studies are designed to evaluate adverse effects on the developing organism resulting from maternal pesticide exposure during gestation.
Reproduction studies provide information relating to effects from exposure to the pesticide on the reproductive capability of mating animals and data on systemic toxicity.

3. Developmental toxicity studies—i. Rat. In the developmental study in rats, the maternal (systemic) NOAEL was 93.8 mg/kg/day based on rough hair coat, and salivation at the LOAEL of 312.6 mg/kg/day. The developmental (fetal) NOAEL was 93.8 mg/kg/day based on incidences of 14th rudimentary and 7th cervical ribs at the LOAEL of 312.6 mg/kg/day.

ii. Rabbit. In the developmental toxicity study in rabbits, the maternal (systemic) NOAEL was 60 mg/kg/day, based on reduced weight gain, clinical signs of toxicity and abortions at the LOAEL of 200 mg/kg/day. The developmental (fetal) NOAEL was 60 mg/kg/day, based on increases in number of resorptions, decreases in litter size, and a decrease in the viability index at the LOAEL of 200 mg/kg/day.

iii. Reproductive toxicity study. In the 2-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats, the parental (systemic) NOAEL was 2.5 mg/kg/day, based on increased liver weights and liver cell hypertrophy at the LOAEL of 10 mg/kg/day. The developmental (pup) NOAEL was 10 mg/kg/day, based on decreased pup body weight during lactation at the LOAEL of 50 mg/kg/day. The reproductive NOAEL was 10 mg/kg/day, based on the increased incidences of stillborns, and atrophy of the testes, epididymides, and prostate at the LOAEL of 50 mg/kg/day.

iv. Prenatal and postnatal sensitivity. The prenatal and postnatal toxicology data base for myclobutanil is complete with respect to current toxicological data requirements. There is approximately a 25-fold difference between the developmental NOAEL of 60 mg/kg/day from the rabbit developmental toxicity study and the NOAEL of 2.5 mg/kg/day from the chronic rat feeding study which was the basis of the cPAD. It is further noted that in both the rabbit and rat developmental toxicity studies, the developmental NOAEL and maternal NOAEL are comparable (60 mg/kg/day for the rabbit and 93.8 mg/kg/day for the rat). In the rat reproduction study, the maternal NOAEL (2.5 mg/kg/day) was four times lower than the developmental (pup) and reproductive NOAELs (10 mg/kg/day). According to the registrant, these studies indicate that

there is no additional sensitivity for

infants and children in the absence of maternal toxicity for myclobutanil.

v. *Acute risk*. No acute dietary risk has been identified for myclobutanil.

vi. *Chronic risk*. Using the exposure assumptions described above, the exposure to myclobutanil from food will utilize 14.4% (nursing infants < 1-year old), and 40.9% (non-nursing infants < 1-year old) of the cPAD assuming residues are present at tolerance levels. and will utilize 3.0% (nursing infants < 1-year old), and 7.3% (non-nursing infants < 1-year old) of the cPAD assuming residues are present at their average field residue levels. The percent of the cPAD that will be used by the food exposure for children 1 to 6 years old is 57.7% and 8.0% assuming residues are present at tolerance levels and average field residue levels, respectively. The percent of the cPAD that will be used by the food exposure for children 7 to 12 years old is 28.5% and 4.5% assuming residues are present at tolerance levels and average field residue levels, respectively. EPA generally has no concern for exposures below 100% of the cPAD because the cPAD represents the level at or below which daily aggregate dietary exposure over a lifetime will not pose appreciable risks to human health.

2. Non-dietary exposure. Given the limited potential for exposure to myclobutanil from residential exposure, it is not expected that the aggregate exposure will exceed 100% of the cPAD. The registrant believes that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to myclobutanil residues.

3. Conclusion. The Rohm and Haas Company concludes that reliable and complete data support the use of the 100-fold uncertainty factor, and that an additional 10-fold factor is not needed to ensure the safety of infants and children from dietary exposure.

F. International Tolerances

There are Codex Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) for myclobutanil. The myclobutanil data base was evaluated by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) Expert Panels at the Joint Meeting on Pesticide Residues (JMPR) in September 1992, and an additional evaluation by the FAO Expert Panels was conducted in September 1997 and September 1998. An Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI; cPAD) of 0.025 mg/kg/day was established by the WHO panel and a total of 13 Codex MRLs are approved, including 0.01 ppm for both meat and milk. An additional nine Codex MRLs were proposed in the 1997 data submission including

tomatoes (0.3 ppm), tomato paste (2.0 ppm), pome fruit (0.5 ppm), and strawberries (0.5 ppm).

The EPA has established the residue definition as the total of parent plus RH-9090, but the Codex has decided residues of parent alone are sufficient.

[FR Doc. 99–22636 Filed 9–1–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6432-9]

Proposed CERCLA Administrative Cost Recovery Settlement; In Re: Landmark Farm and Garden, Inc. Superfund Site, North Haven, Connecticut

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement for recovery of past response costs concerning the Landmark Farm and Garden, Inc. Superfund site in North Haven, Connecticut with the following settling parties: Kerr-McGee Chemical, LLC; IMC Global, Inc.; Agrico Chemical Company; and Phosphate Resources Partners Limited Partnership. The settlement requires the settling parties to pay \$775,000 to the Hazardous Substance Superfund. The settlement includes a covenant not to sue the settling parties pursuant to section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a). For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the settlement. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection with the Regional Docket Clerk, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Mailcode RCG, Boston, Massachusetts (U.S. EPA Docket No. CERCLA I-98-1037).

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before October 4, 1999.

ADDRESSES: The proposed settlement is available for public inspection with the Regional Docket Clerk, One Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts, A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from RuthAnn Sherman, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Mailcode SES, Boston, Massachusetts 02214, (617)918-1886. Comments should reference the Landmark Farm and Garden, Inc. Superfund Site, North Haven, Connecticut and EPA Docket No. I-98-1037 and should be addressed to the Docket Clerk, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Mailcode RCG. Boston. Massachusetts 02214.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

RuthAnn Sherman, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Mailcode SES, Boston, Massachusetts 02214, (617) 918–1886.

Dated: August 12, 1999.

Patricia L. Meaney,

Director, Office of Site Remediation and Restoration.

[FR Doc. 99–22929 Filed 9–1–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

Farm Credit Administration Board; Regular Meeting

AGENCY: Farm Credit Administration.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3)), that the September 9, 1999 regular meeting of the Farm Credit Administration Board (Board) will not be held. The Board will hold a special meeting at 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, September 30, 1999. An agenda for that meeting will be published at a later date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Vivian L. Portis, Secretary to the Farm Credit Administration Board, (703) 883– 4025, TDD (703) 883–4444.

Addresses: Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102–5090.

Dated: August 30, 1999.

Vivian L. Portis,

Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board. [FR Doc. 99–22965 Filed 8–30–99; 4:37 pm] BILLING CODE 6705–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority, Comments Requested

August 25, 1999.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before November 1, 1999. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commissions, Room 1 A–804, 445 Twelfth Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at (202) 418–0217 or via the Internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0120. Title: Broadcast Equal Employment Opportunity Model Program Report. Form Number: FCC 396–A. Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection. *Respondents:* Business or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents: 2,526. Estimated Time Per Response: 1 hour. Frequency of Response: Reporting, on occasion.

Total Annual Burden: 2,526 hours. Total Annual Costs: \$0.

Needs and Uses: FCC Form 396–A is filed in conjunction with applicants seeking authority to construct a new broadcast station, to obtain assignment of construction or license and/or seeking authority to acquire control of an entity holding construction permit or license. This program is designed to assist the applicant in establishing an effective EEO program for its station.

On September 30, 1998, the Commission suspended the requirement that television and radio broadcast licensees and permittees submit the FCC 396-A with their construction permit, transfer or assignment applications. This suspension is to remain in effect until the Commission revises the EEO rules to be consistent with the Court of Appeals Lutheran Church decision. The Commission will make such adjustments to the form as necessary to conform to the Lutheran Church decision consistent with the record in the rulemaking. Until such time as the Commission reaches a decision in the outstanding Notice of Proposed Rulemaking concerning the Court of Appeals Lutheran Church decision, the FCC 396-A needs to retain a current OMB control number.

The data is reviewed by FCC analysts to determine how stations will provide equal employment opportunity to all qualified persons without regard to race, color, religion, sex or national origin.

Federal Communications Commission.

Willliam F. Caton,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–22872 Filed 9–1–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

TIME AND DATE: 10:00 a.m., Tuesday, September 7, 1999.

PLACE: Marriner S. Eccles Federal Reserve Board Building, C Street entrance between 20th and 2lst Streets, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20551.

STATUS: Open.