- (c) Provide examples, where appropriate, that illustrate the matter addressed;
- (d) Identify any relevant legal authorities applicable to the matter being addressed; and
- (e) Provide suggestions regarding how the matter should be addressed by the USPTO.

III. Guidelines for Oral Testimony

Individuals wishing to testify must adhere to the following guidelines:

- 1. Anyone wishing to testify at the hearing(s) must request an opportunity to do so no later than June 21, 1999 for the June 28, 1999 hearing, or July 7, 1999 for the July 14, 1999 hearing. Requests to testify may be accepted on the date of the hearing if sufficient time is available on the schedule. No one will be permitted to testify without prior approval.
- 2. Requests to testify must include the speaker's name, affiliation and title, mailing address, telephone number, and hearing date desired. Facsimile number and Internet mail address, if available, should also be provided. Parties may include in their request an indication as to whether they wish to testify during the morning or afternoon session of the hearing.
- 3. Speakers will be given between five and fifteen minutes to present their remarks. The exact amount of time allocated per speaker will be determined after the final number of parties testifying has been determined. All efforts will be made to accommodate requests for additional time for testimony presented before the day of the hearing.
- 4. Speakers may provide a written copy of their testimony for inclusion in the record of the proceedings. These remarks should be provided no later than August 2, 1999.
- 5. A schedule providing the approximate starting time for each speaker will be distributed the morning of the day of the hearing. Speakers are advised that the schedule for testimony will be subject to change during the course of the hearings.

IV. Guidelines for Written Comments

Written comments should include the following information:

1. Name and affiliation of the individual responding; and

2. If applicable, indications of whether comments offered represent views of the respondent's organization or are the respondent's personal views.

If possible, parties offering testimony or written comments should provide their comments in machine-readable format. Such submissions may be provided by electronic mail messages sent over the Internet, or on a 3.5" floppy disk formatted for use in either a Macintosh or MS–DOS based computer. Machine-readable submissions should be provided as unformatted text (e.g., ASCII or plain text), or as formatted text in one of the following file formats: Microsoft Word (Macintosh, DOS, or Windows versions); or WordPerfect (Macintosh, DOS, or Windows versions).

Information that is provided pursuant to this notice will be made part of a public record and may be available via the Internet. In view of this, parties should not submit information that they do not wish to be publicly disclosed or made electronically accessible. Parties who would like to rely on confidential information to illustrate a point are requested to summarize or otherwise submit the information in a way that will permit its public disclosure.

Dated: May 21, 1999.

Robert M. Anderson,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce and Acting Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.

[FR Doc. 99–13440 Filed 5–26–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–16–P

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Corporation for National and Community Service (hereinafter the 'Corporation'') has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of these individual ICRs, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Corporation for National and Community Service, William Ward, (202) 606–5000, extension 375. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY-TDD) may call (202) 565-2799 between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

Comments should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Corporation for National and Community Service, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, D.C. 20503, (202) 395–7316, within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Corporation, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Propose ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Propose ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information to those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Type of Review: New approval.
Agency: Corporation for National and
Community Service.

Title: Learn and Serve America Project Description Form.

OMB Number: None. Agency Number: None.

Affected Public: Educators and other institutional personnel whose organizations receive grant funds from Learn and Serve America.

Total Respondents: 2,100. Frequency: Annually. Estimated Time per Respondent: 1 hour.

Estimated Annual Reporting or Disclosure Burden: 2,100 hours. Total Annualized Capital/startup costs: None.

Total Annualized Burden Costs: None.

Description: The Corporation seeks approval of the Learn and Serve America Project Description Form. The form will ask Learn and Serve America grantees and their sub-grantees to: (1) Identify the frequency and types of student participants in service-learning programs; (2) identify the frequency and types of institutions and organizations sponsoring and collaborating with service-learning programs; (3) specify the types of services being provided to communities by students in servicelearning; and (4) describe the local program operations and achievements. The information will be used to: (1) Measure performance in terms set forth in the annual performance plan; (2) prepare descriptions of program activities and achievements with support from Learn and Serve America; (3) inform the Corporation, grantees, educational institutions, and the public

concerning the nature, extent, and best practices in service-learning programs across the nation.

Dated: May 21, 1999.

Thomas L. Bryant,

Associate General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 99-13518 Filed 5-26-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050-28-U

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of The Army

Army Science Board Notice of Partially Closed Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), announcement is made of the following Committee Meeting:

Name of Committee: Army Science Board (ASB).

Date of Meeting: 9 & 10 June 1999. Time of Meeting: 0800–1700 (both days). Place: Arlington, VA.

Agenda: The Army Science Board's (ASB) Summer Study panel on "Full Spectrum Protection for 2025-Era Ground Platforms" will meet for briefings and discussions. These meetings will be partially open to the public. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the committee at the time and manner permitted by the committee. The classified portions of these meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b(c) of Title 5, U.S.C., specifically subparagraph (1) thereof, and Title 5, U.S.C., Appendix 2, subsection 10(d). For further information, please contact the Army Science Board at (703) 604 - 7479.

Wayne Joyner,

Program Support Manager, Army Science Board.

[FR Doc. 99–13521 Filed 5–26–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Record of Decision for Increased Flight and Related Operations in the Patuxent River Complex, Patuxent River, MD

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of record of decision.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy, after carefully considering the operational and environmental consequences, announces its decision to increase flight and related operations in test areas comprising the Patuxent River Complex, MD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Elleen Kane, NAS Patuxent River Public

Affairs, 2268 Cedar Point Road, Bldg 409, Patuxent River, MD 20670, telephone 301–342–7710.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The text of the entire Record of Decision (ROD) is provided as follows:

The Department of the Navy (DON), pursuant to Section 102(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (42 U.S.C. Section 4331 et seq.) and regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) that implement NEPA procedures (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), hereby announces its decision to increase flight and related operations in test areas comprising the Patuxent River Complex, MD as set forth in Operational Workload III, which is identified as Preferred Alternative in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS).

Operational Workload Alternative III provides for up to 24,400 flight hours per year, including up to 21,100 annual flight hours for research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E) activities and related support, and up to 3,300 annual flight hours of military training support. Non-flight and laboratory test activities will operate at levels proportional to the increase in flight operations. This level of future operations is based on foreseeable mission requirements and the complex's unique airfield, facility, and range capabilities. As a result, the complex will have the flexibility to accept new and variable workloads, thereby increasing efficiencies and lowering costs to users.

The test areas involved are under the exclusive control and scheduling authority of the Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division (NAWCAD). They include Naval Air Station (NAS) Patuxent River (with all its flight and ground test facilities, runways, and associated airspace); Outlying Field (OLF) Webster Field (with its flight test facilities, runways, and associated airspace); and the Chesapeake Test Range (CTR) (including its restricted airspace, aerial and surface firing range, and Hooper, Hannibal, and Tangier Island targets). Combined, these test areas are identified as the Patuxent River Complex.

Implementation of the action will be phased in as needed to support additional workloads beginning in mid-1999.

Process

A Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an EIS for increased flight and related operations in the Patuxent River Complex was published in the **Federal Register** on April 1, 1997, and in local and regional newspapers twice, one and three weeks prior to the scoping meetings. Five public scoping meetings were held between May 6 and May 15, of 1997 in Prince Frederick, MD; Leonardtown, MD; Burgess, VA; Crisfield, MD; and Cambridge, MD. Comments received during the public scoping meetings were considered in the preparation of the Draft EIS (DEIS).

A Notice of Availability (NOA) for the DEIS was published in the Federal Register on May 15, 1998 and in local and regional newspapers twice, one and three weeks prior to the scheduled hearing dates. Public hearings were held June 10 through June 22 of 1998, in Lusby, MD; Cambridge, MD; Heathsville, VA; and Great Mills, MD. The DON received 330 comments on the DEIS from 2 Congressmen, 4 federal agencies, 17 state agencies, 2 regional agencies, 6 local governments, 11 nongovernmental organizations, and 93 private citizens. All verbal and written comments are addressed in Chapter 10 of the FEIS.

The NOA for the FEIS was published in the **Federal Register** on December 18, 1998. Public notices and news releases noting the availability of the FEIS were published in local and regional newspapers the following week. Copies of the FEIS and DEIS are available for public review in 18 repositories around Chesapeake Bay and will continue to be available for 60 days following the signing of this Record of Decision. The DON received 29 public comments on the FEIS during the 30-day public comment period.

Alternatives Considered

The three alternatives considered in this FEIS focus on the efficient use of existing facilities and personnel in the Patuxent River Complex and provide for the continuation of and increase in RDT&E flight operations and non-flight laboratory activities, and additional support for military training activities. The preferred alternative (Operational Workload Alternative III) could accommodate up to 24,400 flight hours per year. Operational Workload Alternatives I and II could accommodate up to 20,700 and 22,600 flight hours per year, respectively. Implementation of any alternative will: (1) Maintain existing boundaries of the special use airspace and restricted surface areas in the CTR; (2) continue airfield daily operating hours at current, or slightly modified operating hours; (3) require no additional permanent and transient employees at NAS Patuxent River and OLF Webster Field or construction of major new facilities beyond those constructed as a result of previous Base