

review of in-use emissions from heavy-duty diesel vehicles, and current programs to measure emissions from in-use heavy-duty vehicle emissions.

The preliminary agenda and draft minutes from the previous meeting are available from the subcommittee's website at: <http://transaq.ce.gatech.edu/epatac>

Subcommittee members and interested parties requesting further technical information should contact: Mr. John T. White, Alternate Designated Federal Officer, Assessment and Modeling Division, U.S. EPA, 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105., Ph: 734/214-4353, Fax: 734/214-4821, email: white.johnt@epa.gov.

Subcommittee members and interested parties requesting administrative or logistics information should contact: Ms. Jennifer Criss, FACA Management Officer, Assessment and Modeling Division, U.S. EPA, 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105, FACA Helpline: 734/214-4518, Ph: 734/214-4029, Fax: 734/214-4821, email: criss.jennifer@epa.gov.

Individuals or organizations wishing to provide comments to the subcommittee should submit them to Mr. John T. White, Alternate Designated Officer, at the address above by July 7, 1999.

The Mobile Sources Technical Review Subcommittee expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements.

Michael Shields,

Acting Director, Office of Mobile Sources.

[FR Doc. 99-15982 Filed 6-22-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6365-4]

Science Advisory Board; Notice of Public Meetings

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, the Advisory Council on Clean Air Compliance Analysis (the Council) of the Science Advisory Board (SAB) will hold a public meeting on Tuesday, July 13, 1999, from 9:30 am to 5:00 pm, Eastern time and Wednesday, July 14, 1999, from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm. The Meeting will take place in the Conference Room of the Office of Children's Health Protection (Room W911), United States Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW, Washington DC 20460. The meeting is open to the public, however, seating is

on a first come basis. Materials that are the subject of SAB reviews are normally available from the responsible EPA Program office and are not available from the SAB. All times noted are Eastern Time.

The Council will review a draft Prospective Study: Report to Congress, prepared by the Agency as part of implementing Section 812 of the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) of 1990. The Council will address the following charge questions provided by the Agency:

Charge #1: Are the input data used for each component of the analysis sufficiently valid and reliable for the intended analytical purpose? If not, does the Council recommend the Agency consider using alternative data or assumptions for the first prospective analysis?

Charge #2: Are the models, and the methodologies they employ, used for each component of the analysis sufficiently valid and reliable for the intended analytical purpose? If not, does the Council recommend the Agency consider using alternative models or methodologies for the first prospective analysis?

Charge #3: Are the analytical results developed using these data and methodologies sufficiently valid and reliable for the intended analytical purpose, and are the characterizations of the analytical methods and results sufficiently accurate and appropriate for the intended expository purpose?

While the above charge questions define the general scope of the advice requested from the Council, a number of specific additional questions are presented below for which the Agency is interested in obtaining particular advice from the Council. In addition, further specific questions and issues may be presented for consideration to the Council during the discussions scheduled to take place on July 13-14, 1999. The supplemental charge questions are listed below, and detailed background information pertaining to each of these specific supplemental charge questions is included in an attachment to this memorandum.

Charge #4: Unquantified/Unmonetized Benefit and Disbenefit Categories.

(4a) Does the Council endorse the recommendation of HEES members that EPA strive to provide estimates of changes in some additional health and welfare effects in order to provide information on the potential relative importance of currently unquantified or unmonetized endpoints?

(4b) Does the Council concur with the simplistic approaches for providing

screening-level estimates proposed by EPA for each endpoint and for inclusion of these calculations in the 812 report as illustrative calculations presented in an appendix?

(4c) Does the Council have specific suggestions for additional benefit or disbenefit categories not listed by EPA? If so, does the Council have specific suggestions for methods for developing screening level estimates of these categories?

Charge #5: Value of Avoided Chronic Bronchitis.

(5a) Does the Council concur with EPA's proposed continued use of the adjusted WTP value from Viscusi et al.—i.e. \$260,000 per incidence (1990\$)—to support the primary benefit estimate?

(5b) If the Council does not concur with EPA's proposed use of the Viscusi, et al. value in the primary estimate, does the Council recommend using an unadjusted value based on the cost-of-illness method, or is an adjustment based on empirical evidence relating COI to WTP appropriate? (In previous reviews, the Council has recommended that "there is not a sufficient empirical basis for making these adjustments at this time," but suggested that EPA "include some illustrative calculations to show the sensitivity of total benefits to the range of possible adjustments to cost-of-illness estimates." SAB, EPA-SAB-COUNCIL-ADV-98-003, September 9, 1998 page 9).

(5c) If the Council does not concur with EPA's proposed use of the Viscusi, et al. value to determine the primary benefit estimate, does the council recommend using the Viscusi et al. value in a sensitivity analysis to illustrate potential differences between COI and WTP?

Charge #6: Value of Avoided Visibility Degradation.

(6a) Does the Council concur with EPA's proposed use of the WTP value from McClelland et al. (1993)—i.e. \$14 per household per deciview improvement (1990\$)—to support the primary benefit estimate? If not, should EPA treat residential/urban visibility improvements as a screening level benefit category to be reported in an appendix, or does the Council have a specific recommendation for an alternative estimate of the value for this endpoint?

(6b) Does the Council concur with EPA's proposed use of the WTP values from Chestnut and Rowe (1990)—i.e. \$4.91 to \$13.51 per household per deciview improvement (1990\$) for households living outside of the region where a Class I area is located and \$7.98 to \$16.82 per household per deciview

improvement (1990S) for households living in the region where a Class I area is located—to support the primary benefit estimate? If not, should EPA treat Class I area visibility improvements as a screening level benefit category to be reported in an appendix, or does the Council have a specific recommendation for an alternative estimate of the value for this endpoint?

Charge #7: Value of Avoided Premature Mortality.

(7a) Does the Council concur with EPA's proposal to continue using the Weibull distribution as the most appropriate distribution to characterize the variability in the 26 VSL estimates? If not, does the Council have a specific recommendation for an appropriate distribution of these values?

(7b) Does the Council concur with EPA's proposed use of the arithmetic mean as the appropriate point estimate for the VSL? If not, does the Council have a specific recommendation for an appropriate alternative point estimate?

(7c) Does the Council concur with EPA's proposal to continue using 5 percent as the appropriate discount rate for estimating the value of an avoided mortality incidence using the statistical life years method? If not, does the Council have a specific recommendation for the appropriate discount rate?

(7d) Does the Council concur with EPA's proposal to (1) continue using an estimate of 14 years as the appropriate number of life years saved when age specific distributions of avoided premature mortality incidences are not available and (2) continue using age-specific numbers of life years when age specific distributions of avoided premature mortality incidences are available?

Charge #8: Tax Interaction Effects. Does the Council consider the scope and content of the Appendix B text on tax interaction effects valid and appropriate given the intended purpose of the 812 Prospective? If not, does the Council have specific recommendations for revisions to the scope and/or substance of the draft report language?

Charge #9: Income Adjustments to WTP. Does the Council concur with the specification of the sensitivity analysis examining income adjustment to WTP currently incorporated in the draft report, and with EPA's specific proposal to include this sensitivity analysis in Appendix H of the first prospective analysis? If not, does the Council have specific recommendations for revisions to the specification of the sensitivity analysis and/or recommendations regarding the merits of incorporating

any analysis and discussion of income adjustments to WTP in the first prospective analysis?

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: (a) *Contacting Program Office Staff and Obtaining Review Materials*—To obtain copies of the draft documents pertaining to the CAA Section 812 Prospective Study, please contact Ms. Catrice Jefferson, Office Manager, Office of Policy Analysis and Review (OPAR), (Mail Code 6103), US Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460, Tel. (202) 260-5580; FAX (202) 260-9766, or via e-mail at <jefferson.catrice@epa.gov>. To discuss technical aspects of the draft Section 812 Prospective Study: Report to Congress, please contact Mr. James DeMocker, Office of Policy Analysis and Review (OPAR) (Mail Code 6103), US Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460, Tel. (202) 260-8980; FAX (202) 260-9766, or via e-mail at: <democker.jim@epa.gov>.

(b) *Contacting SAB Staff and Obtaining Meeting Information*—To obtain copies of the meeting agendas, rosters of participants, or copies of the draft reports, please contact Ms. Diana L. Pozun, Management Assistant to the Council, Science Advisory Board (1400), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington DC 20460, Tel. (202) 260-8432; FAX (202) 260-7118; or via e-mail: <pozun.diana@epa.gov>. To discuss technical or logistical aspects of the Council review process or to submit written comments, please contact Dr. Angela Nugent, Designated Federal Officer to the Council, at the address above or at Tel. (202) 260-4126; FAX (202) 260-7118, or via e-mail: <nugent.angela@epa.gov>.

(c) *Providing Public Comments to the SAB*—To request time to provide brief oral comments at the meeting, please contact Ms. Diana L. Pozun *in writing* by mail, FAX or E-Mail at the addresses given above no later than 12 noon by Tuesday, July 6, 1999. Please provide a summary of the issue you intend to present, your name and address (incl. phone, fax and e-mail) and the organization (if any) you will represent. Written comments should be submitted to Ms. Pozun at the above address prior to the meeting date.

Providing Oral or Written Comments at SAB Meetings

The Science Advisory Board (SAB) expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements. In general, opportunities for oral comment at face-to-face meetings will be usually limited to ten minutes

per speaker. At teleconference meetings, speakers will be usually limited to three minutes per speaker and no more than fifteen minutes total. Written comments (at least 35 copies) received in the SAB Staff Office sufficiently prior to a meeting date (usually one week prior to a meeting), may be mailed to the committees or its respective subcommittees prior to its meeting; comments received too close to the meeting date will normally be provided to the Council and its subcommittees at the meeting. Written comments may be provided up until the time of the meeting.

Meeting Access

Individuals requiring special accommodation at this meeting, including wheelchair access, should contact Dr. Nugent at least five business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Dated: June 17, 1999.

A. Robert Flaak,

Acting Staff Director, Science Advisory Board.
[FR Doc. 99-15978 Filed 6-22-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-00611; FRL-6089-5]

State FIFRA Issues Research and Evaluation Group (SFIREG); Open Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The State FIFRA Issues Research and Evaluation Group (SFIREG) will hold a 2-day meeting, June 28 and 29, 1999. This notice announces the location and times for the state co-regulator meeting and sets forth tentative agenda topics that impact the state pesticide regulatory programs. This meeting is open to the public.

DATES: The SFIREG will meet on Monday, June 28, 1999 from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. and Tuesday, June 29, 1999 from 8:30 to 12:00 noon.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at: The meeting will be held at the Doubletree Hotel, 300 Army Navy Drive, Arlington-Crystal City, VA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Phillip H. Gray, SFIREG Executive Secretary, P.O. Box 1249, Hardwick, VT 05843-1249; telephone number: (802) 472-6956; fax: (802) 472-6957; e-mail: aapco@plainfield.bypass.com or Elaine Y. Lyon, Field and External Affairs Division, Office of Pesticide Programs