

Regional Industrial Park, Early Branch; *Site 5* (2,040 acres)—SCSPA's terminal complex, Charleston, including 8 acres temporarily transferred to a portion of the former Charleston Naval Base and Shipyard, N. Charleston; *Site 6* (19 acres)—Meadow Street Business Park, Loris; *Site 7* (1,782 acres)—Myrtle Beach International Airport /former Myrtle Beach U.S. Air Force Base, Myrtle Beach; *Site 8* (82 acres)—within Wando Park, Mount Pleasant; *Site 9* (548 acres)—Charleston Business Park, Charleston; *Site 10* (105 acres)—Ashley Industrial Park, N. Charleston; *Site 11* (459 acres)—Charleston International Commerce Park, Charleston; *Site 12*—(1,120 acres)—Palmetto Commerce Park, N. Charleston; and, *Site 13*—(76 acres)—N. Charleston Convention Center complex, N. Charleston.

The applicant is now requesting authority, on behalf of the Charleston Naval Complex Redevelopment Authority, to expand the general-purpose zone to include an additional site (*Proposed Site 14*; 1,514 acres) located at the former Charleston Naval Base and Shipyard, Cosgrove Avenue, North Charleston. The property is owned by the U.S. Department of the Navy, which is in the process of conveying the property to the Charleston Naval Complex Redevelopment Authority, a state agency, as part of a base conversion project. No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is January 14, 2000. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period January 31, 2000.

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center, 5300 International Blvd., Suite 201-C, N. Charleston, S.C. 29418

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 4008, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230

Dated: November 8, 1999.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-29745 Filed 11-12-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1062]

Approval for Expanded Manufacturing Authority (Motorcycle Engines) Within Foreign-Trade Subzone 167B, Polaris Industries, Inc.; Osceola, WI

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, Polaris Industries, Inc., operator of FTZ Subzone 167B, located in Osceola, Wisconsin, has requested authority to expand the scope of FTZ authority to include additional internal-combustion engine manufacturing capacity (motorcycle engines) under FTZ procedures (FTZ Doc. 48-98, filed 11-3-98);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 60294, 11-9-98);

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

Now Therefore, the Board hereby approves the request subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of November 1999.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-29746 Filed 11-12-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

October 1999 Sunset Reviews; Final Results of Reviews and Revocation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Final results of Sunset Reviews; Revocation and antidumping duty

orders on hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from Brazil C-351-812; hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from Brazil A-351-811; hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from France A-427-804; hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from France C-427-805; hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from Germany C-428-812; hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from Germany A-428-811; hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from United Kingdom C-412-811; and hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from United Kingdom A-412-810.

SUMMARY: On October 1, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of antidumping duty orders on hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from Brazil, France, Germany, and the United Kingdom (64 FR 53320). In addition, the Department initiated sunset reviews of countervailing duty orders on hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from Brazil, France, Germany, and the United Kingdom (64 FR 53320). The Department is revoking the aforementioned antidumping and countervailing duty orders on hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel because no domestic party responded to the sunset review notice of initiation by the applicable deadline.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark D. Young or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3207 or (202) 482-1560, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 22, 1993, the Department issued antidumping and countervailing duty orders on hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from Brazil, France, Germany, and the United Kingdom (58 FR 15324). Pursuant to section 71(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department initiated sunset reviews of these orders by publishing notice of the initiation in the **Federal Register** (October 1, 1999 (64 FR 53320)). In addition, as a courtesy to interested parties, the Department sent letters, via certified and registered mail, to each party listed on the Department's most current service list for these proceedings to

inform them of the automatic initiation of the sunset reviews on these orders.

However, no domestic interested party in the sunset reviews on these orders responded to the notice of initiation by the October 19, 1999 deadline (see section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of Procedures for Conducting Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 63 FR 13520 (March 20, 1998) ("Sunset Regulations")).

Determination

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3) of the Sunset Regulations, if no domestic interested party responds to the notice of initiation, the Department shall issue a final determination, within 90 days after the initiation of the review, revoking the order. Because no domestic interested party in the sunset reviews of hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from Brazil, France, Germany, or the United Kingdom responded to the notice of initiation by the applicable deadline, we are revoking these antidumping and countervailing duty orders.

Effective Date of Revocation

Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iv) of the Act, the Department will instruct the United States Customs Service to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to these orders entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after January 1, 2000. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and antidumping and countervailing duty deposit requirements. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of these orders and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review:

Dated: November 8, 1999.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99-29753 Filed 11-12-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-421-805]

Aramid Fiber Formed of Poly Para-Phenylene Terephthalamide From the Netherlands; Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of the antidumping duty administrative review; aramid fiber formed of poly para-phenylene terephthalamide from the Netherlands.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 15, 1999.

SUMMARY: On July 8, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on aramid fiber formed of poly para-phenylene terephthalamide ("PPD-T aramid") from the Netherlands. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter and the period June 1, 1997 through May 31, 1998.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have revised the results from those presented in the preliminary results of review.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Russell Morris, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; Telephone: (202) 482-1775.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all references to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR 351 (1998).

Background

The Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on PPD-T aramid from the Netherlands on June 24, 1994 (59 FR 32678). On June 10, 1998, we published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 31717) a notice of "Opportunity to Request an

Administrative Review" of this order covering the period June 1, 1997, through May 31, 1998. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), Aramid Products V.o.F. and Akzo Nobel Aramid Products, Inc. (collectively "Akzo" or respondent), and E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company ("petitioner"), requested that we conduct an administrative review of the aforementioned period of review ("POR"). On July 28, 1998, the Department published a notice of "Initiation of Antidumping Review" (63 FR 40258). On July 8, 1999, the Department published the preliminary results of the review. See *Aramid Fiber Formed of Poly-Phenylene Terephthalamide from the Netherlands: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review*, 64 FR 36841 (July 8, 1999). The Department has now completed the review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

The products covered by this review are all forms of PPD-T aramid from the Netherlands. These consist of PPD-T aramid in the form of filament yarn (including single and corded), staple fiber, pulp (wet or dry), spun-laced and spun-bonded nonwovens, chopped fiber, and floc. Tire cord is excluded from the class or kind of merchandise under review. This merchandise is currently classifiable under the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS") item numbers 5402.10.3020, 5402.10.3040, 5402.10.6000, 5503.10.1000, 5503.10.9000, 5601.30.0000, and 5603.00.9000. The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description of the scope remains dispositive.

Fair Value Comparisons

We calculated constructed export price ("CEP") and normal value ("NV") based on the same methodology used in the preliminary results.

Changes From the Preliminary Results

The Department corrected a clerical error that involves a missing variable which affected the assessment rate. See Comment 3.

Analysis of the Comments Received

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of review. We received comments from respondent and petitioner on August 9, 1999, and rebuttal comments from Akzo on August 16, 1999.