Dated: August 24, 1999. Lee Ann Carpenter,

Committee Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-22458 Filed 8-27-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-33-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiation of antidumping and countervailing duty administrative reviews and requests for revocation in part.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with July anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews. The Department also received requests to revoke four antidumping duty orders in part.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 30, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Holly A. Kuga, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4737.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b) (1997), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with July anniversary dates. The Department also received timely requests to revoke in part the antidumping duty orders on silicon metal from Brazil, certain pasta from Italy, canned pineapple from Thailand, and certain pasta from Turkey.

### **Initiation of Reviews**

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than July 31, 2000.

iater than July 31, 2000.	
	Periods to be reviewed
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Brazil: Silicon Metal, A-351-806	7/1/98–6/30/99
Rima Industrial S.A.	
Canada: Oil Country Tubular Goods,* A–122–506	12/1/98-5/30/99
Atlas Tube Inc.	
*Inadvertently omitted from previous initiation notice. Also because we are conducting a new shipper review covering	
the period 6/1/98 through 11/30/98, this administrative review will only cover the period 12/1/98 through 5/30/99.	
Chile: Fresh Atlantic Salmon, A-337-803	7/28/98–6/30/99
Acuicultura de Aquas Australes	
Agromar Ltda.	
Aquachile S.A.	
Aguas Claras S.A. Aguasur Fisheries Ltda.	
Aquasur Fishenes Etda. Asesoria Acuicola S.A.	
Best Salmon	
C.M. Chiloe Ltda.	
Cenculmavique	
Centro de Cultivo de Moluscos	
Cerro Farellon Ltda.	
Chile S.A.	
Chisal S.A.	
Complejo Piscicola Coyhaique	
Cultivadora de Salmones Linao Ltda.	
Cultivos San Juan	
Cultivos Yardan S.A.	
Cultivos Marinos Chiloe Ltda. Fiordo Blanco S.A.	
Fisher Farms	
Fitz Roy	
G.M. Tornagaleones S.A.	
Hiutosal	
Huitosal Mares Australes Salmo Pac.	
I.P. Mar de Chiloe S.A.	
Intervec Seafood S.A.	
Manao Bay Fisheries	
Mardim Ltda.	
Mares Australes	
Ocean Horizons	
P. Antares S.A.	
P. Chiloe S.A.	
P. Friosur S.A.	
P. Los Fiordas	
P. Pacific Star	

	Periods to be reviewed
Pacific Mariculture	
Patagonia Fish Farming S.A.	
Patagonia Salmon Farming, S.A. Pes Quellon Ltda.	
Pesca Chile S.A.	
Pesquera Eicosal Ltda.	
Pesquera Mares Australes Ltda.	
Piscicultura Iculpe Piscicultura La Cascada	
Piscicultura Santa Margarita	
Prosmolt S.A.	
Salmonamerica	
Salmon Andes S.A.	
The Salmoamerica Group Salmones Americanos S.A.	
Cultivadora de Salmones Linao Ltda.	
Salmones Antarctica S.A.	
Salmones Caicaen S.A.	
Salmones Llanquihue Salmones Mainstream S.A.	
Salmones Multiexport Ltda.	
Salmones Pacifico Sur, S.A.	
Salmones Quellon	
Salmones Ranco Sur Ltda.	
Salmones Tecmar S.A. Salmones Unimarc S.A.	
Salmosan	
Seafine	
Trusal S.A.	
Ventisqueros S.A.	7/4/09 6/20/00
Italy: Certain Pasta, A–475–818  Commercio-Rappresentanze-Export S.r.l.	7/1/98–6/30/99
F.Ili De Cecco di Filippo Fara S. Martino S.p.A.	
Industrie Alimentari Molisane S.r.I.	
La Molisana Industrie Alimentari S.p.A.	
Pastificio Antonio Pallante S.r.l.  Pastifico F.LLI Pagani S.p.A.	
Pastificio Maltagliati S.p.A.	
P.A.M., S,r.I.—Prodotti Alimentari Meridionali.	
Rummo S.p.A. Pastificio e Molino	
Thailand: Canned Pineapple, A–549–813	7/1/98–6/30/99
Kuiburi Fruit Canning Company Limited Malee Sampran Factory Public Company, Ltd.	
The Prachuab Fruit Canning Company	
Siam Fruit Canning (1988) Co., Ltd.	
Siam Food Products Public Company Ltd.	
Thai Pineapple Canning Industry Corp., Ltd. The Thai Pineapple Public Co., Ltd.	
Tropical Food Industries Co., Ltd.	
Vita Food Factory (1989) Co. Ltd.	
The People's Republic of China: Persulfates,* A-570-847	7/1/98–6/30/99
Guangdong Petroleum Chemical Import & Export Trade Corp.	
Sinochem Jiangsu Wuxi Import & Export Corp. Shanghai Ai Jian Import & Export Corp.	
*If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of persulfates from the	
People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as	
part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.	=/./22 2/22/22
The People's Republic of China: Sebacic Acid,* A–570–825	7/1/98–6/30/99
Sinochem International Chemicals Company	
Sinochem Jiangsu Import & Export Corporation	
Tianjin Chemicals Import & Export Corporation	
*If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of sebacic acid from the	
People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.	
Turkey: Certain Pasta, A–489–805	7/1/98–6/30/99
Filiz Gida Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S.	
Pastavilla Makarnacilik Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S.	
The United Kingdom: Industrial Nitrocellulose, A–412–803	7/1/98–6/30/99
Imperial Chemical Industries PLC	
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	4/4/00 40/01/==
Italy: Certain Pasta, C-475-819	1/1/98–12/31/99

	Periods to be reviewed
Delverde, SpA	
Tamma Industrie Alimentari, SrL	
Suspension Agreements	
The People's Republic of China: Honey, A-570-838.	

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping order under section 351.211 or a determination under section 351.218(d) (sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

For transition orders defined in section 751(c)(6) of the Act, the Secretary will apply paragraph (j)(1) of this section to any administrative review initiated in 1998 (19 CFR 351.213(j)(1–2)).

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: August 24, 1999.

# Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Group II, AD/CVD Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 99–22463 Filed 8–27–99; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

[A-427-811]

Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods From France: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 30, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Bolling or Rick Johnson, AD/ CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230;

telephone: (202) 482-3434 or (202) 482-

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Scope of the Review**

3818, respectively.

The products covered by this administrative review are certain stainless steel wire rods (SSWR), products which are hot-rolled or hotrolled annealed, and/or pickled rounds, squares, octagons, hexagons, or other shapes, in coils. SSWR are made of alloy steels containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. These products are only manufactured by hot-rolling, are normally sold in coiled form, and are of solid cross section. The majority of SSWR sold in the United States is round in cross-sectional shape, annealed, and pickled. The most common size is 5.5 millimeters in diameter.

The SSWR subject to this review is currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0020, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0040, 7221.00.0045, 7221.00.0060, 7221.00.0075, and 7221.00.0080 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

# **Amendment of Final Results**

On August 28, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the amended final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel wire rods from France (63 FR 45998). This review covered Imphy S.A., and Ugine-Savoie, two manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States. The period of review (POR) is January 1, 1996, through December 31, 1996.

On September 14, 1998, counsel for the petitioning companies, Al Tech Specialty Steel Corp., Armco Stainless & Alloy Products, Carpenter Technology Corp., Republic Engineered Steels, Talley Metals Technology, Inc., United Steelworkers of America, and AFL–CIO/ CLC (collectively "petitioners") filed an allegation of a clerical error in a timely fashion.

Petitioners allege that the Department failed to correct a ministerial error with respect to the calculation of home market credit expenses when the payment date was missing. Petitioners state that the Department's amended final program continues to result in an abnormally high imputed credit expenses that result in negative home market prices for certain sales. Petitioners state that they informed the Department of this clerical error in their July 8, 1998 clerical error letter. However, according to petitioners, in issuing its amended final results the Department did not provide a reason for not amending the program for this clerical error, but stated only that 'petitioners have failed to point to any specific programming language which is in error, and the mere allegation that certain calculated expenses are too high is insufficient for finding a ministerial error." See Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from France; 63 FR 45999, (August 28, 1998). Petitioners acknowledge that they did not provide exact programming language nor locate the exact cause of the alleged clerical error at the time of their original clerical errors comments were filed, although petitioners did propose on June 8 that the Department rely on respondents' submitted information for credit expenses. Petitioners argue that ignoring a clerical error simple because they did not identify the programming error within the provided time frame is unfair and unlawful. Nevertheless, petitioners have now identify the error, and request that the Department correct this clerical error. Respondents did not comment on this issue.

After a review of petitioners' allegation, we agree with petitioners that a clerical error was made in the calculation of home market credit expense in the amended final results. We have corrected our calculation of home market credit expense when the