ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6243-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared May 3, 1999 Through May 7, 1999 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7176. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 9, 1999 (64 FR 17362).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-L65321-00 Rating EC2, Douglas-fir Beetle Project, Harvest Tree, Regenerated Forest, Aquatic Restoration and Fuels Reduction, Idaho Panhandle National Forest, Coeur d'Alene River and Priest Lake Ranger District and Colville National Forest, Newport Ranger District, Kootenai, Shoshone and Bonner Counties, ID and Pend Orielle County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the document's discussion of purpose and need, alternatives, monitoring and road management. EPA requested that these issues be clarified in the final document. In addition, EPA requested that the Forest Service developed a comprehensive plan for dealing with beetle damaged timber in an area that covers nearly 270,100 acres.

ERP No. D-COE-E39032-AL Rating EC2, Alabama-Coosa-Tallapoosa (ACT) River Basin Compact, Water Allocation, several counties, AL and GA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns that the Draft EIS may not adequately assess the impacts of the water allocation formulas. EPA recommended that comprehensive river basin water quality models be developed to predict impacts to indigenous fish and aquatic life, water quality, consumptive uses, groundwater and recreation for the affected reservoirs and rivers within each basin. EPA also recommended that a baseline be established that would define the water needs for the rivers basins to function in an acceptable manner and that would delineate the limit for maximum water withdrawals.

ERP No. D-DOE-G60007-NM Rating EC2, The Conveyance and Transfer of Certain Land Tracts Administered by the US DOE and Located at Los Alamos

National Laboratory, Los Alamos and Santa Fe Counties, NM.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns since there is no assurance that the measures identified would be implemented by the transferee once transfer occurs. EPA suggests that DOE consider imposing deed restrictions and easements for those transfer lands having resources considered sensitive and having natural, cultural, historical and environmental importance. Further discussion on this matter should be incorporated in the FEIS.

ERP No. D-TVA-E05122-00 Rating EC2, Peaking Capacity Additions, Construction and Operation of Natural Gas-Fired Combustion Turbines, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits; Three Sites Proposed: Colbert Fossil Plant, Colbert County, AL, Gallatin Fossil Plant, Sumner County, TN and Johnsonville Fossil Plant, Humphreys County, TN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding coordination of air quality Prevention of Significant Deterioration permits. EPA also requested additional information on commitments for mitigation and the impact related to the natural gas pipeline alternative routes for the Colbert Plant.

ERP No. DS-NOA-B91005-00 Rating LO, Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan, Updated Information concerning Overfishing of Red Hake and Silver Hake Fishiers, Northeast United States.

Summary: Review of this EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-DOE-E00007-SC Tritium Extraction Facility (TEF), Construction and Operation near the Center of Savannah River Site at H Area, (DOE/EIS-0271), Aiken and Barnwell Counties, SC.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns regarding response to accidental releases. These issues should be addressed in further detail during the design and permitting phases of the project.

ERP No. F-DOE-E09802-00 Commercial Light Water Reactor for the Production of Tritium at one or more Facilities: Watt Bar 1. Spring City, TN; Sequoyah 1 and 2 Soddy Daisy, TN; Bellefonte Unit 1 and 2, Holloywood, AL, Approval of Permits and Licenses, (DOE/EIS-0288) TN and AL.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns regarding potential air quality impacts. These issues should be addressed in further detail during the design and permitting phases of the project.

ERP No. F–DOE–E09803–SC Accelerator for Production of Tritium at the Savannah River Site (DOE/EIS– 0270), Site Specific, Construction and Operation, Aiken and Barnwell Counties, SC.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns regarding wetlands, groundwater, and surface water impacts.

ERP No. FA–NOA–B91017–00 Atlantic Sea Scallop, Placopecten Magellanicus, (Gmelin), Fishery Management Plan (FMP), Updated and Additional Information, Amendment No. 7.

Summary: Review of the EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: May 25, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, Office of Federal Activities.
[FR Doc. 99–13665 Filed 5–27–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6242-9]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 OR (202) 564–7153. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements. Filed May 17, 1999 Through May 21, 1999. Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 990167, Draft EIS, FHW, AK, C Street Corridor Project, Improvements from O'Malley Road to International Airport Road, NPDES and COE. Section 404 Permits, Municipality of Anchorage, AK, Due: July 12, 1999, Contact: Jim Bryson (907) 596–7428.

EIS No. 990168, Final EIS, AFS, AK, Sea Level Harvest Timber Sale, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Ketchikan Ranger District, U.S. Coast Guard Permit, NPDES and COE. Section 10 and 404 Permits, Revillagigedo (Revilla) Island/ Cleveland Peninsula, AK, Due: June 28, 1999, Contact: Craig Trulock (907) 228–4125.

EIS No. 990169, Final EIS, USA, Stratford Army Engine Plant (SAEP) Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, City of Stratford, Fairfield and New Haven Counties, CT, Due: June 28, 1999, Contact: Fred McLaren (703) 697–0115.

EIS No. 990170, Draft EIS, FTA, NY, Mid-Harlem Third Track Project, Construct a New 2.5 mile Third Track between Fleetwood and Crestwood Stations, Funding, Westchester County, NY, Due: July 12, 1999, Contact: Anthony Carr (212) 668–2170.

EIS No. 990171, Final EIS, DOA, MN, SD, Lincoln-Pipestone Rural Water (LPRW), Development and Expansion of Existing System North/Lyon County Phase and Northeast Phase Expansion Project, Yellow Medicine, Lincoln and Lyon Counties, MN and Deuel County, SD, Due: June 28, 1999, Contact: Mark S. Plank (202) 720–1649.

EIS No. 990172, Regulatory Final EIS, NOA, ME, VT, CT, NH, MA, RI, American Lobster Fishery Management Plan, Implementation, To Prevent Overfishing of American Lobster and to Rebuild Lobster Stocks, Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) off the New England and Mid-Atlantic, Due: June 28, 1999, Contact: Penelope D. Dalton (301) 713–2239.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 990100, Draft Supplement, COE, MO, St. Johns Bayou and New Madrid Floodway, Channel Enlargement and Improvement, Flood Control, National Economic Development (NED) Mississippi River & Tributaries, MO, Due: June 25, 1999, Contact: John Rumancik (901) 544–3975. Published FR—05–28–99—Review Period Extended.

EIS No. 990120, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Tobacco Root Vegetation Management Plan, Restore and Maintain a Mix Vegetation, Beaverhead-Deer Lodge National Forest, Madison Ranger District, Madison County, MT, Due: June 15, 1999, Contact: Jan M. Bowey (406) 842–5432. Published FR 05–28– 99. Review Period Extended.

EIS No. 990126, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, North Belts Travel Plan/Maypie Confederate Vegetation Restoration Project, Improvements, Helena National Forest, Townsend and Helena Ranger District, Broadwater, Lewis and Clark and Meagher Counties, MT, Due: July 21, 1999, Contact: Carol Nunn (406) 266–3425. Published FR 05–28–99—Review Period extended.

EIS No. 990161, Draft EIS, FHW, NY, Miller Highway Project (P.I.N. 103.27), Relocation of Miller Highway between West 59th Street to West 72nd Streets, on the Upper West Side of Manhattan, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, New York County, NY, Due: July 21, 1999, Contact: Arnold Hausler (518) 431– 4125. Published FR-05-28-99— Correction to PIN No., Due Date, Agency Contact and Telephone.

Dated: May 25, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, Office of Federal Activities.
[FR Doc. 99–13666 Filed 5–27–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Public Information Collections Approved by Office of Management and Budget

May 21, 1999.

The Federal Communications
Commission (FCC) has received Office
of Management and Budget (OMB)
approval for the following public
information collections pursuant to the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995,
Public Law 104–13. An agency may not
conduct or sponsor and a person is not
required to respond to a collection of
information unless it displays a
currently valid control number. For
further information contact Shoko B.
Hair, Federal Communications
Commission, (202) 418–1379.

Federal Communications Commission

OMB Control No.: 3060–0848.
Expiration Date: 11/30/99.
Title: Deployment of Wireline
Services Offering Advanced
Telecommunications Capability, CC
Docket No. 98–147, FCC 99–48, First
Report and Order and Further Notice of
Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM).
Form No.: N/A.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Estimated Annual Burden: 1400 respondents; 4.5 hours per response (avg.); 6300 total annual burden hours for all collections.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: \$0.

Frequency of Response: On occasion; third party disclosures.

Description: In the First Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, issued in CC Docket No. 98–147, Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability, released March 31, 1999, the Commission seeks to implement Congress's goal of promoting innovation and investment by all participating in the telecommunications marketplace, in order to stimulate competition for all services, including advanced services.

In fulfillment of this goal, the Commission imposes certain collections of information on all incumbent local exchange carriers (incumbent LECs): (a) List of Equipment, Affidavit—Whenever an incumbent LEC objects to collocation of equipment by a requesting telecommunications carrier for the purposes within the scope of section 251(c)(6) of the Act, the incumbent LEC shall prove to the state commission that the equipment will not be actually used by the telecommunications carrier for the purpose of obtaining interconnection or access to unbundled network elements. An incumbent LEC that denies collocation of a competitor's equipment, citing safety standards, must provide to the competitive LEC within five business days a list of all equipment that the incumbent LEC locates within the premises in question, together with an affidavit attesting that all of that equipment meets or exceeds the safety standard that the incumbent LEC contends the competitor's equipment fails to meet. See 47 CFR 51.323(b). (No. of respondents: 1400; hours per response: 1 hour; total annual burden: 1400 hours). (b) Space Limitation Documentation—An incumbent LEC shall submit to the state commission, subject to any protective order as the state commission may deem necessary, detailed floor plans or diagrams of any premises where the incumbent LEC claims that physical collocation is not practical because of space limitations. An incumbent LEC that contends space for physical collocation is not available in an incumbent LEC premises must also allow the requesting carrier to tour the entire premises in question, not just the room in which space was denied, without charge, within ten days of the receipt of the incumbent LEC's denial of space. Section 51.321(f) is currently approved by OMB under OMB control number 3060-0710. The Commission has amended the rule section to require that ILECs allow CLECs to tour their facility. However, no new or modified paperwork requirements are made. See 47 CFR 51.321(f). (c) Report of Available Collocation Space—Upon request, an incumbent LEC must submit to the requesting carrier within ten days of the submission of the request a report indicating the incumbent LEC's available collocation space in a particular LEC premises. This report must specify the amount of collocation space available at each requested premises, the number of collocators, and any modifications in the use of the space since the last report. The incumbent LEC must maintain a publicly available document, posted for