*Compliance:* Required as indicated in the body of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent fuel line chafing caused by interference with the power lever bracket, which could result in fuel leakage and cause a fire in the engine compartment, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 100 hours time-inservice (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, inspect the engine stainless steel fuel line, part number (P/N) 3035737, for evidence of chafing and a minimum clearance of .06-inch between the fuel line and power lever bracket, P/N 122–940028–1. Accomplish this inspection in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions section of Raytheon Mandatory Service Bulletin SB.28.3104, Issued: September, 1997.

(b) If chafing is evident on the fuel line, prior to further flight, replace the fuel line with a new fuel line and modify the power lever bracket in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions section of Raytheon Mandatory Service Bulletin SB.28.3104, Issued: September, 1997.

(c) If the clearance between the fuel line and the power lever bracket is less than .06-inch, prior to further flight, modify the power lever bracket in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions section of Raytheon Mandatory Service Bulletin SB.28.3104, Issued: September, 1997.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance times that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Wichita ACO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Wichita ACO.

(f) The inspection, replacement, and modification required by this AD shall be done in accordance with Raytheon Mandatory Service Bulletin SB.28.3104, Issued: September, 1997. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from the Raytheon Aircraft Company, P.O. Box 85, Wichita, Kansas 67201-0085. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

(g) This amendment becomes effective on March 5, 1999.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on January 12, 1999.

## Larry E. Werth,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 99–1238 Filed 1–25–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-CE-78-AD; Amendment 39-11007; AD 99-02-15]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Avions Pierre Robin Model R2160 Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to certain Avions Pierre Robin Model R2160 airplanes. This AD requires repetitively inspecting the engine bearer for cracks, and replacing the engine bearer with a reinforced part either immediately or at a certain time period depending on whether cracks are found during the inspections. Replacing the engine bearer with a reinforced part terminates the repetitive inspection requirement. This AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for France. The actions specified in this AD are intended to detect and correct cracks in the engine bearer, which could result in the engine separating from the airplane. DATES: Effective March 29, 1999.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 29, 1999.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before February 22, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–CE–78–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Service information that applies to this AD may be obtained from Avions Pierre Robin, 1, route de Troyes, 21121 Darois-France; telephone: 80 44 20 50; facsimile: 80 35 60 80. This information may also be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–CE–78–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Karl M. Schletzbaum, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 426–6932; facsimile: (816) 426–2169.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Events Leading to the Issuance of This AD**

The Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for France, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Avions Pierre Robin Model R2160 airplanes. The DGAC reports cracks in the engine bearer in the area of the spools.

This condition, if not detected and corrected, could result in the engine separating from the airplane.

#### **Relevant Service Information**

Avions Pierre Robin has issued Service Bulletin No. 97, dated April 22, 1983, which specifies procedures for inspecting the engine bearer for cracks. This service bulletin also specifies replacing the engine bearer with a reinforced part.

The DGAC classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued French AD 83–99–(A), dated June 15, 1983, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in France.

## The FAA's Determination

This airplane model is manufactured in France and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the DGAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above.

The FAA has examined the findings of the DGAC; reviewed all available information, including the service information referenced above; and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

# Explanation of the Provisions of This AD

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop in other Avions Pierre Robin Model R2160 airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the FAA is issuing an AD. This AD requires repetitively inspecting the engine bearer for cracks, and replacing the engine bearer with a reinforced part either immediately or at a certain time period depending on whether cracks are found during the inspections. Replacing the engine bearer with a reinforced part terminates the repetitive inspection requirement. Accomplishment of the inspections required by this AD is required in accordance with Avions Pierre Robin Service Bulletin No. 97, dated April 22, 1983. The replacement specified in the service bulletin and required by this AD shall be accomplished in accordance with the applicable maintenance manual.

## **Cost Impact**

The FAA estimates that 1 airplane in the U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 8 workhours per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 per work hour. Parts cost approximately \$1,587 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$2,067.

# The Direct Final Rule Procedure

The FAA anticipates that this regulation will not result in adverse or negative comment and therefore is issuing it as a direct final rule. The requirements of this direct final rule address an unsafe condition identified by a foreign civil airworthiness authority and do not impose a significant burden on affected operators. In accordance with Section 11.17 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 11.17) unless a written adverse or negative comment, or a written notice of intent to submit an adverse or negative comment, is received within the comment period, the regulation will become effective on the date specified above. After the close of the comment period, the FAA will publish a document in the Federal Register indicating that no adverse or negative comments were received and confirming the date on which the final rule will become effective. If the FAA does receive, within the comment period, a written adverse or negative comment, or written notice of intent to submit such a comment, a document withdrawing the direct final rule will be

published in the **Federal Register**, and a notice of proposed rulemaking may be published with a new comment period.

## **Comments Invited**

Although this action is in the form of a final rule and was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption ADDRESSES. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 98–CE–78–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

# **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is noncontroversial and unlikely to result in adverse or negative comments. For reasons discussed in the preamble, I certify that this regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;

(2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

## **Adoption of the Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

## 99-02-15 Avions Pierre Robin:

Amendment 39–11007; Docket No. 98–CE-78–AD.

Applicability: Model R2160 airplanes, serial numbers C193 through C199, and C202; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (f) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated in the body of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To detect and correct cracks in the engine bearer, which could result in the engine separating from the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 25 hours time-inservice (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 25 hours TIS until the replacement required by paragraph (b) of this AD is accomplished, visually inspect the engine bearer for cracks. Accomplish the inspections in accordance with Avions Pierre Robin Service Bulletin No. 97, dated April 22, 1983.

- (b) At whichever of the compliance times in paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this AD that occurs first, replace the engine bearer with a reinforced part (or FAA-approved equivalent), as referenced in Avions Pierre Robin Service Bulletin No. 97, dated April 22, 1983. Accomplish the replacement in accordance with the applicable maintenance manual
- (1) Prior to further flight if cracks are found during any inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD; or
- (2) Within the next 100 hours TIS after the effective date of this AD.
- (c) Replacing the engine bearer with a reinforced part, as specified in paragraph (b) of this AD, is considered terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirement of this AD.
- (d) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install, on any affected airplane, an engine bearer that is not one of a reinforced part (or FAA-approved equivalent part number), as referenced in Avions Pierre Robin Service Bulletin No. 97, dated April 22, 1983.
- (e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.
- (f) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance times that provides an equivalent level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Small Airplane Directorate.

(g) The inspections required by this AD shall be done in accordance with Avions Pierre Robin Service Bulletin No. 97, dated April 22, 1983. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Avions Pierre Robin, 1, route de Troyes, 21121 Darois-France. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in French AD 83–99–(A), dated June 15, 1983.

(h) This amendment becomes effective on March 29, 1999.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on January 12, 1999.

## Larry E. Werth,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 99–1237 Filed 1–25–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-SW-20-AD; Amendment 39-11010; AD 98-11-15]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. Model 212 Helicopters

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; request for

comments.

**SUMMARY:** This document publishes in the Federal Register an amendment adopting Airworthiness Directive (AD) 98–11–15, which was sent previously to all known U.S. owners and operators of Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. (BHTI) Model 212 helicopters by individual letters. This AD requires inspecting the trunnion assembly or tail rotor flapping stop (flapping stop), whichever is applicable, installing a trunnion assembly or flapping stop, if necessary; and replacing the tail rotor yoke (yoke). This amendment is prompted by an accident involving a BHTI Model 205A-1 helicopter in which the yoke failed during flight. This condition, if not corrected, could lead to failure of the yoke, loss of the tail rotor, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

DATES: Effective February 10, 1999, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by priority letter AD 98–11–15, issued on May 19, 1998, which contained the requirements of this amendment.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of February 10, 1999.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before March 29, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–SW–20–

AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137.

The applicable service information may be obtained from Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc., P.O. Box 482, Fort Worth, Texas 76101, telephone (817) 280–3391, fax (817) 280–6466. This information may be examined at the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandra Shelly, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Rotorcraft Certification Office, Rotorcraft Directorate, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Fort Worth, Texas 76137, telephone (817) 222–5177, fax (817) 222–5783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 19, 1998, the FAA issued priority letter AD 98–11–15, applicable to BHTI Model 212 helicopters, which requires, before further flight, reviewing historical records of the helicopter and the yoke assembly to detect any usage or event that may have imposed an excessive bending load on the yoke. If such usage or event occurred, before further flight, this AD requires replacing the yoke assembly with an airworthy yoke assembly that has zero-hours TIS, or that has passed an x-ray diffraction inspection in accordance with Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) 212-96-100, Revision A, dated May 18, 1998, or ASB 212-96-101, dated September 3, 1996, whichever is applicable, as well as installing an airworthy trunnion assembly or an airworthy flapping stop, depending on which part-numbered yoke assembly is installed. If no usage or event that may have imposed an excessive bending load on the yoke has occurred, the voke must be replaced within 180 calendar days. Thereafter, at intervals not to exceed 25 hours TIS, or before further flight after any incident that may have imposed an excessive bending load on the yoke, this AD requires inspecting the trunnion assembly or the tail rotor flapping stop, whichever is applicable, for yielding. If yielding is detected, the yoke assembly and trunnion assembly or flapping stop, whichever is applicable, must be replaced. That action was prompted by an accident involving a BHTI Model 205A-1 helicopter in which the yoke failed during flight. The Model 205A-1 helicopter is similar in design to the Model 212 helicopter. Investigation of the accident revealed that the yoke assembly service life may be reduced due to unforeseen static and dynamic