Room link at the NRC Web site (http:/ /www.nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of November 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Samuel J. Collins,

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 99-30109 Filed 11-18-99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Power Reactor Decommissioning: Entombment; Workshop;

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Announcement of workshop on power reactor entombment.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission will hold a workshop from 9:00 am-4:00 pm December 14-15, 1999, to discuss the feasibility of entombment as a reactor decommissioning option. The workshop will be held in the TWFN Auditorium of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: An information paper discussing the technical feasibility of entombment as a decommissioning option for power reactors was given to the Commission (SECY-99-187) on July 19, 1999. The information paper is available on the NRC public website and can be viewed at www.nrc.gov/NRC/COMMISSION/ SECYS/index.html. The information paper provides the staff's assessment of the viability of the entombment option for decommissioning power reactors. The staff informed the Commission that, as a next step in considering entombment as a decommissioning option, they intend to conduct a workshop in the near future. The purpose of this workshop is to obtain public comment on the issues associated with considering entombment on an equal basis with other decommissioning alternatives. Issues that the NRC proposes to discuss

- 1. How meaningful are the assumptions in the PNNL report that institutional controls will be effective?
- 2. Does the PNNL analysis rely too much on long term engineering features that would be needed for entombment? What criteria would be used for approving a licensee's request for using the entombment option, and what quantitative values could be examined for establishing the high degree of

contaminant isolation confidence that would be considered acceptable?

- 3. What financial provisions would be required to pay for the future expenses that could be expected during the time when restrictions for the entombment must be maintained?
- 4. How significant would the entombment option be on State resources if it were implemented?
- 5. If new legislation were required for disposing of the GTCC waste through the entombment option, is it worth pursuing? Is the current legislation consistent with what has been implemented by the NRC for LLW disposal of GTCC waste for case-specific circumstances, including considerations of eventual license termination? What is the role of DOE with respect to the GTCC waste considerations?
- 6. Is entombment consistent with the LLW Policy Act, which encourages centralized disposal and the encouragement of regional compacts, as well as economic incentives through exclusivity by only permitting disposal of LLW in a 10 CFR Part 61 licensed facility?
- 7. What is the opinion of the States on the entombment option? Is the possibility of ultimate or long term management by the State a concern?
- 8. Is there any indication of the number of licensees intending to use the entombment option?

The workshop agenda will be posted on the NRC Web site at www.nrc.gov/ RES/meetings.html by November 19, 1999. Interested persons are invited to attend the meeting. Anyone interested in making a presentation at the workshop should contact the individual listed below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Carl Feldman, Radiation Protection, Environmental Risk and Waste Management Branch, Division of Risk Analysis and Applications, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Washington, D.C. 20555-0001, telephone (301) 415-6194.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of November 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Cheryl A. Trottier,

Chief, Radiation Protection, Environmental Risk and Waste Management Branch, Division of Risk Analysis and Applications, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research. [FR Doc. 99-30111 Filed 11-17-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

National State Liaison Officers' Meeting

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) will sponsor a national meeting on December 1 and 2, 1999 with the State Liaison Officers to discuss items of mutual regulatory interest. The State Liaison Officers are appointed by the Governors of the fifty States and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico to provide a communication channel between the States and the NRC.

DATES: The public meeting will be held on Wednesday, December 1, 1999 from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.; Thursday, December 2, 1999 from 8 a.m. to 12 noon.

ADDRESSES: The meeting is to be held at the NRC's Two White Flint North Building Auditorium, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Spiros C. Droggitis, Office of State Programs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Telephone (301) 415–2367, FAX (301) 415-3502; Internet (SCD@NRC.GOV).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Potential topics of discussion will include: the effect of the electric utility industry restructuring on nuclear power plant regulation; the new NRC reactor inspection and oversight program and the status of the pilot program; continued State involvement at nuclear power plants undergoing decommissioning and other nuclear power plant decommissioning issues; nuclear materials issues; external regulation of the U.S. Department of Energy; spent fuel storage and transportation issues, and other nuclear waste issues.

The meeting will be conducted in a manner that will expedite the orderly conduct of business. The following procedures apply to public attendance at the meeting:

- 1. Questions or statements from attendees other than State and NRC staff participants will be entertained as time permits: and
- 2. Seating for the public will be on a first-come, first-served basis.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 11th day of November, 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Paul H. Lohaus,

Director, Office of State Programs.

[FR Doc. 99–30110 Filed 11–17–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Privacy Act of 1974, System of Records

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Notice of new system of records.

SUMMARY: The purposes of this document are to publish notice of a change in the title to grouping of records 030.000 Equal Employment Opportunity to read "030.000 Equal Employment Opportunity/Alternative Dispute Resolution" and to publish notice of a new Privacy Act system of records under that grouping, USPS 030.040, Equal Employment Opportunity/ Alternative Dispute Resolution-Roster of Alternative Dispute Resolution Providers. The change in title more accurately reflects the types of records covered by this group. The new system contains the names and addresses of alternative dispute resolution providers such as mediators, who express an interest by completing a survey regarding their dispute resolution experience.

DATES: Any interested party may submit written comments on the proposed new system of records. This proposal will become effective without further notice on December 28, 1999, unless comments received on or before that date result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this proposal should be mailed or delivered to Administration and FOIA, United States Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW, Room 8141, Washington, DC 20260–5202. Copies of all written comments will be available at the above address for public inspection and photocopying between 8 a.m. and 4:45 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rubenia Carter (202) 268–4872.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Postal Service is proposing to use independent contractors to resolve disputes including, but not limited to, EEO and Merit Systems Protection Board administrative cases. A roster will be maintained by the Postal Service of prequalified providers to select from to handle disputes. To be placed on the roster, an applicant must first complete an Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Provider Survey. The survey will be screened to determine if the

applicant meets the minimum criteria for mediating Postal Service cases. The survey requires an applicant to provide his or her previous mediator, arbitrator or other dispute resolution experience, education, and other required information. A waiver of the minimum criteria may be given to applicants on a limited basis at the sole discretion of the Postal Service. Applicants who fail to meet the minimum criteria and are not granted a waiver are disqualified for mediating disputes.

Maintenance of these records is not expected to have a significant effect on individual privacy rights. Information kept is limited to the name and address and other information requested on the survey questionnaire. The information will be kept in a secured environment, with automated data processing (ADP) physical and administrative security and technical software applied to information on computer media. Computers and hard copy records are maintained in a secured environment. To the extent that the information may be shared with another federal agency, it is shared with the understanding that the information will be maintained and protected in accordance with the Privacy Act.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(11), interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, or arguments on this proposal. A report on the following proposed system has been sent to Congress and to the Office of Management and Budget for their evaluation.

USPS 030.040

SYSTEM NAME:

Equal Employment Opportunity/ Alternative Dispute Resolution-Roster of Alternative Dispute Resolution Providers, 030.040.

SYSTEM LOCATION:

Law Department, Postal Service Headquarters, and field offices; area and district offices.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Individuals and organizations interested in providing Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) services to all disputes except those arising under Postal Service collective bargaining agreements.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Name, address, telephone, and fax numbers of alternative dispute resolution providers; education, certification status, special qualifications; types of disputes mediated; partnering agreements; evaluation as a neutral; billing information; and any other correspondence relating to the individual performance or information contained on the survey.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM: 39 U.S.C. 401.

PURPOSE(S):

Used to determine an individual's qualifications to provide ADR services.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSE OF SUCH USES:

General routine use statements a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, j, k, l, and m listed in the prefatory statement at the beginning of the Postal Service's published system notices apply to this system. Another routine use follows:

1. To another federal agency upon request who needs the names and/or addresses and other information about ADR providers to arrange for or manage ADR proceedings for that agency.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

STORAGE:

Paper records are maintained in locked file cabinets and computer files on magnetic tape or disk in automated office equipment.

RETRIEVABILITY:

By ADR provider's name.

SAFEGUARDS:

Paper records are kept in locked file cabinets; automated data are password-protected.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Files of active ADR providers: Cutoff file upon notification of decertification or removal. Destroy one year from date of cutoff. Files of rejected ADR providers: Cutoff file at end of year in which survey was received from prospective ADR provider. Maintain file for one year after cutoff date.

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS: EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT AND GENERAL COUNSEL, LAW DEPARTMENT, UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, 475 L'ENFANT PLAZA SW, WASHINGTON DC 20260—1100

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

Individuals wanting to know whether information about them is maintained in this system of records must address inquiries to the system manager. Inquiries must contain full name and address of the individual and date the survey was completed.