

injury and remedy phases of this investigation. The hearing on injury will be held beginning at 9:30 a.m. on September 30, 1999, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. In the event that the Commission makes an affirmative injury determination or is equally divided on the question of injury in this investigation, a hearing on the question of remedy will be held beginning at 9:30 a.m. on November 10, 1999. Requests to appear at the hearings should be filed in writing with the Secretary to the Commission on or before September 23, 1999, and November 3, 1999, respectively. All persons desiring to appear at the hearings and make oral presentations should attend prehearing conferences to be held at 9:30 a.m. on September 27, 1999 and November 5, 1999, respectively, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Oral testimony and written materials to be submitted at the hearing are governed by §§ 201.6(b)(2) and 201.13(f) of the Commission's rules. Parties must submit any request to present a portion of their hearing testimony *in camera* no later than 7 days prior to the date of the hearing.

#### Written Submissions

Each party is encouraged to submit a prehearing brief to the Commission. The deadline for filing prehearing briefs on injury is September 24, 1999; that for filing prehearing briefs on remedy, including any commitments pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 2252(a)(6)(B), is November 3, 1999. Parties may also file posthearing briefs. The deadline for filing posthearing briefs on injury is October 6, 1999; that for filing posthearing briefs on remedy is November 17, 1999. In addition, any person who has not entered an appearance as a party to the investigation may submit a written statement of information pertinent to the consideration of injury on or before October 6, 1999, and pertinent to the consideration of remedy on or before November 17, 1999. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain CBI must also conform with the requirements of section 201.6 of the Commission's rules.

In accordance with § 201.16(c) of the rules, each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or CBI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** This investigation is being conducted under the authority of section 202 of the Trade Act of 1974; this notice is published pursuant to § 206.3 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: July 29, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-20045 Filed 8-3-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-768 (Final Remand)]

### Fresh Atlantic Salmon From Chile; Scheduling of Remand Proceedings

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. International Trade Commission (the Commission) hereby gives notice of the court-ordered remand of its final antidumping investigation No. 731-TA-768 (Final).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 23, 1999.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Woodley Timberlake, Office of Investigations, telephone 202-205-3188 or Neal J. Reynolds, Office of General Counsel, telephone 202-205-3093, U.S. International Trade Commission. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On July 2, 1999, the Court of International Trade remanded to the Commission its final determination in *Fresh Atlantic Salmon from Chile*, Inv. No. 731-TA-768 (Final), USITC Pub. 3116 (July 1998).<sup>1</sup> In its order, the Court directs the Commission to "reopen the administrative record to verify the accuracy of its foreign production, shipments and capacity data" and to "take any action necessary after

<sup>1</sup> The Commission made an affirmative determination by a 2-1 vote in July 1998. Chairman Bragg determined that the domestic industry was threatened with material injury by reason of the subject imports and Vice Chairman Miller determined that the industry was materially injured by reason of the subject imports. Commissioner Crawford dissented, finding that the industry was neither materially injured nor threatened with material injury by reason of the subject imports.

reexamining the foreign production, shipments and capacity data." It also directs the Commission to issue a remand determination within ninety days of the date of the order, i.e., by September 30, 1999.

#### Reopening Record

In order to assist it in making its determination on remand, the Commission is reopening the record on remand in this investigation to verify the accuracy of its foreign production, capacity and shipments data and to permit parties to file comments on whether that data should be revised. If necessary, the Commission will permit the parties to file additional briefs on whether any such revisions should affect the Commission's threat analysis in the investigation.

#### Participation in the Proceedings

Only those persons who were interested parties to the original administrative proceedings (i.e., persons listed on the Commission Secretary's service list) may participate in these remand proceedings.

#### Limited Disclosure of Business Proprietary Information (BPI) Under an Administrative Protective Order (APO) and BPI Service List

Information obtained during the remand investigation will be released to parties under the administrative protective order ("APO") in effect in the original investigation. Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make business proprietary information gathered in the final investigation and this remand investigation available to additional authorized applicants, that are not covered under the original APO, provided that the application is made not later than seven (7) days after publication of the Commission's notice of reopening the record on remand in the **Federal Register**. Applications must be filed for persons on the Judicial Protective Order in the related CIT case, but not covered under the original APO. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO in this remand investigation.

#### Written Submissions

Each party who is an interested party in this remand proceeding may submit comments to the Commission. These comments must be concise and must be limited specifically to the issue of whether the foreign production, capacity and shipments data of Fiordo Blanco S.A., a Chilean producer of salmon, was double-counted during the

original investigation, based on data submitted during that investigation and in this remand proceeding. Any material in these comments that does not address this limited issue will be stricken from the record. These comments shall be limited to ten (10) pages, and must be filed no later than the close of business on August 23, 1999.

If the Commission finds that it double counted Fiordo Blanco's data in the original investigation, each party who is an interested party in this remand proceeding will also be permitted to submit a written brief to the Commission. Briefs should be concise and thoroughly referenced to information on the record in the original investigation or information obtained during the remand investigation. Briefs will be strictly limited to the issue of whether any revisions to the original foreign production, capacity, and shipments data that occur as a result of this remand investigation affect the Commission's threat analysis in this proceeding. Any material in the briefs that does not address this limited issue will be stricken from the record. Written briefs shall be limited to fifteen (15) pages, and must be filed no later than the close of business on September 17, 1999. Parties will be informed as to whether these briefs are necessary by September 2, 1999. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means.

All written submissions must conform with the provisions of § 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain business proprietary information (BPI) must also conform with the requirements of § 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. In accordance with §§ sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** This action is taken under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 30, 1999.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-20047 Filed 8-3-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-271 and 731-TA-318 (Review)]

### Oil Country Tubular Goods From Israel

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Termination of five-year reviews.

**SUMMARY:** The subject five-year reviews were initiated in May 1999 to determine whether revocation of the existing countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and of material injury to a domestic industry. On July 27, 1999, the Department of Commerce published notice that it was revoking the orders because it determined that no domestic interested party intends to participate in the reviews (64 FR 40548, July 27, 1999). Accordingly, pursuant to § 207.69 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 207.69), the subject reviews are terminated.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 27, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vera Libeau (202-205-3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

**Authority:** These reviews are being terminated under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.69 of the Commission's rules (19 CFR 207.69).

Issued: July 30, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-20046 Filed 8-3-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

[Civil action No. 53-7989]

### U.S. v. The Kansas City Star Co.; Proposed Modification of Final Judgment

Notice is hereby given that defendant Kansas City Star Company (the "Star") has filed with the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri a motion to modify the Final Judgment in *United States v. Kansas City Star Company*, 1957 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 68,857 (W.D. Mo. 1957). The Department of Justice ("Department"), in a stipulation also filed with the Court, has consented to modification of the Final Judgment, but has reserved the right to withdraw its consent based on public comments or for other reasons. The Complaint in this case (filed January 6, 1953) focused on the period 1950-51 and alleged that the Star dominated the sale of news and advertising in Kansas City and engaged in a variety of practices designed to exclude competition, in violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 2.

The Final Judgment (entered on November 15, 1957) ordered the Star to divest its radio and television interests and enjoins the defendants from acquiring "any interest in any commercial radio or television broadcasting station in Metropolitan Kansas City except upon application to this Court." The defendants were further enjoined from acquiring any interest in any newspaper publication with a circulation in Metropolitan Kansas City.

The Final Judgment also enjoins the defendants from price discrimination, certain types of discounts, and tying of advertising in various editions of the newspaper. Some of these provisions are obsolete; others prevent the Star from undertaking certain procompetitive initiatives.

The proposed modification terminates the existing judgment in its entirety and substitutes an Amended Final Judgment that requires that the Star provide the Department with advance notification of Kansas City newspaper acquisitions valued at \$5 million or more but not subject to the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 18a. The Amended Judgment will expire in ten years.

The Department has filed with the Court a memorandum setting forth the reasons why it believes that modification of the Final Judgment would be in the public interest. Copies