Improvements, MO–13 from US 24 in Lexington to Truman Reservoir south of Clinton and MO–7 in the immediate area of Clinton, Funding, Lafayette, Johnson and Henry Counties, MO, Due: July 19, 1999, Contact: Don Neumann, (573) 636– 7104.

- EIS No. 990193, DRAFT EIS, FHW, WA, Cross-Base Highway, To Develop a new arterial Roadway between I–5 and WA–7 (Pacific Avenue), Between McChard Air Force Base and Ft. Lewis, Genetic Analysis of Western Gray Squirrels, Major Investment Study, Pierce County, WA, Due: August 31, 1999, Contact: Jim Leonard (360) 753–9408.
- EIS No. 990194, DRAFT EIS, UAF, NV, Nellis Air Force Base, Proposal to Base or Beddown F-22 Aircraft Force Development Evaluation and Weapons School, Clark County, NV, Due: August 02, 1999, Contact: Don Kellogg (703) 652–6552.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 990145, DRAFT EIS, AFS, UT, South Manti Timber Salvage, To address Ecological and Economic Values affected by Spruce Beetle Activity in the South Manti Project, Manti-La National Forest, Ferron-Price and Sanpete Ranger Districts, Sanpete and Sevier Counties, UT, Due: July 21, 1999, Contact: Don Fullmer (435) 637–2817.

Published FR 06–18–99—Review Period extended.

Dated: June 15, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99–15562 Filed 6–17–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6243-8]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared May 24, 1999 through May 28, 1999 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 09, 1999 (64 FR 17362).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–USN–K11097–GU Rating LO, Agana Naval Air Station Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Guam.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of objection to the project.

ERP No. D–USN–K11098–CA Rating EC2, Alameda Naval Air Station and Fleet and Industrial Supply Center, Disposal and Reuse, Alameda Annex and Facility, City of Alameda and Alameda County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns, because the Environmental Impact Report and the DEIS were prepared as separate documents with potentially different mitigation proposals, and due to specific concerns with hazardous materials and wastes.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–AFS–J65291–MT Ash and Iron Mountain Grazing Permit Reissuance, Allotment Gallatin National Forest, Park County, MT.

Summary: EPA review did not identify any potential environmental impacts requiring substantive changes in the proposal, therefore EPA has no objection to the action.

ERP No. F–DOE–K08022–AZ Griffith Energy Project, Construction and Operation, 520-Megawatt (MW) Natural Gas-Fired and Combined Cycle Power Plant, Right-of-Way Grant, Operating Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Kingman, AZ.

Summary: EPA's previous objections have been resolved, therefore EPA has no objection to the action as proposed.

ERP No. F-FTA-J40143-UT University-Downtown-Airport Transportation Corridor, Major Investment Study, Construction and Operation of the East-West Corridor Light Rail Transit (LRT), Transportation System Management (TSM) and Central Business District (CBD), Funding, Salt Lake County, UT.

Summary: EPA's review has not identified any potential impacts, when combined with proposed mitigation measures, that require substantive changes to implementation of preferred alternative.

ERP No. FB-COE-K36009-CA Napa River and Napa Creek Flood Protection Project, New and Refined Information, City of Napa, Napa County, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency. Dated: June 15, 1999. **William D. Dickerson**, *Director, Office of Federal Activities.* [FR Doc. 99–15563 Filed 6–17–99; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–**U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6363-7]

Support of Small Watershed Programs; Request for Proposals and Federal Grant Applications FY 99, U.S. EPA, Region III, Chesapeake Bay Program Office

EPA seeks to award financial assistance to support communities undertaking small-scale watershed projects for the benefit of the Chesapeake Bay and its rivers. Congressional appropriation of \$750,000 has been designated for the Small Watershed Grant Program for fiscal year 1999. Funding will be provided to an intermediary organization under the authority of Section 104(b)(3) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) to make subawards to local governments and communities that are eligible to apply and are engaged in watershed protection. Intermediary organizations that are eligible for financial assistance awards include non-profits, interstate agencies, and educational institutions that have experience with federal grant procedures. Subawards administered by the intermediary organization may support investigations, experiments, surveys, studies, training, and demonstrations (as allowed by Section 104(b)(3) of the CWA) to work towards the protection and restoration of the Chesapeake Bay and its rivers.

Electronic versions of the full Request for Proposals (RFP), links to background information and links to federal grant information are provided at the EPA Region III home page at: http:// www.epa.gov/r3chespk/smallwater/.

All applicants will be required to provide a full federal grant application. A grant application kit and RFPs are available by calling Kim Scalia at 215– 814–5421, by E-mail at : Scalia.Kimberly@EPA.GOV or by visiting: http://www.epa.gov/ogdunix1/ grants.htm

Send signed original and five copies of complete grant application to: Ms. Kim Scalia (3CB00), Chesapeake Bay Program Office, US EPA Region 3, 1650 Arch St., Philadelphia, PA 19103–2029.

All Applications must be Postmarked by July 15, 1999.

EPA Contacts

Mindy Lemoine, Chesapeake Bay Program Office, US EPA Region III, 1650 Arc Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103–2029, 215–814–2736— Lemoine.Mindy@epa.gov

Amanda Bassow, Chesapeake Bay Program Office, US EPA Region III, 410 Severn Ave., Suite 109, Annaplis, MD 21403, 410–267–5723— Bassow.Amanda@epa.gov

William Matuszeski,

Director, Chesapeake Bay Program Office. [FR Doc. 99–15541 Filed 6–17–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6363-8]

RIN 2050-AE50

Office of Solid Waste Burden Reduction Project

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency

ACTION: Notice of data availability and request for comment.

SUMMARY: To meet the goals of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of Solid Waste (OSW) plans to reduce the recordkeeping and reporting burden on states, the public and regulated community associated with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The Paperwork Reduction Act establishes a federal government-wide goal to reduce the recordkeeping and reporting burden on the states, the public and regulated community by 40% from a starting date of 1995 to September 2001. We are working to reduce burden while protecting human health and the environment.

For this Notice of Data Availability (NODA), we reviewed our recordkeeping and reporting requirements and their burden on the states, public, and regulated community; reviewed burden reduction ideas developed by other EPA offices and the regulated community; developed additional burden reduction ideas; and sought input from EPA offices and states. In today's NODA, we are soliciting comment on our ideas and our background documents. These background documents are available on the Internet and in the RCRA Information Center. We plan to issue a proposed rulemaking to implement many of these ideas.

DATES: Written comments must be received by September 20, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Commenters must send an original and two copies of their comments referencing docket number F–1999–IBRA–FFFFF to: RCRA Docket Information Center, Office of Solid Waste (5305G), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. Hand deliveries should be made to the RCRA Information Center at the Arlington, VA address below. Comments also may be submitted electronically via the Internet to: rcra-docket@epamail.epa.gov.

Comments in an electronic format also should reference docket number F– 1999–IBRA–FFFFF. All electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

Commenters should not submit any confidential business information (CBI) electronically. Commenters must submit an original and two copies of CBI under separate cover to: RCRA CBI Document Control Officer, Office of Solid Waste (5305W), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460.

Public comments and technical background information are available for viewing in the RCRA Information Center (RIC), located on the first floor of Crystal Gateway I, 1235 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA. The RIC is open from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. To review docket materials, it is recommended that an appointment be made by calling (703) 603-9230. The public may copy a maximum of 100 pages from the docket at no charge. Additional copies are \$0.15 per page. The docket index and some technical background information materials are also available electronically.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ON THIS NOTICE CALL: The RCRA Hotline. Callers within the Washington Metropolitan Area must dial 703–412–9810 or TDD 703–412– 3323 (hearing impaired). Long-distance callers may call 1–800–424–9346 or TDD 1–800–553–7672. The RCRA Hotline operates weekdays, 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. eastern time. Send written requests to: RCRA Information Center (5305W), U.S. EPA, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Access

Background information materials for this Notice are available on the Internet. Follow the instructions below to access these materials electronically:

WWW: http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/ hazwaste/data/burdenreduction FTP: ftp.epa.gov

Login: anonymous

Password: your Internet address Files are located in /pub/epaoswer

The official record for this action will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, we will transfer all comments received electronically to paper form and place them in the official record. The official record also will include all comments submitted in writing.

Acronyms

- ICR: Information Collection Request
- LDR: Land Disposal Restrictions
- LQG: Large Quantity Generator
- NODA: Notice of Data Availability OSHA: Occupational Safety and Health Administration
- OSW: Office of Solid Waste
- PRA: Paperwork Reduction Act
- RCRA: Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
- TRI: Toxics Release Inventory
- TSDF: Treatment, Storage and Disposal Facility

Index of Notice

Glossary of Terms

- I. Background and Purpose of NODA
- A. What is RCRA?
- B. What is recordkeeping and reporting burden?
- C. Why do we ask you to recordkeep and report?
- D. What are our goals for reducing recordkeeping and reporting burden?
- E. How is burden estimated?
- F. What is an ICR?
- G. What is the baseline for OSW paperwork requirements?
- H. What is the OSW Burden Reduction Initiative?
- I. What is in today's NODA?
- J. What we would like you to do.
- K. Information on burden reduction ideas not in the NODA.
- L. What happens after we receive comments?
- II. Major Burden Reduction Ideas
 - A. Should we allow facilities to submit all information and keep records of all information electronically?
 - B. Should we reduce reporting and recordkeeping requirements for generators and TSDFs?
 - C. Should we lengthen the periods between facility self-inspections?
 - D. Should we change RCRA personnel training requirements?
 - E. Should we streamline the LDR paperwork requirements?
 - F. Should we reduce the amount of data collected by the Biennial Report?
- III. Other Burden Reduction Efforts Taking Place in RCRA
 - A. Changes to the hazardous waste manifest.
 - B. Integrating the Biennial Report with the Toxics Release Inventory
- C. Other RCRA Initiatives
- IV. Other Agency Burden Reduction Initiatives
- V. Technical Background Information
 - A. Is there a description of other burden reduction ideas not in today's NODA?