

release of a draft management plan/environmental impact statement, and any proposed amendments to the regulations; public review and comment; preparation and release of a final management plan/environmental impact statement, and any final amendments to the regulations. NOAA anticipates completion of the revised management plan and concomitant documents will require approximately eighteen to twenty-four months. NOAA will conduct public scoping meetings to gather information and other comments from individuals, organizations, and government agencies on the scope, types and significance of issues related to the sanctuary's management plan and regulations. The scoping meetings are scheduled for the weeks of June 21 and July 5, 1999, as detailed below.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before July 27, 1999.

Scoping meetings will be held:

(1) Monday, June 21, 1999, 6:30pm in Lompoc.

(2) Tuesday, June 22, 1999, 6:30pm in Santa Barbara.

(3) Wednesday, June 23, 1999, 6:30pm in Oxnard.

(4) Thursday, June 24, 1999, 6:30pm in Long Beach.

(5) Friday, June 25, 1999, 6:30pm in Ventura.

(6) Wednesday, July 14, 1999, 2:00pm in Washington, D.C.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be sent to the Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary (Management Plan Review), 113 Harbor Way, Santa Barbara, California 93109. Comments will be available for public review at the same address.

Scoping meetings will be held at:

(1) Cabrillo High School, Room SS-5, 4350 Constellation Rd., Lompoc, CA 93456.

(2) Chase Palm Park Center, 323 East Cabrillo, Santa Barbara, CA 93103.

(3) Casa Sirena Hotel and Marina, 3605 Peninsula Rd., Oxnard, CA 93035.

(4) Long Beach Aquarium Theatre, Long Beach, CA 90802.

(5) Sheraton 4-Points, Windjammers Meeting Room, 1080 Navigation, Ventura, CA 93001.

(6) Herbert C. Hoover Building, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Anne Walton, Management Plan Specialist, at (805) 884-1470.

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. Section 1431 *et seq.* (Federal Domestic Assistance Catalog Number 11.429 Marine Sanctuary Program)

Dated: June 4, 1999.

**John Oliver,**

*Chief Financial Officer/Chief Administrative Officer, National Ocean Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-14717 Filed 6-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-08-M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Internal Revenue Service

#### 26 CFR Part 301

[REG-116824-98]

RIN 1545-AW91

#### Notice and Opportunity for Hearing Upon Filing of Notice of Lien; Hearing Cancellation

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Cancellation of notice of public hearing on proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This document provides notice of cancellation of a public hearing on proposed regulations relating to the notification required to be provided to any taxpayer named in a notice of lien under section 6323.

**DATES:** The public hearing originally scheduled for Tuesday, June 15, 1999, at 10 a.m., is cancelled.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael L. Slaughter of the Regulations Unit, Assistant Chief Counsel (Corporate), (202) 622-7180 (not a toll-free number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A notice of proposed rulemaking, and notice of public hearing that appeared in the **Federal Register** on Friday, January 22, 1999 (64 FR 3461), announced that a public hearing was scheduled for Tuesday, June 15, 1999, at 10 a.m., in room 2615, Internal Revenue Building, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. The subject of the public hearing is proposed regulations under section 6323 of the Internal Revenue Code. The public comment period for these proposed regulations expired on Thursday, April 22, 1999. The outlines of topics to be addressed at the hearing were due on Tuesday, June 1, 1999.

The notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of public hearing, instructed those interested in testifying at the public hearing to submit a request to speak and an outline of the topics to be addressed. As of June 7, 1999, no one has requested to speak. Therefore, the

public hearing scheduled for Tuesday, June 15, 1999, is cancelled.

**Cynthia E. Grigsby,**

*Chief, Regulations Unit, Assistant Chief Counsel (Corporate).*

[FR Doc. 99-14797 Filed 6-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[DC036-2017-b; FRL-6356-3]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; District of Columbia; Enhanced Inspection and Maintenance Program

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are proposing to convert our conditional approval of the District of Columbia's enhanced inspection and maintenance (I/M) program as a revision to the District of Columbia State Implementation Plan (SIP) to a full approval. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, we are converting our conditional approval of the District's I/M SIP to a full approval as a direct final rule without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial action and we anticipate no adverse comments. If we receive no adverse comments, we will not take further action on this proposed rule. If we receive adverse comments, we will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. We will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Anyone interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by July 12, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to: David L. Arnold, Chief, Ozone and Mobile Sources Branch, Mailcode 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. You may inspect copies of the documents relevant to this action during normal business hours at the following locations: Air Protection Division, 14th floor, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460; and District of

Columbia Department of Public Health, Air Quality Division, 2100 Martin Luther King Avenue, S.E., Washington, DC 20020. Please contact Catherine L. Magliocchetti at (215) 814-2174 if you wish to arrange an appointment to view the docket at the Philadelphia office.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Catherine L. Magliocchetti, (215) 814-2174, or by e-mail at magliocchetti.catherine@epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action, Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; District of Columbia; Enhanced Inspection and Maintenance Program, that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

Dated: May 27, 1999.

**W. Michael McCabe,**

*Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 99-14594 Filed 6-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR PART 20

[CC Docket No. 94-102; DA 99-1049]

#### Compatibility of Wireless Services With Enhanced 911; Request for Comment on Wireless E911 Phase II Automatic Location Identification Requirements

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** This document requests comment on several issues relating to implementation of Phase II of the Commission's Enhanced 911 (E911) service rules. The Commission's E911 Rules require that covered wireless carriers deploy Automatic Location Identification (ALI) as part of E911 service beginning October 1, 2001, provided certain conditions are met. The Commission has expressed concern that the effect of this rule may not be technologically or competitively neutral for certain technologies, and expressed its willingness to consider such issues either in the E911 rulemaking or in response to requests for waivers. In response to a document released on December 24, 1998, a number of parties filed waiver requests and responsive pleadings. This document solicits comments on a variety of related issues in order to expedite decisionmaking on whether or not to promulgate Phase II

standards in light of the potential availability of handset-based technologies.

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before June 17, 1999, and reply comments are due on or before July 2, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mindy Littell, 202-418-1310, or Dan Grosh, 202-418-1310.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Public Notice in CC Docket No. 94-102, DA 99-1049, released June 1, 1999. The complete text of the Public Notice is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Reference Information Center, Federal Communications Commission, Court Yard Level, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554, and also may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc. (ITS), CY-B400, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554.

#### Synopsis of the Public Notice

1. Section 20.18(e) of the Commission's E911 rules currently require that covered wireless carriers deploy ALI as part of E911 service beginning October 1, 2001, provided certain conditions are met. This rule was adopted in the First Report and Order (61 FR 40348, August 2, 1996) and provides that covered carriers must provide the location of all 911 calls by longitude and latitude such that the accuracy for all calls is 125 meters or less using a Root Mean Square (RMS) methodology. The Commission, in a Memorandum Opinion and Order in this proceeding (63 FR 2631, January 16, 1998) (E911 Reconsideration Order), the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (the Bureau) responded to concerns that the effect of section 20.18(e) might not be technologically and competitively neutral for some technologies that might be used to provide ALI, particularly handset-based technologies such as those using the Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) system. In addition, the Commission indicated its willingness to consider such issues either in the E911 rulemaking or in response to requests for waivers. In a Public Notice released late last year (64 FR 3478, January 22, 1999) (Waiver Public Notice), the Commission set forth guidelines and a filing schedule to assist those interested in filing waivers to section 20.18(e). The Waiver Public Notice also sought comment on the accuracy standards that should apply to handset-based solutions

as part of the Phase II requirements or as a condition of any Phase II waiver that the Commission would grant. A number of parties filed waiver requests and other pleadings in response to the Waiver Public Notice.

2. In order to expedite decisionmaking on whether or not to promulgate Phase II standards in light of the potential availability of handset-based technologies, the Bureau released another Public Notice seeking targeted comment on: (1) whether to adopt standards for handset approaches similar to those outlined in two specific proposals submitted in the proceeding; (2) how specifically to handle the issues of roaming and handset turnover; and (3) whether the Commission should clarify or modify its methodology for determining ALI accuracy under Phase II. Comments submitted in response to this Public Notice will be included in the pending wireless E911 docket, and be utilized by the Commission in its further development of policies and rules for wireless E911 deployment, as well as potentially, in its consideration of the pending waiver requests.

3. First, based on the waiver petitions filed in response to the Waiver Public Notice and the comment received on those petitions, the Public Notice is seeking targeted comment on certain standards for handset-based solutions proposed by interested parties, including two proposals filed since the end of the formal pleading cycle on the Waiver Public Notice. Under both proposals, carriers deploying a handset-based solution would be required to start providing ALI on wireless 911 calls before the October 1, 2001, deadline and to provide ALI to a greater degree of accuracy than required under the Commission's rules.

4. One proposal was filed by SnapTrack, a developer of a handset-based solution incorporating GPS technology. SnapTrack has proposed conditions under which, it argues, carriers deploying a handset-based solution should be deemed compliant with the Phase II requirements. According to SnapTrack, the Commission should deem carriers to be in compliance if they: (1) begin to deploy location-capable handsets by January 1, 2001; (2) deploy only location-capable handsets after December 31, 2001; and (3) achieve location accuracy of 90 meters using circular error probability (CEP) methodology.

5. A second proposal was filed by APCO, an association of public safety communications officials. APCO proposed that the Commission permit a carrier to implement a handset-based