business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping or countervailing duties) of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of Subject Merchandise from each Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') imports;

(b) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of Subject Merchandise imported from each

Subject Country; and

(c) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of Subject Merchandise imported from

each Subject Country.

(9) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the Subject Merchandise in any of the Subject Countries, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 1998 (report quantity data in pounds and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping or countervailing duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of Subject Merchandise in each Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and

(b) the quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise from each Subject Countries accounted for by your

firm's(s') exports.

(10) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries since the Order Dates, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or

availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in the Subject Countries, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (OPTIONAL) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: September 27, 1999. By order of the Commission.

## Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–25623 Filed 9–30–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as Amended

Consistent with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, 38 FR 19029, and 42 U.S.C. 9622(d), notice is hereby given that on August 19, 1999, a proposed Consent Decree in United States v. Cape Chem Corporation, et al., Civil Action No. 97-11851 MLW, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. The proposed Consent Decree will resolve the United States' claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601, et seq., on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") against defendants relating to the Payne Cutlery Superfund Site ("Site") located in New Bedford, Massachusetts. The Complaint alleges that each of the defendants is liable under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a).

Pursuant to the Consent Decree, the settling defendants agree to pay \$70,000 of the approximately \$233,000 in EPA's response costs, plus interest from July

27, 1999. This settlement is based upon the settling defendant's ability to pay.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Any comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *Cape Chem Corporation*, Civil Action No. 97–11851 MLW, D.J. Ref. 90–11–2–1269.

The proposed consent decree may be examined in the Office of the United States Attorney, District of Massachusetts and at Region I, Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, JFK Federal Building, Boston, MA 02203–2211. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check (there is a 25 cent per page reproduction cost) in the amount of \$5.00 payable to the Consent Decree Library.

#### Joel Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 99–25508 Filed 9–30–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that on September 7, 1999, the United States lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, in *United* States v. Elmer's Crane and Dozer, Inc., Civil No. 1:99-CV-383, under Section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7413(b). The proposed consent decree resolves certain claims of the United States against Elmer's Crane and Dozer, Inc. ("Elmer's"), arising out of three of its gravel crushing facilities located in Leelanau County and Traverse County, Michigan. Under the proposed Consent Decree Elmer's will pay the United States a \$168,000 penalty.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for 30 days following publication of this Notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to United States v. Elmer's Crane and

Dozer, Inc., Civil No. 1:99-CV-383, 90-5-2-1-2208. The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Western District of Michigan, Grand Rapids, Michigan; the Region V Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check for reproduction costs (at 25 cents per page) in the amount of \$3.25 for the Decree, payable to the Consent Decree Library.

#### Bruce S. Gelber,

Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 99–25507 Filed 9–30–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Relating to the Halby Chemical Superfund Site in Wilmington, New Castle County, Delaware, Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 9622(d), notice hereby is given that a proposed consent decree in *United States* v. *Witco Corporation and the Pyrites Company*, Civil Action No. 99–628 was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, on September 17, 1999.

This action was commenced pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601, et seq. in connection with the Halby Chemical Superfund Site located in Wilmington, New Castle County, Delaware. (See the National Priorities List in 40 CFR part 300, appendix B).

Pursuant to this consent decree, the Witco Corporation and the Pyrites Company have agreed to perform the Operable Unit 2 remedial design and remedial action at the Halby Superfund Site (the "Site"). and to reimburse the United States approximately \$6.2 million in response costs, plus interest, incurred by the United States in connection with the Site.

The consent decree includes a covenant not to sue by the United States under Sections 106 and 107 of CERLA, and under Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. 6973.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed consent decrees for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the **Environment and Natural Resources** Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530 (attention: Lisa Cherup). All comments should refer to "United States v. Witco Corporation and the Pyrites Company, (Halby Chemical Superfund Site), DJ 90-11-2-719B." Additionally, commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with Section 7003(d) of RCRA.

The proposed consent decrees may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the District of Delaware, 1201 Market Street, Ste. 1100, P.O. Box 2046, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, and at the office of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Fifth Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19103–2029 (attention Patricia C. Miller, Assistant Regional Counsel, 215-814-2662). A copy of the proposed consent decrees may be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20004. In requesting a copy, please refer to the above-referenced DJ number, and enclose a check in the amount of \$26.00 (twenty-five cents per page reproduction costs) for the Consent Decree (104 pages total), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

## Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment & Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 99–25509 Filed 9–30–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

### **Antitrust Division**

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Auto Body Consortium, Inc.: Intelligent Resistance Welding Joint Venture

Notice is hereby given that, on April 28, 1999, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Auto Body Consortium, Inc.: Intelligent Resistance Welding Joint Venture has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the

recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, DaimlerChrysler Corporation, Auburn Hills, MI, owned by DaimlerChrysler AG, Stuttgart, Germany has been added as a party to this venture. Also, Chrysler Corporation, Auburn Hills, MI, and Johnson Controls, Inc., Plymouth, MI have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Auto Body Consortium, Inc.: Intelligent Resistance Welding Joint Venture intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On September 18, 1995, Auto Body Consortium, Inc.: Intelligent Resistance Welding Joint Venture filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 6, 1995 (60 FR 62476).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 17, 1997. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on April 29, 1997 (62 FR 23266).

## Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 99–25512 Filed 9–30–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## **Antitrust Division**

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Joint Tactical Radio System ("JTRS") Step 1 Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on March 5, 1999, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Boeing North American, Inc. ("Boeing") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are Boeing North American, Inc., Seal Beach, CA; Racal Communications, Inc., Rockville, MD; Harris Corporation, Melbourne, FL; Lucent Technologies, Inc., Murray Hill,