topics at a level sufficient to assure familiarity with the plant. For each shift, the remainder of the shift crew shall be trained as to the role of the advisors. These advisors shall be retained until the experience levels identified in the first sentence above have been achieved. The NRC shall be notified at least 30 days prior to the date that the licensee proposes to release the advisors from further service."

The licensee proposed to revise Unit 1 license condition 2.D. to delete the list of exemptions from 10 CFR Part 50 and replace it with a statement that no exemptions from 10 CFR Part 50 are required.

The licensee proposed to modify Unit 2 license condition 2.C.(1), "Maximum Power Level," to delete references to Attachment 1 to the Unit 1 operating license. Attachment 1 describes preoperational tests, ventilation tests and fire barrier sealing that shall be completed as specified as a condition of the license. Attachment 1, Item A., required that preoperational tests and test deficiencies documented in licensee letters dated November 3, 1986, and January 14, 1987, be completed in accordance with the licensee's schedule commitments.

The licensee proposed to revise Unit 2 license condition 2.C.(2), "Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan," to delete references to Attachment 2.

The licensee proposed to delete Unit 2 license condition 2.C.(3), "Initial Test Program," which states: "Any changes to the Initial Startup Test Program described in Chapter 14 of the FSAR made in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.59 shall be reported in accordance with 50.59(b) within one month of such change."

The licensee proposed to delete Unit 2 license condition 2.C.(4), "Regulatory Guide 1.97, Revision 2 Compliance," which states: "The licensee shall submit by March 1, 1987, a preliminary report describing how the requirements of Regulatory Guide 1.97, Revision 2 have been or will be met. The licensee shall submit by September 1, 1987, the final report and a schedule for implementation (assuming the NRC approves the DCRDR by March 1, 1987)."

The licensee proposed to revise Unit 2 license condition 2.D. to delete the list of exemptions from 10 CFR Part 50 and replace it with a statement that no exemptions from 10 CFR Part 50 are required.

The licensee also proposed certain editorial changes.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its environmental evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed amendment would not increase the probability or consequences of accidents previously analyzed and would not affect facility radiation levels or facility radiological effluents.

The proposed action will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for Byron Station, Units 1 and 2.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on May 28, 1999, the staff consulted with the Illinois State official, Mr. Frank Niziolek, of the Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

## **Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to

prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated March 14, 1997, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Byron Public Library District, 109 N. Franklin, P.O. Box 434, Byron, Illinois 61010.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of June 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Anthony J. Mendiola,

Chief, Section 2, Project Directorate III, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 99–17193 Filed 7–6–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Consolidated Guidance about Materials Licenses: Program-Specific Guidance about Licenses of Broad Scope, dated April 1999

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is announcing the availability of NUREG-1556, Volume 11, "Consolidated Guidance about Materials Licenses: Program-Specific Guidance about Licenses of Broad Scope," dated April 1999.

ADDRESSES: Copies of NUREG-1556, Vol. 11, may be obtained by writing to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 37082, Washington, DC 20402-9328. Copies are also available from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161. A copy of the document is also available for inspection and/or copying for a fee in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555-0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT: Ms. Sally L. Merchant, Mail Stop TWFN 9–F–31, Division of Industrial and Medical Nuclear Safety, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone: (301) 415–7874, e-mail: slm2@nrc.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On September 16, 1998 (63 FR 49615), NRC announced the availability of draft

NUREG-1556, Volume 11, "Consolidated Guidance about Materials Licenses: Program-Specific Guidance about Licenses of Broad Scope," and requested comments on it. This draft NUREG report was the eleventh program-specific guidance developed to support an improved materials licensing process. The NRC staff considered all the comments, including constructive suggestions to improve the document, in the preparation of the final NUREG report.

The final version of NUREG-1556, Volume 11, is now available for use by applicants, licensees, NRC license reviewers, and other NRC staff. It supersedes the guidance for applicants and licensees previously found in Draft Regulatory Guide DG-0005 dated October 1994. Included in this guidance is a new option for Type A licensees of broad scope to have increased flexibility to make changes in some program areas and revise some procedures previously approved by NRC without amendment of the license. This option is discussed in detail in Chapter 1 of this document. Draft NUREG-1556, Volume 11, is not intended to be used alone. Because broad-scope licensees may be involved in many different program areas (e.g., medicine, research and development, manufacturing and distribution, etc.), this document frequently refers the user to other more program-specific guidance documents in the NUREG-1556 series.

#### **Electronic Access**

NUREG-1556, Volume 11, will also be available electronically approximately 1 month after publication of this notice by visiting NRC's Home Page (http://www.nrc.gov) and choosing "Nuclear Materials," and then "NUREG-1556, Volume 11."

# **Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act**

In accordance with the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Act of 1996, NRC has determined that this action is not a major rule and has verified this determination with the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of the Office of Management and Budget.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of June, 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Patricia K. Holahan,

Acting Chief, Rulemaking and Guidance Branch, Division of Industrial and Medical Nuclear Safety, NMSS.

[FR Doc. 99–17195 Filed 7–6–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

### **POSTAL RATE COMMISSION**

#### **Postal Facility Visit**

**AGENCY:** Postal Rate Commission. **ACTION:** Notice of Commission visit.

**SUMMARY:** Postal Rate Commission staff will tour the main postal facility in San Diego, CA to observe mail processing and related operations.

**DATES:** The tour is scheduled for Tuesday, July 6, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, Postal Rate Commission, Suite 300, 1333 H Street NW., Washington, DC 20268–0001, 202–789–6820.

Dated: June 30, 1999.

#### Margaret P. Crenshaw,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–17114 Filed 7–6–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–FW–M

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-41573; File No. SR-CBOE-99-23]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. Relating to Fees for Delayed Submission of Trade Information

June 28, 1999.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 notice is hereby given that on June 8, 1999, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items, I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the CBOE. The Exchange has designated the proposed rule change as one satisfying the requirements of paragraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b–4 under the Act,<sup>2</sup> which renders the proposal effective upon receipt of this filing by the Commission.<sup>3</sup> The Commission is publishing this notice to

solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The CBOE proposes to amend Rule 2.30 relating to fees for delayed submission of trade information. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Office of the Secretary, CBOE and at the Commission.

## II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the CBOE included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The CBOE has prepared summaries, set forth in Section A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

#### 1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend CBOE Rule 2.30 to provide that the appropriate Clearing Procedure Committee ("Committee") may determine, with due notice, the date when certain time reductions will go into effect for delayed submission of trade match information. Under the current rule, approved by the Commission in November 1998,4 trade match submission time is reduced in three phases from two (2) hours down to one (1) hour over a period of six months. The rule states that the first time reduction will go into effect on January 1, 1999, and will require timely trade submission to be within ninety (90) minutes of execution. The next reduction was scheduled to go into effect on April 1, 1999, and would require timely trade submission to be within seventy five (75) minutes of execution. Finally, from July 1, 1999, forward, the Exchange would have required that timely trade submission be within one (1) hour of execution.

Due to a discrete system problem affecting the trade match system since the inception of this Rule, the Exchange has applied an exception under CBOE Rule 2.30(f)(1)(C)(ii), Extenuating

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Rule 19b–4(f)(6), the Exchange has represented that the proposed rule change: (i) will not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) will not impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) will not become operative for 30 days after the date of this filing, unless otherwise accelerated by the Commission. The Exchange also has provided at least five business days notice to the Commission of its intent to file this proposed rule change, as required by Rule 19b–4(f)(6) under the Act. *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 40729 (November 30, 1998), 63 FR 67956 (December 9, 1998)