

for authority to expand FTZ 68-Sites 2 and 3 in El Paso, Texas, within the El Paso Customs port of entry (FTZ Docket 4-98, filed 1/20/98);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 6891, 2/11/98) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 68-Sites 2 and 3 is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of January 1999.

**Robert S. LaRussa,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

Attest:

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Acting Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-2821 Filed 2-4-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-421-701]

#### Brass Sheet and Strip From The Netherlands: Notice of Extension of Time Limits for Sixth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 5, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jarrod Goldfeder or John Brinkmann, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1784 and (202) 482-5288, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Postponement of Preliminary Results

On September 29, 1998, the Department initiated the sixth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from The Netherlands,

covering the period August 1, 1997 through July 31, 1998 (63 FR 51893). The current deadline for the preliminary results of this review is May 3, 1999. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination in an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) allows the Department to extend this time period to up to 365 days. Because of several complex issues unique to this review, it is not practicable to complete the review within the current time frame. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of this administrative review by 120 days, or until August 31, 1999. We plan to issue the final results of this administrative review within 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

#### Submission of New Factual Information

In response to OBV's request for revocation of this order, the Department is allowing parties to submit information regarding the likelihood of future dumping. Pursuant to section 351.222(b)(2) of the Department's regulations (1998), the Department may revoke an order in part "if the Secretary concludes that: (1) One or more exporters or producers covered by the order have sold the merchandise at not less than normal value for a period of at least three consecutive years; (2) It is not likely that those persons will in the future sell the subject merchandise at less than normal value; and (3) The exporter or producer agrees to immediate reinstatement of the order if the Secretary concludes that dumping has resumed.

In past reviews the Department has established a process for the submission of factual information on the issue of whether likelihood of future dumping exists (see Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent Not to Revoke Order: Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors of One Megabit or Above from the Republic of Korea, 62 FR 12794 (March 18, 1997)). Thus, at this time, we are providing all interested parties the opportunity to submit any such information which they believe the Department should consider when determining the likelihood of future dumping.

The deadline for submission of this information for consideration in the preliminary results is April 1, 1999. Additionally, parties will be allowed until April 15, 1999, to submit rebuttal comments.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: February 2, 1999.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99-2816 Filed 2-4-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-808]

#### Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Recission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of recission of antidumping duty administrative review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 5, 1999.

**SUMMARY:** On October 29, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 58009) a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on chrome-plated lug nuts (lug nuts) from the People's Republic of China (PRC), covering the period September 1, 1997 through August 30, 1998. This review has now been rescinded as a result of the withdrawal of request for an administrative review by Jiangsu Su Huanghai Auto Parts Co., Ltd. (Rudong).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Gilgunn, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-0648.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On September 30, 1998, Rudong, a manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise, requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on lug nuts from the PRC in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b). On October 29, 1998, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an

administrative review of this order for the period September 1, 1997 through August 31, 1998. On November 30, 1998, Rudong withdrew its request for this review.

#### Recission of Review

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) provide that a party may withdraw its request for review within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, or at a later date if the Department determines that such an extended time is reasonable. Rudong withdrew its request for review within the 90-day period. No other party requested a review for the September 1, 1997 through August 31, 1998 period. Therefore, we are rescinding this review. This determination is issued and published in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675) and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: January 27, 1999.

**Roland L. MacDonald,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/  
CVD Enforcement III.*

[FR Doc. 99-2817 Filed 2-4-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-351-605]

#### Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice From Brazil; Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on frozen concentrated orange juice from Brazil in response to a timely request from the petitioners to review six manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise. This review covers the U.S. sales and/or entries of only four manufacturers/exporters because we are rescinding this review with respect to two companies. This is the eleventh period of review, covering May 1, 1997, through April 30, 1998.

We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below normal value by each of the companies subject to this review. If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this administrative review, we will instruct the Customs Service to assess

antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.

We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue; and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 5, 1999.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sergio Gonzalez or Shawn Thompson, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1779 or (202) 482-1776, respectively.

#### Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (1998).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On May 12, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on frozen concentrated orange juice (FCOJ) from Brazil (63 FR 26143).

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), on May 29, 1998, Florida Citrus Mutual, Caulkins Indiantown Citrus Co., Citrus Belle, Citrus World, Inc., Orange-Co of Florida, Inc., Peace River Citrus Products, Inc., and Southern Gardens Citrus Processors Corp. (collectively "the petitioners") requested an administrative review of the antidumping order covering the period May 1, 1997, through April 30, 1998, for the following producers and exporters of FCOJ: Branco Peres Citrus, S.A. (Branco Peres), Cambuhy Citrus Comercial e Exportadora Ltd. (Cambuhy), Citrovia Agro Industrial S.A. (Citrovia), CTM Citrus S.A. (CTM), Frutax Industria e Comercio Ltda. (Frutax), and Sucorrico S.A. (Sucorrico). On June 12, 1998, the Department issued questionnaires to each of these companies. On June 29, 1998, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of administrative review for Branco Peres,

Cambuhy, Citrovia, CTM, Frutax, and Sucorrico (63 FR 35188).

In July 1998, Cambuhy, CTM, and Sucorrico informed the Department that they had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review (POR). We have confirmed this with information from the Customs Service with regard to CTM and Sucorrico. Therefore, in accordance with § 351.213(d)(3) of the Department's regulations and consistent with the Department's practice, we are rescinding our review for CTM and Sucorrico. For further discussion, see the "Partial Rescission of Review" section of this notice, below.

Regarding Cambuhy, we were informed by the Customs Service that this company exported FCOJ to Puerto Rico during the POR. Consequently, on August 17, 1998, we issued a supplemental questionnaire to Cambuhy in which we again requested that it provide sales information. On September 2, 1998, Cambuhy acknowledged that it had exported to Puerto Rico, but declined to participate further in the administrative review. Because Cambuhy did not respond to the questionnaire, we have preliminarily assigned it a margin based on adverse facts available. For further discussion, see the "Facts Available" section of this notice, below.

In August 1998, we received responses from Branco Peres and Citrovia. We received no response from Frutax. Because Frutax did not respond to the questionnaire, we have also preliminarily assigned a margin to this company based on adverse facts available. For further discussion, see the "Facts Available" section, below.

Also in August 1998, we issued a supplemental questionnaire to Branco Peres. We received a response to this questionnaire in September 1998.

In August and September 1998, the petitioners alleged that Branco Peres and Citrovia were selling at prices below the cost of production (COP) in their third country and home markets, respectively. Based on information submitted by the petitioners, the Department found reasonable grounds to believe or suspect that sales in the foreign markets were made at prices below the cost of producing the merchandise, in accordance with section 773(b)(1) of the Act. As a result, the Department initiated investigations to determine whether Branco Peres and Citrovia made foreign market sales during the POR at prices below their respective COPs within the meaning of section 773(b) of the Act. For further discussion, see the memorandum to Louis Apple from the team entitled