has adopted the United States Air Force's, Air National Guard FEIS #970325 filed 8-15-97. FAA was not a Cooperating Agency for the above final EIS. Recirculating of the document is necessary under § 1506.3(b) of the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations.

Amended Notices EIS No. 990143, DRAFT EIS, TPT, CA, Presidio of San Francisco General Management Plan, Implementation, New Development and Uses within the Letterman Complex, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, City and County of San Francisco, CA, Due: June 26, 1999, Contact: John Pelka (415) 561-5300.

Published FR-04-30-99—Correction to Due Date.

Dated: May 18, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of the Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99-12958 Filed 5-20-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6242-8]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared April 26, 1999 Through April 30, 1999 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 9, 1999 (64 FR 17362).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-BLM-A99217-00 Rating EO2, Programmatic EIS—Surface Management Regulations for Locatable Mineral Operations, (43 CFR part 3809), Public Land.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections regarding environmental performance standards and goals; bonding, reclamation and monitoring plans; and implementing of the definition of unnecessary and undue degradation. EPA also commented on state government coordination, most appropriate technology and practices, and protections for riparian areas. EPA requested that these issues be addressed in the final EIS and proposed rule.

ERP No. D-COE-E39046-00 Rating EC2, Apalachicola-Chattahoochee-Flint (AFC) River Basin Water Allocation, Allocation Formula Approval, FL and GA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern that the Draft EIS may not adequately assess the impacts of the water allocation formulas. EPA recommended that comprehensive river basin water quality models be developed to predict impacts to indigenous fish and aquatic life, water quality, consumptive uses, groundwater and recreation for the affected reservoirs and rivers within each basin. EPA also recommended that a baseline be established that would define the water needs for the river basins to function in an acceptable

manner and that would delineate the limit for maximum water withdrawals.

ERP No. D-COE-E39047-AL Rating EC2, Jackson Port Project, Proposal for the Public Port Facilities on the Tombigbee River, City of Jackson, Clark County, AL.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns over the potential impacts to the federal portion of this project, i.e., the spur canal. In regard to the City of Jackson's planned phased development, which will convert important bottom land hardwood habit to commercial property, EPA expressed objections and requested additional information.

ERP No. D-COE-L32010-OR Rating EC2, Columbia and Lower Willamette River Federal Navigation Channel, Improvement Channel Deepening, OR and WA.

Summary: EPA expressed concern regarding the lack of information on upland and instream dredged disposal sites; impacts of the new channel and sediment regimes in the Columbia and Willamette Rivers; cumulative impacts from past, present and future activities in the project area; the absence of firm commitments to implement and follow through on the referenced proposed Ecosystem Restoration measures; and the relationship between the proposed dredging activities and the future decision on whether to draw down the John Day Reservoir and selected dams on the Lower Snake River.

ERP No. D-FHW-K50013-00 Rating EC2, US 93 Hoover Dam Bypass Project, Construction of a New Bridge and Highway, Funding, Right-of-Way Easement, US Coast Guard, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits, Federal Lands—Lake Mead National Recreation Area and Hoover Dam Reservation, Clark County, NV and Mohave County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding cumulative effects, indirect impacts (particularly regarding utility relocations), excavation, erosion and runoff impacts, hazardous materials impacts and recreational impacts.

ERP No. D-FTA-L40210-WA Rating EC2, Central Link Light Rail Transit Project, (Sound Transit) Construct and Operate an Electric Rail Transit System, Funding and COE Section 10 and 404 Permits in the Cities of Seattle, Sea Tac and Tuckwila, King County, WA.

Summary: EPA's concerns relate to the lack of evaluation of options to offset impacts to salmon, ecosystems, and neighborhoods; the need to expand the cumulative effects analysis; and the need to have clearly defined mitigation measures in the EIS.

ERP No. D-IBR-K39056-CA Rating EC2, Contra Loma Reservoir Project,